arXiv:1003.2646v3 [math.DG] 27 Aug 2010

COMPLETE CALABI-YAU METRICS FROM $\mathbb{P}^2\#9\bar{\mathbb{P}}^2$

HANS-JOACHIM HEIN

Abstract. Let X denote the complex projective plane, blown up at the nine base points of a pencil of cubics, and let D be any fiber of the resulting elliptic fibration on X. Using ansatz metrics inspired by work of Gross-Wilson and a PDE method due to Tian-Yau, we prove that $X \setminus D$ admits complete Ricci-flat Kähler metrics in most de Rham cohomology classes. If D is smooth, the metrics converge to split flat cylinders $\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^1 \times D$ at an exponential rate. In this case, we also obtain a partial uniqueness result and a local description of the Einstein moduli space, which contains cylindrical metrics whose cross-section does not split off a circle. If D is singular but of finite monodromy, they converge at least quadratically to flat T^2-submersions over flat 2-dimensional cones which need not be quotients of $\mathbb{R}^2$. If D is singular of infinite monodromy, their volume growth rates are $4/3$ and 2 for the Kodaira types I_b and $\mathrm{I}_b{}^*$, their injectivity radii decay like $r^{-1/3}$ and $(\log r)^{-1/2}$, and their curvature tensors decay like r^{-2} and $r^{-2}(\log r)^{-1}$. In particular, the I_b examples show that the curvature estimate from Cheeger-Tian [14] cannot be improved in general.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
2. Weighted Sobolev inequalities 9
2.1. Overview 9
2.2. Isoperimetric estimates à la Gromov and Anderson 10
2.3. Dirichlet-type Sobolev inequalities on balls and annuli 12
2.4. Proof of the weighted Sobolev inequalities 13
3. A complex Monge-Ampère equation 16
3.1. Set-up, structure of the proof 17
3.2. Solution of the ε-perturbed equation 19
3.3. Passage to the limit as $\varepsilon \to 0$ 20
3.4. Decay of the solutions 22
4. The new Calabi-Yau metrics 26
4.1. Rational elliptic surfaces and singular fibers 27
4.2. Ricci-flat metrics on complex torus bundles 30
4.3. Explicit Calabi-Yau metrics near a special fiber 34
4.4. Proof of Theorems 1.3 and 1.5 42
5. Uniqueness and moduli in the cylindrical case 46
5.1. Topology and analysis on ALH spaces 46
5.2. Proof of Theorem 1.10 47
5.3. Proof of Theorem 1.11 48
6. Further questions 53
References 54

Date: August 27, 2010.

1. Introduction

Background. This paper is motivated by questions of compactness and singularity formation in sequences of Einstein metrics on 4-manifolds. A favorite and classical toy model which has inspired much of the research in this area and related fields is the Kummer construction of K3 surfaces: Take the standard lattice $\mathbb{Z}^4 \subset \mathbb{C}^2$, divide $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}^4$ by the involution $(z, w) \mapsto -(z, w)$ in standard coordinates, and resolve the 16 orbifold singularities of the quotient by realizing them via $(z, w) \mapsto (z^2, zw, w^2)$ as a neighborhood of the origin in the affine surface $Y^2 - XZ = 0$ and then blowing up the origin in $\mathbb{C}^3$. What results is a K3, i.e. a simply-connected smooth complex surface with a nowhere vanishing holomorphic $(2, 0)$-form Ω, lifted from $dz \wedge dw$ in this case. From [24], the moduli space of K3 surfaces is a connected 20-dimensional complex analytic space, and every K3 has a 20-dimensional cone of Kähler classes, each of which contains a unique Ricci-flat Kähler (= Calabi-Yau = CY) metric [81]. All Ricci-flat metrics on the underlying smooth manifold arise in this way [35], with a small ambiguity from hyperkähler rotation, and hence the moduli space of Ricci-flat metrics on the K3 manifold up to scaling and diffeomorphism is 57-dimensional. Using the Kummer picture, one can give a fairly accurate approximate description of these metrics in certain extremal limits, cf. [5, 21, 63]: The flat orbifold metric on the Kummer surface is obviously CY with volume form $\Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega}$. On the other hand, the minimal resolution of $Y^2 - XZ = 0$ supports an explicit family of CY metrics (Eguchi-Hanson) with volume form $\Omega\wedge\bar{\Omega}$ which are uniformly asymptotic to the flat cone $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$, and moreover converge to that cone in the Gromov-Hausdorff sense as the family parameter tends to zero. Thus, replacing neighborhoods of the orbifold points by truncated Eguchi-Hanson spaces produces increasingly Ricci-flat metrics on K3. It then turns out that there exist honest CY metrics nearby which converge to the flat Kummer orbifold. Viewing $|\mathrm{Rm}|^2$ as an energy density whose total mass is fixed by the Chern-Gauß-Bonnet formula $\int |\mathrm{Rm}|^2 = 8\pi^2\chi$ for closed Einstein 4-manifolds, the energy of these metrics forms Dirac masses around the singularities, and zooming in at the local maxima of $|\mathrm{Rm}|$ recovers the Eguchi-Hanson spaces as "bubbles" in the limit, each extracting 1/16 of the scale-invariant total energy.

This picture lies at the foundation of a vast body of research on the structure of Gromov-Hausdorff limits of Einstein manifolds and other classes of metrics solving canonical elliptic equations, and on the bubbles that may form in the process. See [15, 39, 71] for some fairly spectacular applications of this line of work to existence questions. The results are most complete in the 4-dimensional Einstein case with a uniform lower volume bound, cf. [1]. The bubbles are then complete and Ricci-flat of maximal volume growth, and such spaces have been almost completely classified. More precisely, from [9, 47, 48, 72], a complete, simply-connected, Kähler, Ricci-flat 4-manifold with $|B(x_0, r)| > \varepsilon r^4$ for some $x_0 \in M$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ and all $r > 0$ must be asymptotic to a flat cone $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$, $\Gamma < \mathrm{SU}(2)$, at a rate of r^{-4} and thus belong to one of a number of finite-dimensional families of "ALE" spaces, all of which can be constructed algebraically as resolutions or deformations of the singularities $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$. The Kähler condition may well turn out to be unnecessary here.

The striking analogy of all this with the more classical study of Yang-Mills moduli spaces is largely due to the assumed lower volume bound. The stronger nonlinearity of the Einstein equations compared to Yang-Mills really only makes itself felt when this bound is dropped, thus allowing for the possibility of *collapsing*. In the world of bounded sectional rather than Ricci curvature, this phenomenon is understood to be caused by a controlled sort of contraction along the orbits of local actions of nilpotent Lie groups [11]. As for a first impression in the Einstein case, we can again look at the Kummer picture. In [36], Hitchin suggested resolving the flat orbifolds $(\mathbb{R}^k \times T^{4-k})/\mathbb{Z}_2$, $k = 1, 2, 3$, to construct new complete CY metrics with r^k volume growth. With a little good will, one can then imagine these hypothetical spaces to form as bubbles in degenerating Ricci-flat metrics on K3 when collapsing the flat orbifold in the Kummer construction along $4 - k$ directions onto $T^k/\mathbb{Z}_2$. A related folklore conjecture stipulates that "most" complete CY surfaces, or at least those that actually do occur as bubbles, are locally asymptotic to a product $\mathbb{R}^k \times T^{4-k}$. The cases with $k = 3, 2, 1$ have been dubbed ALF, ALG, and ALH.

Crepant resolution and other constructions have produced examples for each of these types [3, 5, 17, 36, 49, 67, 73], and also there is work of Biquard-Minerbe [5, 55] [56] towards a possible classification of ALF spaces, the basic conjecture being that such metrics must live on resolutions or deformations of $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$ with $\Gamma < \mathrm{SU}(2)$ cyclic or dihedral, except for finitely many sporadic examples of a slightly different nature. However, one major issue with the ALF, G, H picture is that, unlike the ALE case, there seems to be no clear understanding as to what tameness conditions have to be imposed in order for a complete Ricci-flat 4-manifold of less than maximal volume growth to belong to one of these categories. In terms of counterexamples, Kähler is not immediate [65], Kähler does not imply finite topology [2], and it is possible to have Kähler and finite topology, but $\mathrm{inj} = 0$ and fractional volume growth [73], although these last examples are not of finite energy, by Santoro [66]. On a related note, there does not seem to be a single known case of collapsing of Einstein metrics in any dimension where the bubbles have been identified rigorously.

In this paper, we produce new families of complete CY surfaces which may help to shed light on some of these issues. Removing anticanonical curves from rational elliptic surfaces, we construct sets of ALG and ALH spaces which we conjecture to be exhaustive up to certain deformations, but also two series of CY manifolds with $r^{4/3}$ and r^2 volume growth and inj decaying like $r^{-1/3}$ and $(\log r)^{-1/2}$, respectively, yet with $|\mathrm{Rm}|$ comparable to r^{-2} and $r^{-2}(\log r)^{-1}$, and hence of finite energy. See Theorem 1.3 for existence and Theorem 1.5 for the asymptotics. In the ALH case, we prove a partial uniqueness result (Theorem 1.10), and we find and integrate all infinitesimal ALH Ricci-flat deformations (Theorem 1.11). In this last part, we rely heavily on Kovalev [46], but we aim to clarify certain issues.

Statement of results. We need two bits of terminology for the existence result.

Definition 1.1. A *rational elliptic surface* is the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^2$ in the base points of a pencil of cubics, i.e. a family $sF + tG = 0$, $(s : t) \in \mathbb{P}^1$, where F, G are smooth cubics intersecting in 9 points with multiplicity. Blowing up these points, if needed repeatedly, produces an elliptic fibration $f : X \to \mathbb{P}^1$, $X = \mathbb{P}^2 \# 9\bar{\mathbb{P}}^2$.

In fact, $f = |-K_X|$, so for each fiber $D = f^{-1}(p)$, to be understood as a divisor, there exists precisely one meromorphic 2-form Ω up to scale with $\mathrm{div}(\Omega) = -D$, and all meromorphic 2-forms on X arise in this way. Cf. Section 4.1 for a more thorough discussion of the complex geometry of such surfaces, in particular, of the structure of the singular fibers of f. Put $M := X \setminus D$ and fix a small disk $\Delta = \{|z| < 1\} \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ with $z(p) = 0$ such that all fibers of f over Δ^* are smooth.

Definition 1.2. A *bad 2-cycle* in M is one that arises from the following process up to orientation and isotopy. Consider the topological monodromy representation of

$\pi_1(\Delta^*) = \mathbb{Z}$ in the mapping class group of any fiber F over Δ^*. Take a simple loop $\gamma \subset F$ such that $[\gamma] \in H_1(F, \mathbb{Z})$ is indivisible and invariant under the monodromy, and move γ around the puncture by lifting a simple loop $\gamma' \subset \Delta^*$ up to every point in γ such that the union of the translates of γ is a T^2 imbedded in $f^{-1}(\gamma')$.

While proving Proposition 4.6, we will see that $H_2(X|_{\Delta^*}, \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by $[F]$ and the classes of the bad cycles. Up to orientation, there are two bad cycles if the monodromy is trivial, one if the generator of the monodromy is conjugate to $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, $b \in \mathbb{N}$, and none at all otherwise. Moreover, bad cycles are boundaries in X.

Theorem 1.3 (Existence). *Let ω be any Kähler metric on M such that $\int_M \omega^2 < \infty$ and $\int_C \omega = 0$ for all bad 2-cycles C. For instance, ω could be the restriction to M of a Kähler metric on X. Then there exists $\alpha_0 > 0$ possibly depending on X, Ω, ω such that for all $\alpha > \alpha_0$ there exists a complete Calabi-Yau metric ω_{CY} on M with $\omega_{\mathrm{CY}}^2 = \alpha\Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega}$ and such that $\omega_{\mathrm{CY}} - \omega$ is d-exact on M.*

The existence of ω_{CY} follows from a method due to Tian-Yau [73, 74] for solving the Monge-Ampère equation $(\omega_0 + i\partial\bar{\partial}u)^2 = \alpha\Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega}$ with a complete background metric ω_0 on M, which has to satisfy $\omega_0^2 \approx \alpha\Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega}$ in a certain sense. We construct ω_0 by exploiting the elliptic fibration structure in a neighborhood of D, modifying an idea from Gross-Wilson [31]. We refer to the end of this introduction for details. From a more careful analysis of ω_0 and the PDE, one can then deduce asymptotics for $\omega_{\mathrm{CY}} = \omega_0 + i\partial\bar{\partial}u$. To state the result, we need some more terminology.

Definition 1.4. Let $\varepsilon, \delta, \ell > 0$, $\theta \in (0, 1]$, $\tau \in \mathfrak{H}/\mathrm{PSl}(2, \mathbb{Z})$, where $\mathfrak{H}$ is the upper half plane. Let $g_{\varepsilon,\tau}$ denote the unique flat metric of area ε and modulus τ on T^2. Let g be a complete Riemannian metric on an open 4-manifold N.

(i) The metric g is called $\mathrm{ALG}(\delta, [\theta, \varepsilon, \tau])$ if there exist $r_0 > 0$, a compact subset $K \subset N$, and an imbedding $\Phi : S(\theta, r_0) \times T^2 \hookrightarrow N \setminus K$ with a dense image, where $S(\theta, r_0) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| > r_0,\ 0 < \arg z < 2\pi\theta\}$, such that

$$|\nabla^k_{g_{\mathrm{flat}}}(\Phi^* g - g_{\mathrm{flat}})|_{g_{\mathrm{flat}}} \leq C(k)|z|^{-\delta-k} \tag{1.1}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, where $g_{\mathrm{flat}} := g_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus g_{\varepsilon,\tau}$.

(ii) The metric g is called ALH if there exist $\delta > 0$, a compact subset $K \subset N$, and a diffeomorphism $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \times T^3 \to N \setminus K$ such that

$$|\nabla^k_{g_{\mathrm{flat}}}(\Phi^* g - g_{\mathrm{flat}})|_{g_{\mathrm{flat}}} \leq C(k)e^{-\delta t} \tag{1.2}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, where $g_{\mathrm{flat}} := dt^2 \oplus h$ for some flat metric h on T^3. More specifically, we say that g is $\mathrm{ALH}(\ell, \varepsilon, \tau)$ if g is ALH with $h = \ell^2 d\varphi^2 \oplus g_{\varepsilon,\tau}$ with respect to some topological splitting $T^3 = S^1 \times T^2$, with $\varphi \in S^1 = \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ and $g_{\varepsilon,\tau}$ as above.

Thus an ALG space converges to a twisted product of a flat 2-cone and a flat 2-torus, where the cone is *not* restricted to be a quotient of $\mathbb{R}^2$. As for ALH spaces, the ones coming from Theorem 1.3 all have an isometrically split cross-section $S^1 \times T^2$, the length $2\pi\ell$ of the circle being an intrinsic parameter of the ALH metric. On the other hand, we will see in Theorem 1.11(ii) that such spaces admit small Ricci-flat ALH deformations whose cross-sections do no longer split.

The *monodromy* referred to in the next theorem is the topological monodromy as in Definition 1.2, viewed as a conjugacy class in $\mathrm{Sl}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and labelled according to the so-called *Kodaira types*, which in turn are in one-to-one correspondence with the possible topological types of the deleted fibers D, cf. Section 4.1.

Theorem 1.5 (Asymptotics)**.** *Let X, f, D, M, Ω, Δ, ω, α, $\omega_{\rm CY}$ be as above and let ε denote the area of the fibers of f with respect to either ω or $\omega_{\rm CY}$.*

(i) *If D is smooth, then $\omega_{\rm CY}$ is $\mathrm{ALH}(\ell,\varepsilon,\tau)$, $\ell^2\varepsilon = i\alpha\int_D R\wedge\bar{R}$, where R is the residue of Ω along D, normalized as $z\Omega = dz\wedge R$, and τ is the modulus of D.*

(ii) *If D is singular with finite order monodromy, then $\omega_{\rm CY}$ is $\mathrm{ALG}(2,[\theta,\varepsilon,\tau])$ with (θ,τ) depending on the Kodaira monodromy type as follows, $\zeta_3 = \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{3})$:*

type	I_0^*	II	II^*	III	III^*	IV	IV^*
θ	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{5}{6}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{3}{4}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{2}{3}$
τ	any	ζ_3	ζ_3	i	i	ζ_3	ζ_3

(iii) *If D is singular with infinite monodromy, then there are two possibilities.*

- *Kodaira type I_b, monodromy conjugate to $(\begin{smallmatrix}1 & b\\ 0 & 1\end{smallmatrix})$, $1\leq b\leq 9$: For any fixed x_0, $\omega_{\rm CY}$ satisfies $|B(x_0,s)|\sim s^{4/3}$ for $s\gg 1$, $\mathrm{inj}(x)\sim|B(x,1)|\sim r(x)^{-1/3}$, $r(x) := 1+\mathrm{dist}(x_0,x)$, $|\mathrm{Rm}|\sim r^{-2}$, and $|\nabla^k\mathrm{Rm}|\lesssim_k r^{-2-k}$ for all $k\in\mathbb{N}$. Moreover, $\omega_{\rm CY}$ has a unique tangent cone at infinity given by the half-line $\mathbb{R}^+$.*
- *Kodaira type I_b^*, monodromy conjugate to $-(\begin{smallmatrix}1 & b\\ 0 & 1\end{smallmatrix})$, $1\leq b\leq 4$: $\omega_{\rm CY}$ satisfies $|B(x_0,s)|\sim s^2$ for $s\gg 1$, $\mathrm{inj}(x)\sim|B(x,1)|\sim(\log r(x))^{-1/2}$, $|\mathrm{Rm}|\sim r^{-2}(\log r)^{-1}$, and $|\nabla^k\mathrm{Rm}|\lesssim_k r^{-2-k}(\log r)^{-1}$ for all $k\in\mathbb{N}$. Moreover, $\omega_{\rm CY}$ has a unique tangent cone at infinity given by $\mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$.*

Remark 1.6. (i) In the ALG and I_b^* cases, the parameter α from Theorem 1.3, while corresponding to an infinitesimal Einstein deformation, has very likely no geometric significance and can be changed by flowing along the scaling vector field $r\partial_r$ on the tangent cone at infinity. On the other hand, in the ALH and I_b cases, α corresponds to an intrinsic length scale of $\omega_{\rm CY}$.

(ii) Elliptic fibrations are called *isotrivial* if all smooth fibers are isomorphic, cf. Example 4.1 for a careful discussion of isotrivial rational elliptic surfaces. Isotrivial ALG spaces with a type I_0^*, II, III, or IV fiber at infinity can also be obtained from crepant resolution constructions, as in [5, 67], or heuristically in [27, 36]. The cones on the base are then honest quotients of $\mathbb{R}^2$ by cyclic group actions.

(iii) The I_b manifolds appear to be the first examples of complete Ricci-flat four-manifolds to saturate the r^{-2} curvature bound from Cheeger-Tian [14].

(iv) For certain (X,D) with D smooth, Biquard-Minerbe [5] found cyclic groups of (anti-)automorphisms of X acting freely on M and isometrically with respect to $g_{\rm CY}$ for proper choices of ω. This yields asymptotically cylindrical Ricci-flat spaces with any one of the five non-trivial orientable quotients of T^3 as cross-section. $\square$

Remark 1.7. Recall that $\omega_{\rm CY} = \omega_0 + i\partial\bar{\partial}u$ with a complete background metric ω_0 and a well-behaved potential u, where ω_0 coincides with a Gross-Wilson style [31] semi-flat metric at infinity. Thus, ω_0 is Ricci-flat with $\omega_0^2 = \alpha\Omega\wedge\bar{\Omega}$ outside some compact subset of M, and exactly flat when restricted to smooth fibers there.

(i) Cherkis-Kapustin [18] gave arguments to the effect that certain moduli spaces of instantons appearing in physics are rational elliptic surfaces with an I_b^* removed, $0\leq b\leq 4$, the natural L^2 metric being hyperkähler and semi-flat at infinity.

(ii) In the ALG and ALH cases, the deviation of ω_0 from being flat is typically at least as large as $\omega_{\rm CY}-\omega_0$. However, if the elliptic fibration is isotrivial, then ω_0 is indeed flat and we can replace ALG(2) by $\mathrm{ALG}(2+\frac{1}{\theta}-\delta)$ for any $\delta>0$. $\square$

We now discuss our partial uniqueness result for ALH metrics. What we do here is similar to work of Joyce [40] on crepant resolutions of $\mathbb{C}^m/\Gamma$, see also [77, 78, 79]. In these papers uniqueness is proved assuming that the coordinate system at infinity is *fixed*, i.e. that the two complete CY metrics on a given complex manifold which one would like to prove to be equal are already asymptotic *as tensor fields*. We make a small elementary improvement on this if the cross-section splits as a product.

Definition 1.8. Let (N, g) be a complete Riemannian four-manifold which is ALH as in Definition 1.4(ii). We say that a tensor field T on N is *exponentially decaying*, or ED for short, if $|\nabla^k T| \leq C(k)e^{-\varepsilon t}$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$ and all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Definition 1.9. Let (N, g, J) be complete Kähler and $\mathrm{ALH}(\ell, \varepsilon, \tau)$ with respect to $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \times S^1 \times T^2 \to N \setminus K$. We say that (N, J) is Φ-*compactifiable* if there exist a compact manifold Y, a complex structure $\bar{J}$ on Y, a $\bar{J}$-holomorphic 2-torus $E \subset Y$, and a diffeomorphism $\iota : N \to Y \setminus E$ with $\iota^*\bar{J} = J$ such that $\iota \circ \Phi \circ \mu$ extends as an imbedding of $\Delta \times T^2$ into Y, where $\mu(\exp(-\frac{t}{\ell} + i\varphi), x) := (t, \varphi, x)$.

Nordström [62] has proved that every simply-connected $\mathrm{ALH}(\ell, \varepsilon, \tau)$ Calabi-Yau surface becomes Φ-compactifiable after hyperkähler rotation if necessary.

Theorem 1.10 (ALH Partial Uniqueness)**.** *Let* (M_i, g_i)*,* $i = 1, 2$*, be Ricci-flat* ALH *Riemannian* 4*-manifolds with parallel orthogonal almost-complex structures* J_i *and corresponding Kähler forms* ω_i*. Let* $\Psi : M_1 \to M_2$ *be a diffeomorphism such that* $\Psi^*J_2 = J_1$ *and* $\Psi^*[\omega_2] = [\omega_1]$ *in the sense of de Rham cohomology.*

(i) *If the* M_i *are diffeomorphic to the complement of a smooth fiber in a rational elliptic surface and if* $\Psi^*g_2 - g_1 = \mathrm{ED}$*, then* $\Psi^*g_2 = g_1$*.*

(ii) *If the* (M_i, g_i) *are* $\mathrm{ALH}(\ell, \varepsilon, \tau)$*, the* (M_i, J_i) *are* Φ_i*-compactifiable with respect to coordinate systems* Φ_i*, and if* $\mu^{-1} \circ \Phi_2^{-1} \circ \Psi \circ \Phi_1 \circ \mu$ *extends as a diffeomorphism from* $\Delta \times T^2$ *to itself with* μ *as in Definition 1.9, then* $\Psi^*g_2 - g_1 = \mathrm{ED}$*.*

Part (i) is a corollary of cylindrical Hodge theory while Part (ii) simply expresses the fact that, under the given conditions, Ψ must converge to a tame automorphism of $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau)$, hence to an isometry of the flat metric $\ell^2|d\log z|^2 \oplus g_{\varepsilon,\tau}$.

Theorem 1.11 (ALH Moduli)**.** *Let* M *be diffeomorphic to the complement of some smooth fiber in a rational elliptic surface, let* g *be* ALH *hyperkähler on* M*, and define* $\mathcal{H} := \{h \in C^\infty(\mathrm{Sym}^2T^*M) : h = \textit{parallel} + \mathrm{ED}$ *outside a compact set*$\}$*.*

(i) *The kernel inside* $\mathcal{H}$ *of the linearization at* g *of the Ricci curvature operator is of dimension* 29 *modulo arbitrary Lie derivatives and multiples of* g*. The subspace of* ED *bilinear forms has dimension* 24*, and the quotient is naturally isomorphic to the deformation space* $\mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{R})/\mathfrak{so}(3)$ *of the flat metric on the cross-section.*

(ii) *If* (X, J) *is a generic rational elliptic surface and if* (M, g, J) *with* $M = X \setminus D$ *is one of the* ALH *Calabi-Yau spaces with an isometrically split cross-section* $T^3 = S^1 \times T^2$ *constructed in Tian-Yau* [73, Theorem 5.2]*, then all kernel elements from Part* (i) *are tangent to some curve of* ALH *hyperkähler metrics on* M*.*

Koiso [44] showed that CY metrics have unobstructed deformations on compact manifolds. Kovalev [46] sketched an extension of this theory to the asymptotically cylindrical case, but there are certain difficulties in applying this work unmodified, largely due to the fact that D is flat and correspondingly $\pi_1(D) \neq 0$. For example, it may not be clear from the outset that the complex structure stays compactifiable up to hyperkähler rotation, although this does turn out to be the case. On the other hand, the cross-section *can* be deformed so as to break the isometric splitting.

In the setting of Part (ii), the deformation space can be understood as follows: There are complex moduli from varying the pencil ($\dim_{\mathbb{C}} = 8$, ED) or D ($\dim_{\mathbb{C}} = 1$, not ED). Among the remaining moduli of the metric, those that keep the splitting of the cross-section correspond to varying the Kähler class ($\dim_{\mathbb{R}} = 8$, ED) or the length of the S^1 factor inside a fixed Kähler class ($\dim_{\mathbb{R}} = 1$, not ED). The non-split moduli ($\dim_{\mathbb{R}} = 2$, not ED) are still realized inside each fixed Kähler class.

The restriction to Tian-Yau type metrics in Part (ii) is for technical convenience only. In fact, there are various ways of proving that ALH spaces have unobstructed deformations in general, e.g. by reducing the problem to Nordström's work [61] on asymptotically cylindrical G_2-manifolds by taking products with a flat 3-torus.

Plan of the paper. As mentioned above, we will apply a non-compact version due to Tian-Yau [73, 74] of Yau's solution [81] of the Calabi conjecture. The set-up needed for this is an open complex manifold M together with a complete Kähler metric ω_0 whose Ricci form satisfies $\rho(\omega_0) = i\partial\bar{\partial}f$ with $f \to 0$ at infinity. A convenient way to fulfill this condition is to look for complex manifolds M with global holomorphic volume forms Ω and complete Kähler metrics ω_0 such that $e^f := (i^{m^2}\Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega})/\omega_0^m$ converges to 1 at infinity, where $m = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} M$. If this is the case, then Ω obviously has some sort of a pole at infinity. We may then hope to find a well-behaved solution u for the Monge-Ampère equation $(\omega_0 + i\partial\bar{\partial}u)^m = e^f\omega_0^m$, so that $\omega_0 + i\partial\bar{\partial}u$ would be complete and Calabi-Yau with asymptotic geometry comparable to ω_0.

From a PDE point of view, the difficult part in extending Yau's work is to provide Sobolev inequalities for the L^∞ estimate, and sometimes (in practice: if the volume growth of ω_0 is r^2 or less), bounded solutions may not even exist unless one imposes an integrability condition, $\int(e^f - 1)\omega_0^m = 0$. Strictly speaking, the existence result from Tian-Yau [73] suffices for our applications; however, we offer a self-contained proof of some new, sharp weighted Sobolev inequalities (Proposition 2.2), hence a sharp existence theorem (Proposition 3.1), which hold in some generality and may be of independent interest. Also, while the solutions produced by this method are bounded in all C^k norms, we need some decay for the asymptotics in Theorem 1.5. This will be proved in Section 3.4 by elementary arguments.

This leaves us with writing down background metrics ω_0 on the complement of a given fiber in a rational elliptic fibration. To understand the nature of this problem, it is helpful to recall the general approach in Tian-Yau [73, 74]. Thus, $M = X \setminus D$, where X is a compact Kähler manifold and D is a reduced divisor such that there exists a meromorphic volume form Ω on X with $\mathrm{div}(\Omega) = -\alpha D$ for some pole order $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$. Our goal is to find a complete Kähler metric ω_0 such that ω_0^m converges to $i^{m^2}\Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega}$ when approaching D. Note that there can be no *canonical* choice for ω_0, not even if $X = \mathbb{P}^2$, $D = \mathbb{P}^1$ ($\alpha = 3$), as both the Euclidean metric and Taub-NUT [49] are CY on $\mathbb{C}^2$ with identical volume forms. The strategy in Tian-Yau then is to assume D is *smooth* and build ω_0 by separation of variables, $\omega_0 = i\partial\bar{\partial}F(|\Omega|^2) + \omega$, where ω is a Kähler metric on X, $F : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$, and $|\Omega|^2 = h(\Omega, \Omega)$ for a hermitian metric h on K_X, all three to be determined from the equation.

One finds that if D is ample, then ω is irrelevant to leading order and ω_0 will be the complex cone over a positive Kähler-Einstein metric on D if $\alpha > 1$ and if such a metric exists, and a sort of degenerate cone over a CY metric on D if $\alpha = 1$, with the circles normal to D collapsing and the directions tangent to D blowing up. On complex surfaces, the $\alpha > 1$ case only occurs for $D = \mathbb{P}^1$ in $X = \mathbb{P}^2$, producing the flat metric on $\mathbb{C}^2$ (to obtain nontrivial ALE spaces, one has to consider orbifolds), while $\alpha = 1$ occurs for every del Pezzo surface $X = \mathbb{P}^2 \# \, k\bar{\mathbb{P}}^2$, $0 \leq k \leq 8$, and D the strict transform of a smooth cubic in $\mathbb{P}^2$. On the other hand, if D is not ample but still moves in a pencil, then $\alpha = 1$, ω does play a role to leading order, and ω_0 limits to a Ricci-flat cylinder $\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^1 \times (D, \omega|_D)$. If $m = 2$, this happens precisely when X is rational elliptic, so then the Tian-Yau ansatz yields an ALH space.

In this paper, we drop the separation of variables idea altogether, and focus on the elliptic fibration structure rather than the smoothness of D. Our background metrics ω_0 will at first only be defined in a neighborhood of D, and will be obtained as Riemannian submersions with flat metrics on the fibers, as in Gross-Wilson [31]. It is interesting to note that even though the neighborhood boundaries of an I_b fiber and of a smooth cubic in $\mathbb{P}^2 \# \, (9-b)\bar{\mathbb{P}}^2$ are homeomorphic, the Tian-Yau ansatz in that case looks quite different: Here, each T^2 fiber carries a monodromy invariant S^1 which collapses, while there, the normal S^1 bundle collapses onto T^2.

We construct our background metrics ω_0, study their geometric properties, and thus conclude the proof of Theorems 1.3 and 1.5, in Section 4. Section 4.1 provides a detailed review of rational elliptic surfaces, with examples for the isotrivial case, and of Kodaira's theory of singular fibers. Section 4.2 constructs semi-flat metrics explicitly in terms of the periods. We treat fibers of any dimension, and show that the induced metric h on the base satisfies $\mathrm{Ric}(h) = g_{\mathrm{WP}}$, the Weil-Petersson metric being pulled back from the Siegel upper half plane in this case. Section 4.3 studies the geometry of the semi-flat metrics in the surface case, extracting formulas for the periods from Kodaira's work. Section 4.4 shows how to glue the semi-flat metrics, which live in a neighborhood of the deleted fiber, with given metrics on M so that the Monge-Ampère integrability condition $\int(\omega_0^2 - \alpha\Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega}) = 0$ is satisfied.

Section 5 gives the proofs of Theorems 1.10 and 1.11, after briefly reviewing the necessary Hodge theoretic machinery on asymptotically cylindrical manifolds, and in Section 6 we discuss some open problems and a potential application of the new Calabi-Yau metrics to the collapsing/bubbling problem on K3 (Problem 6.1).

Notation. Generic constants are ≥ 1 and $\sim$ means comparable up to generic factors. $A = A(x,r,s) := B(x,s) - \bar{B}(x,r)$, $\mu A := A(x, \mu^{-1}r, \mu s)$, $\mu > 1$. For $\delta \geq 0$, $v_\delta(r)$ $[a_\delta(r)]$ denotes the volume [area] of a ball [sphere] of radius r in the model space of constant curvature $-\delta^2$. For functions $\varphi > 0$ and u on a set X, $u_{X,\,\varphi}$ and $\|u\|_{X,\,\varphi,\,p}$ denote the average and L^p norm of u over X with respect to $\varphi\, d\mathrm{vol}$. If $1 \leq p < n$, then $\alpha_{np} := n/(n-p)$. Our notation for Kähler manifolds is (M, ω), $n = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} M = 2m$, $\omega(X,Y) = \langle JX, Y\rangle$, $\omega = i g_{j\bar{k}} dz^j \wedge d\bar{z}^k$, hence $g_{j\bar{k}} = g(\partial_{z^j}, \partial_{\bar{z}^k}) = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{jk}$ on $\mathbb{C}^m$, and we agree that if g is a J-invariant inner product on an $\mathbb{R}$-vector space V, then it induces a hermitian metric $h(X,Y) := g(\bar{X}, Y)$ on $V^{1,0}$, so identifying $V^{1,0} = V$ as usual, $h(X,Y) = g(\frac{1}{2}(X + iJX), \frac{1}{2}(Y - iJY)) = \frac{1}{2}(g(X,Y) + i\omega(X,Y))$ if $X, Y \in V$. The Ricci form, $\rho = \rho(\omega)$, $\rho(X,Y) = \mathrm{Ric}(JX, Y)$, $[\rho] = 2\pi c_1(M)$, and the Kähler differential operators, $d^c := i(\bar{\partial} - \partial)$, $dd^c = 2i\partial\bar{\partial}$, $\Delta := 2g^{j\bar{k}}\partial_{z^j}\partial_{\bar{z}^k}$.

Acknowledgments. I am deeply grateful to my advisor, Gang Tian, for introducing me to this circle of questions and for his guidance. I thank Richard Bamler, Ronan Conlon, Aaron Naber, Johannes Nordström and Yikuan Yan for many illuminating discussions, John Lott for conversations leading to the formulation of Problem 6.1, an anonymous referee for indicating a shortcut which made Section 2.2 much more readable, and the BICMR at Peking University for its support and great hospitality during completion of a preliminary version of this paper in Spring 2009.

2. Weighted Sobolev inequalities

2.1. **Overview.**

Our goal here is to prove Proposition 2.2, which provides weighted Sobolev inequalities with almost sharp weights on a class of complete Riemannian manifolds (M^n, g) with $\mathrm{Ric} \geq -Cr^{-2}$ at infinity and homogeneous volume growth of some order $\beta > 0$. More precisely, we are assuming the following condition:

Definition 2.1. (M^n, g) is called $\mathrm{SOB}(\beta)$, $\beta > 0$, if there exist $x_0 \in M$ and $C \geq 1$ such that $A(x_0, r, r+s)$ is connected for all $r \geq 100$ and $s > 0$, $|B(x_0, s)| \leq Cs^\beta$ for all $s \geq 1$, and $|B(x, \frac{1}{2}r(x))| \geq \frac{1}{C}r(x)^\beta$ and $\mathrm{Ric}(x) \geq -Cr(x)^{-2}$ if $r(x) \geq 1$.

The connectedness hypothesis implies that all far-out annuli satisfy a Neumann-type Poincaré inequality, and follows from M having one end and $b_1(M) < \infty$. We claim that if $\mathrm{SOB}(\beta)$ holds for some $\beta > 0$, then the following is true:

Proposition 2.2. (i) *For all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a step function $\psi_\varepsilon : M \to \mathbb{R}^+$, with $\psi_\varepsilon \sim (1+r)^{-\max\{\beta,2\}-\varepsilon}$, such that for all $\alpha \in [1, \alpha_{n2}]$ and all $u \in C_0^\infty(M)$,*

$$\left(\int_M |u - u_\varepsilon|^{2\alpha} \, (1+r)^{\alpha(\min\{\beta-2,0\}-\varepsilon)-\beta} \, d\mathrm{vol}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \leq C(\varepsilon) \int_M |\nabla u|^2 \, d\mathrm{vol}, \tag{2.1}$$

where u_ε denotes the average of u with respect to the finite measure $\psi_\varepsilon \, d\mathrm{vol}$.

(ii) *If $\beta > 2$, then, for all $\alpha \in [1, \alpha_{n2}]$ and all $u \in C_0^\infty(M)$,*

$$\left(\int_M |u|^{2\alpha} \, (1+r)^{\alpha(\beta-2)-\beta} d\mathrm{vol}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \leq C \int_M |\nabla u|^2 \, d\mathrm{vol}. \tag{2.2}$$

Corollary 2.3. *Let (M^n, g) be such that there exist a compact set $K \subset M$, a closed manifold (N^{n-1}, h), a diffeomorphism $\Phi : (1, \infty) \times N \to M \setminus K$, and $C \geq 1$ such that $\frac{1}{C} g_{\mathrm{cone}} \leq \Phi^* g \leq C g_{\mathrm{cone}}$ for the metric $g_{\mathrm{cone}} = dt^2 \oplus t^2 h$ on $\mathbb{R}^+ \times N$. Then*

$$\int_M |u|^{2\alpha} (1+r)^{\alpha(n-2)-n} \, d\mathrm{vol} \leq C \int_M |\nabla u|^2 \, d\mathrm{vol} \tag{2.3}$$

for all $u \in C_0^\infty(M)$ and $\alpha \in [1, \alpha_{n2}]$. ☐

Remark 2.4. (i) Tian-Yau [73] prove (2.1) in a rather more general setting but with a weaker weight, and (2.2) intersects with results of Minerbe [54] for $\mathrm{Ric} \geq 0$, some of whose methods, originally from Grigor'yan and Saloff-Coste [29], we borrow. In [74], using fairly special methods, Tian-Yau prove (2.3) for $\alpha = \alpha_{n2}$ if N is a finite cover of a minimal submanifold of a round sphere. Very recent, independent work of van Coevering [79] contains a general proof of (2.3) along similar lines.

(ii) $\mathrm{SOB}(\beta)$ and (2.2) are closely related to non-parabolicity, i.e. the existence of a positive Green's function: $\mathrm{SOB}(\beta)$ implies Li-Tam's condition (VC) from [51], so according to their results, M is non-parabolic if and only if $\beta > 2$, in which case all Green's functions are $\sim r^{2-\beta}$ at infinity. Also, by Carron [8], a complete M is non-parabolic if and only if there exists $\varphi \in C^\infty(M)$, $\varphi > 0$, with $\int u^2 \varphi \leq C \int |\nabla u|^2$ for all $u \in C_0^\infty(M)$, which corresponds to (2.2) for $\alpha = 1$. Third, assuming $\mathrm{SOB}(\beta)$ with $\beta > 2$ and a mild extra condition, we will apply (2.2) in Section 3.4 to solve $\Delta u = f$ if $|f| \leq Cr^{-\mu}$, $\mu \in (2, \beta)$, with $|u| \leq C(\delta) r^{2-\mu+\delta}$ for all $\delta > 0$. ☐

The key to the proof is a volume comparison method introduced by Gromov [30]. Buser [7], Maheux and Saloff-Coste [53], and Cheeger-Colding [10] have applied this method to derive various Neumann-type L^p Poincaré and Sobolev inequalities, for the most part on geodesic balls, and there is a Dirichlet-type L^1 Sobolev inequality for geodesic balls due to Anderson [1] based on the same principle. In Sections 2.2 and 2.3, we show that the same idea also yields Dirichlet-type inequalities on other domains than balls, especially on annuli (Corollary 2.10). This already gives a global Sobolev inequality under lower Ricci bounds (Corollary 2.12) which implies Gallot's inequality [23] in the compact case. In Section 2.4, we then combine Corollary 2.10 with patching methods from Grigor'yan and Saloff-Coste [29] and Minerbe [55], and with the Cheeger-Colding segment inequality [10], to prove Proposition 2.2.

2.2. **Isoperimetric estimates à la Gromov and Anderson.**

Let M denote an n-dimensional Riemannian manifold without boundary, which may be incomplete. The goal of this section is to prove a general estimate (Lemma 2.6) for the volume of a domain in M in terms of the area of its boundary, based on an idea of Gromov [30, §6.C, Appendix C] and Anderson [1, Section 4].

Definition 2.5. Let X be a metric-measure space, $Y \subset X$. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a covering of Y by metric balls. We say $\mathcal{B}$ is (ε, r_0)-*good*, $0 < \varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{2}$, $r_0 > 0$, if $\mathrm{center}(B) \in Y$, $\min\{|B \setminus Y|, |B \cap Y|\} \geq \varepsilon |B|$, and $\mathrm{radius}(B) \leq r_0$ for all $B \in \mathcal{B}$.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $\Omega \subset M$ be open with a smooth boundary. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be an (ε, r_0)-good covering of Ω. Let N be the open $5r_0$-neighborhood of Ω, and assume $\bar{N}$ is compact. If* $\mathrm{Ric} \geq -(n-1)\delta^2$ *on N with $0 \leq r_0\delta \leq \Lambda$, and if $\alpha \geq 1$, then*

$$\frac{|\Omega|^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}{|\partial\Omega|} \leq C(n, \varepsilon, \Lambda) \sup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} |B|^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \mathrm{radius}(B). \tag{2.4}$$

Proof. Choose finitely many balls $B_i = B(x_i, r_i) \in \mathcal{B}$, $i = 1, ..., k$, such that the $2B_i$ are pairwise disjoint but still $\Omega \subset \bigcup 5B_i$. This can be achieved through a standard Vitali type procedure: Since $\bar{\Omega}$ is compact and $B \setminus \Omega \neq \emptyset$ for all $B \in \mathcal{B}$, there exists a finite sub-collection $\mathcal{B}' \subset \mathcal{B}$ that still covers Ω. We choose a $B_1 \in \mathcal{B}'$ of maximal radius, and we take $B_{i+1} \in \mathcal{B}'$ to be of maximal radius among all those $B \in \mathcal{B}'$ for which $2B$ is disjoint from $2B_1, ..., 2B_i$. Then, indeed, $\bigcup 5B_i \supset \bigcup \mathcal{B}' \supset \Omega$.

Key estimate: We have $|B_i| \leq C(n, \varepsilon, \Lambda) r_i |\partial\Omega \cap 2B_i|$ for all $i = 1, ..., k$.

If this is true, then (2.4) follows immediately, by noting that

$$|\Omega|^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \leq C(n, \Lambda) \sum |B_i|^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \leq C(n, \varepsilon, \Lambda) \sum |B_i|^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} r_i |\partial\Omega \cap 2B_i|.$$

Proof of the key estimate. The basic idea is as follows. Fix $z \in B_i \cap \Omega$ and project $B_i \setminus \Omega$ onto $\partial\Omega$ along minimal geodesics emanating from z. Define $\Sigma^{\mathrm{first}} \subset \partial\Omega \cap 2B_i$ to consist of the first points of entry into $B_i \setminus \Omega$ of the "light rays" involved in this projection. By integrating the infinitesimal version of the Bishop-Gromov volume comparison inequality along the maximal sub-segment with endpoints in $\bar{B}_i \setminus \Omega$ of each such light ray, and then integrating across Σ^{first}, one eventually finds that

$$\varepsilon |B_i| \leq |B_i \setminus \Omega| \leq \int_{\Sigma^{\mathrm{first}}} \frac{v_\delta(2r_i) - v_\delta(\mathrm{dist}(z, y))}{a_\delta(\mathrm{dist}(z, y))} \, d\mathrm{area}(y).$$

This proves the key estimate if $r_i \leq C(n, \varepsilon, \Lambda) \, \mathrm{dist}(z, \Sigma^{\mathrm{first}})$. But if this inequality *fails* for *all* $z \in B_i \cap \Omega$, then intuitively one should be able to find points $z' \in B_i \setminus \Omega$ such that the argument does go through with $z, B_i \setminus \Omega$ replaced by $z', B_i \cap \Omega$.

We now work out the details. Specifically, we show that $\min\{|B_i \setminus \Omega|, |B_i \cap \Omega|\} \leq 2(v_\delta(4r_i)/a_\delta(2r_i))|\partial\Omega \cap 2B_i|$, and this then suffices by (ε, r_0)-goodness.

Define $X_1, X_2 \subset (B_i \cap \Omega) \times (B_i \setminus \Omega)$ as follows: $X_1 := \{(z, z') :$ *there is a unique minimal geodesic γ from z to z', and this has the following properties: it intersects*

$\partial\Omega$ *only transversely, and if* y *denotes the first point along* γ, *counted from* z, *where* γ *intersects* $\partial\Omega$, *then* $\operatorname{dist}(z,y) \geq \operatorname{dist}(y,z')\}$, and almost verbatim for X_2, with the only difference that the inequality is now reversed. Then $X_1 \cup X_2$ has full measure in $(B_i \cap \Omega) \times (B_i \setminus \Omega)$, and so one of X_1, X_2 must have at least half measure.

- X_1 *has at least half measure.* By Fubini, there must be a $z \in B_i \cap \Omega$ such that $Z' := \{z' \in B_i \setminus \Omega : (z,z') \in X_1\}$ has at least half measure in $B_i \setminus \Omega$. We now bound $|Z'|$ above by projecting onto $\partial\Omega$ along minimal geodesics from z, and integrating the infinitesimal Bishop-Gromov inequality along these geodesics.

Let Σ^{first} be the set of all $y \in \partial\Omega \cap 2B_i$ which occur as the first intersection with $\partial\Omega$ of the geodesic γ from z to some $z' \in Z'$ as in the definition of X_1. Thus, for all $y \in \Sigma^{\text{first}}$ there exists a unique minimal geodesic γ_y from z to y, and we can write $\gamma_y(t) = \exp_z(v_y t)$, where $v_y \in T_zM$ is a uniquely determined unit vector.

Define $d_1, d_2 : \Sigma^{\text{first}} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ by $d_1(y) := \operatorname{dist}(z,y)$ and $d_2(y) := \min\{\sup\{t > 0 : \gamma_y(d_1(y)+t) \in Z'\}, \sup\{t > 0 : \gamma_y \textit{ is minimal on } [0, d_1(y)+t]\}\}$.

For every $z' \in Z'$ then, there exists a unique $y \in \Sigma^{\text{first}}$ such that $z' = \gamma_y(t)$ for some $d_1(y) < t \leq d_1(y) + d_2(y)$. Thus, if we define an imbedding $\Phi : U \hookrightarrow M$ with $U := \{(y,t) \in \Sigma^{\text{first}} \times \mathbb{R}^+ : d_1(y) < t < d_1(y) + d_2(y)\}$ and $\Phi(y,t) := \gamma_y(t)$, then $\operatorname{clos}(\Phi(U))$ contains Z'. On the other hand, a fairly standard calculation yields

$$\Phi^*(d\mathrm{vol}_M)|_{(y,t)} = \frac{J(tv_y)}{J(d_1(y)v_y)} \cos\alpha_y \, d\mathrm{area}_{\partial\Omega} \wedge dt,$$

where $J(w) := |w|^{n-1} \det d\exp_z|_w$ for all $w \in T_zM$, and where α_y denotes the angle between $\dot\gamma_y(d_1(y))$ and the exterior unit normal to $\partial\Omega$ at y.

We integrate over U and apply relative volume comparison:

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Phi(U)| &\leq \int_{\Sigma^{\text{first}}} \int_{d_1(y)}^{d_1(y)+d_2(y)} \frac{J(tv_y)}{J(d_1(y)v_y)} \, dt \, d\mathrm{area}(y) \\
&\leq \int_{\Sigma^{\text{first}}} \int_{d_1(y)}^{d_1(y)+d_2(y)} \frac{a_\delta(t)}{a_\delta(d_1(y))} \, dt \, d\mathrm{area}(y) \\
&= \int_{\Sigma^{\text{first}}} \frac{v_\delta(d_1(y)+d_2(y)) - v_\delta(d_1(y))}{a_\delta(d_1(y))} \, d\mathrm{area}(y).
\end{aligned}$$

We now estimate the integrand as follows:

$$\frac{v_\delta(d_1+d_2) - v_\delta(d_1)}{a_\delta(d_1)} \leq \frac{v_\delta(d_1+d_2)}{a_\delta(d_1)} \leq \frac{v_\delta(2d_1)}{a_\delta(d_1)} \leq \frac{v_\delta(4r_i)}{a_\delta(2r_i)},$$

because $d_2 \leq d_1 \leq 2r_i$ and because $s \mapsto v_\delta(2s)/a_\delta(s)$ is non-decreasing. Altogether then, $|B_i \setminus \Omega| \leq 2|Z'| \leq 2|\Phi(U)| \leq 2(v_\delta(4r_i)/a_\delta(2r_i))|\Sigma^{\text{first}}|$, as needed.

- X_2 *has at least half measure.* By Fubini again, there now exists a $z' \in B_i \setminus \Omega$ such that $Z := \{z \in B_i \cap \Omega : (z,z') \in X_2\}$ has at least half measure in $B_i \cap \Omega$. Let Σ^{last} denote the set of all $y \in \partial\Omega \cap 2B_i$ which occur as the *last* intersection of γ^{-1} with $\partial\Omega$, where γ is the geodesic from some $z \in Z$ to z' as in the definition of X_2. For each $y \in \Sigma^{\text{last}}$, there then exists a unique minimal geodesic $\gamma_y(t) = \exp_{z'}(tv_y)$ from z' to y, and the rest of the argument will be the same as above up to replacing $z, Z', \Sigma^{\text{first}}$ by $z', Z, \Sigma^{\text{last}}$ and switching the interior and exterior normals of Ω. □

Remark 2.7. A similar sort of reasoning yields the following result: If $B = B(x,r) \subset M$ is such that $3r < \operatorname{diam}(M)$, $4\bar{B}$ is compact, and $\operatorname{Ric} \geq -\Lambda r^{-2}$ on $4B$ with $\Lambda \geq 0$, then $\frac{1}{C} r|\partial B| \leq |B| \leq Cr|\partial B|$ with a uniform $C = C(n,\Lambda)$ which in particular does not depend on the collapsedness of B. Here, the upper bound follows as before, by projecting B onto ∂B along minimal geodesics issuing from a point on $\partial(3B)$. For the lower bound, we sweep out a subset $B^* \subset B$ by joining x to all smooth points of ∂B, express $|B^*|$ in polar coordinates, and then use Bishop-Gromov in the form $J(tv)/J(rv) \geq a_\delta(t)/a_\delta(r)$, $v \in T_xM$, $|v| = 1$, $t \leq r$, to estimate from below.

2.3. **Dirichlet-type Sobolev inequalities on balls and annuli.**

Assuming lower Ricci bounds, subsets of geodesic balls or annuli admit (ε, r_0)-good ball coverings for controlled values of ε, r_0 (Lemma 2.8). By Lemma 2.6, this implies a Dirichlet-isoperimetric, hence a Dirichlet-Sobolev inequality (Corollary 2.10). As a corollary, we obtain a global Gallot- or Varopoulos-type inequality (Corollary 2.12).

Note that M is still not required to be complete. In the following lemma, we fix a point $x_0 \in M$ and put $B(r) := B(x_0, r)$, $A(r_1, r_2) := A(x_0, r_1, r_2)$.

Lemma 2.8. (i) *If* $s < \frac{1}{4}\operatorname{diam}(M)$, $B := B(s)$, $9\bar{B}$ *is compact,* $\operatorname{Ric} \geq -(n-1)\delta^2$ *on* $9B$, *then for all* $\Lambda \geq 0$ *there exists* $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(n, \Lambda) > 0$ *such that if* $0 \leq \delta s \leq \Lambda$, *then for all* $x \in \Omega \subset B$ *there exists* $0 < r_{x,\Omega} \leq 4s$ *with* $|B(x, r_{x,\Omega}) \setminus \Omega| = \varepsilon |B(x, r_{x,\Omega})|$.

(ii) *If* $r > 6t$, $A := A(r, r+s)$, $\bar{B}(r + 2s + 2t)$ *is compact,* $\operatorname{Ric} \geq -(n-1)\delta^2$ *on* $A(r - 6t, r + 2s + 2t)$, *then for all* $\Lambda, N \geq 0$ *there exists an* $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(n, \Lambda, N) > 0$ *such that if* $0 \leq \delta t \leq \Lambda$, $s \leq Nt$, *then for all* $x \in \Omega \subset A$ *there exists* $0 < r_{x,\Omega} \leq r_x^* := \operatorname{dist}(x_0, x) - r + 2t$ *with* $|B(x, r_{x,\Omega}) \setminus \Omega| = \varepsilon |B(x, r_{x,\Omega})|$.

Proof. (i) Fix a minimal geodesic γ from x to some point $x'' \in \partial B(x, 4s)$ and put $x' := \gamma(3s)$. Then, by volume comparison, $|B(x, 4s) \setminus B| \geq |B(x', s)| \geq \varepsilon |B(x, 4s)|$ for some definite $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(n, \Lambda) > 0$. The claim then follows by continuity.

(ii) Fix a minimal geodesic γ from x_0 to x. Let $x_i := \gamma(r + (2i-3)t)$, $B_i := B(x_i, t)$ for $i = 1, ..., k$, where k is maximal with $r + (2k-3)t \leq \operatorname{dist}(x_0, x)$; notice $k \leq \frac{1}{2}(N+3)$. Then $B(x, r_x^*) \setminus A \supset B_1$ and $3B_i \supset B_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, ..., k-1$. Volume comparison shows $|B_i| \geq \varepsilon |3B_i|$ for all i, so $|B(x, r_x^*) \setminus A| \geq \varepsilon |B_k|$ by induction. By volume comparison again, $|B_k| \geq \varepsilon |B(x_k, 2t + r_x^*)|$, and $B(x_k, 2t + r_x^*) \supset B(x, r_x^*)$ by maximality of k. Thus, $|B(x, r_x^*) \setminus A| \geq \varepsilon |B(x, r_x^*)|$, so we conclude as in (i). ☐

Thus, for all $\Omega \subset B, A$ in (i), (ii), the covering $\{B(x, r_{x,\Omega}) : x \in \Omega\}$ is (ε, r_0)-good with $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(n, \Lambda)$, $r_0 = 4s$, and $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(n, \Lambda, N)$, $r_0 = s + 2t$, respectively, so then Lemma 2.6 provides a uniform isoperimetric estimate for all such Ω, which by the following well-known result implies a Dirichlet-type Sobolev inequality for B, A.

Lemma 2.9 ([50, Theorem 9.1])**.** *For all* $\Omega_0 \subset M$ *open and precompact,* $\alpha \geq 1$,

$$\sup\left\{\frac{\|u\|_\alpha}{\|\nabla u\|_1} : u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega_0),\ u \neq 0\right\} = \sup\left\{\frac{|\Omega|^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}{|\partial\Omega|} : \Omega \subset \Omega_0 \text{ open},\ \partial\Omega \text{ smooth}\right\}.$$

Also, recall that by Hölder's inequality, for all $p \geq 1$ and $\alpha \geq 1$,

$$\operatorname{DS}(\Omega_0, p, \alpha) := \sup \frac{\|u\|_{\alpha p}}{\|\nabla u\|_p} \leq \frac{\alpha p}{\alpha'} \sup \frac{\|u\|_{\alpha'}}{\|\nabla u\|_1} \text{ if } 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha'} = \frac{1}{p}\left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right), \tag{2.5}$$

where the suprema are over all $u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega_0)$ with $u \neq 0$.

Corollary 2.10. (i) *If* $20s < \operatorname{diam}(M)$, $B(20s)$ *is precompact,* $\operatorname{Ric} \geq -(n-1)\delta^2$ *on* $B(20s)$ *with* $0 \leq \delta s \leq \Lambda$, *then, for all* $p \in [1, n)$ *and* $\alpha \in [1, \alpha_{np}]$,

$$\operatorname{DS}(B(s), p, \alpha) \leq C(n, p, \Lambda) v_\delta(s)^{\frac{1}{n}} |B(s)|^{\frac{1}{p}(\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1)}. \tag{2.6}$$

(ii) *If* $20s < \min\{r, \mathrm{diam}(M)\}$*,* $B(r + 20s)$ *is precompact, and* $\mathrm{Ric} \geq -(n-1)\delta^2$ *on* $A(r - 20s, r + 20s)$ *with* $0 \leq \delta s \leq \Lambda$*, then, for all* $p \in [1, n)$ *and* $\alpha \in [1, \alpha_{np}]$*,*

$$\mathrm{DS}(A(r, r+s), p, \alpha) \leq C(n, p, \Lambda) v_\delta(s)^{\frac{1}{n}} \sup_{x \in A(r, r+s)} |B(x, s)|^{\frac{1}{p}(\frac{1}{\alpha}-1)}. \tag{2.7}$$

Proof. (i) Combining Lemmas 2.6, 2.8 with Lemma 2.9, (2.5),

$$\mathrm{DS}(B(s), p, \alpha) \leq C(n, p, \alpha, \Lambda) \sup \left\{ v_\delta(r)^{\frac{1}{n}} |B(x, r)|^{\frac{1}{p}(\frac{1}{\alpha}-1)} : x \in B(s), r \in (0, 4s] \right\}$$

for all $p \geq 1$, $\alpha \geq 1$. The right-hand side is finite iff either $p \in [1, n)$ and $\alpha \in [1, \alpha_{np}]$, or $p \geq n$. The second case is covered by the first, and in the first case, (2.6) follows by volume comparison. The proof of (ii) is similar. □

Remark 2.11. Corollary 2.10(i) recovers Anderson [1, Theorem 4.1], which has its roots in Gromov [30]. We have streamlined Anderson's proof, and tweaked it so as to apply to more general domains. Note that (2.6) simply means that if $B = B(s)$, then, for all $\alpha \in [1, \alpha_{np}]$ and all $u \in C_0^\infty(B)$,

$$\left(\fint_B |u|^{\alpha p} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha p}} \leq C(n, p, \Lambda) s \left(\fint_B |\nabla u|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \tag{2.8}$$

Croke's sharp isoperimetric inequality in [19] would imply (2.8) with an additional collapsedness factor of $s^n |B|^{-1}$ on the right; the improvement afforded by (2.8) was crucial for the main ε-regularity theorem proved in [1]. By Maheux and Saloff-Coste [53, Théorème 1.1], (2.8) holds as well for all $u \in C^\infty(B)$ with mean value zero.

We conclude with a global Sobolev inequality similar to the ones given in Hebey [34, Theorem 3.14, Proposition 3.22] which follows immediately from Corollary 2.10. In the compact case, this implies a familiar result of Gallot [23, Théorème 6.16].

Corollary 2.12. *Let* M^n *be complete without boundary. If* $|B(x, r)| \geq \varepsilon > 0$ *for all* $x \in M$*,* $100r < \mathrm{diam}(M)$*,* $\mathrm{Ric} \geq -\Lambda r^{-2}$*,* $\Lambda \geq 0$*, and* $p \in [1, n)$*,* $\alpha \in [1, \alpha_{np}]$*,*

$$\|u\|_{\alpha p} \leq C(n, p, \Lambda) \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}(\frac{1}{\alpha}-1)} (r \|\nabla u\|_p + \|u\|_p), \tag{2.9}$$

for all $u \in C_0^\infty(M)$ *if* M *is open, and for all* $u \in C^\infty(M)$ *if* M *is closed.*

Proof. Fix $x_0 \in M$ and define $r_m := (1 + \frac{m}{100}) r$, $A_m := A(x_0, r_m, r_{m+1})$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Take $\chi_0 \in C_0^\infty(B(x_0, r_2))$ with $0 \leq \chi_0 \leq 1$, $\chi_0 \equiv 1$ on $B(x_0, r_1)$, $|\nabla \chi_0| \leq 200 r^{-1}$. For $m \geq 1$ take $\chi_m \in C_0^\infty(A_{m-1} \cup \bar{A}_m \cup A_{m+1})$ with $0 \leq \chi_m \leq 1$, $\chi_m \equiv 1$ on A_m, $|\nabla \chi_m| \leq 200 r^{-1}$. By Corollary 2.10, for all $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$\|u \chi_m\|_{\alpha p} \leq C(n, p, \Lambda) r \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}(\frac{1}{\alpha}-1)} \|\nabla(u \chi_m)\|_p.$$

Take p-th powers, sum over m, and take p-th roots. □

2.4. **Proof of the weighted Sobolev inequalities.**

This section concludes the proof of Proposition 2.2 by combining Corollary 2.10 with some analysis on graphs, similar to what was developed in [29, 55] in much greater generality. Assume that M^n is complete noncompact without boundary and $\mathrm{SOB}(\beta)$ holds for some $\beta > 0$. Generic constants are allowed to depend on n, β, and the C from $\mathrm{SOB}(\beta)$.

The key step in passing from the Dirichlet-Sobolev bounds in Corollary 2.10 to the global Sobolev bounds in Proposition 2.2 is a certain Neumann-type Poincaré inequality. We need a continuous and a discrete version. The continuous one follows from a special case of the Cheeger-Colding segment inequality [10, Theorem 2.11]:

Lemma 2.13 (Cheeger-Colding)**.** *Let $B = B(x_0, r) \subset (M^n, g)$. If $2B$ is precompact and* $\mathrm{Ric} \geq -\Lambda r^{-2}$ *on $2B$ with $\Lambda \geq 0$, then, for all $u \in C^\infty(B)$,*

$$\int_B |u - u_B|^2 \leq C(n, \Lambda) r^2 \int_{2B} |\nabla u|^2. \tag{2.10}$$

Passing from B to $2B$ is inevitable in their proof because a segment between two points in B will usually only be contained in $2B$. Buser [7, Lemma 5.1] gives an L^p Neumann-type Poincaré inequality for every $p \geq 1$ which does not require doubling the radius, but (2.10) is all we really need, and surprisingly simple to show. Also, (2.10) has a useful discrete counterpart with a closely related proof.

If V is a countable set and E is a set of 2-element subsets of V, we call $G = (V, E)$ a *graph*. We say G is *connected* if any two vertices $x, y \in V$, $x \neq y$, can be joined by a *path*, i.e. a set $\gamma \subset V$ which can be listed as $\gamma = \{\gamma_0, ..., \gamma_m\}$ such that $\{\gamma_0, \gamma_m\} = \{x, y\}$ and $\{\gamma_{i-1}, \gamma_i\} \in E$ for $i = 1, ..., m$. If $u : V \to \mathbb{C}$, then we write $u_x := u(x)$, and we define $|\nabla u|^2 : V \to \mathbb{R}$ by setting $|\nabla u|^2_x := \sum_{y \in V : \{x,y\} \in E} |u_x - u_y|^2$.

Lemma 2.14. *Let G be connected and let $w : V \to \mathbb{R}^+$ satisfy $\sum_{x \in V} w_x = 1$. For all $x, y \in V$, $x \neq y$, fix a path $\gamma = \gamma_{xy} = \gamma_{yx}$ as above and write $m = m_{xy} = m_{yx}$. Define $\bar{w} : V \to \mathbb{R}^+$ by $\bar{w}_z := \sum_{\{x,y\} : z \in \gamma_{xy}} m_{xy} w_x w_y$. Then, for all $u : V \to \mathbb{C}$,*

$$\sum_{x \in V} w_x u_x = 0 \Longrightarrow \sum_{x \in V} w_x |u_x|^2 \leq \sum_{x \in V} \bar{w}_x |\nabla u|^2_x. \tag{2.11}$$

To prove this, multiply the lhs by $\sum w_x = 1$ and use $\sum w_x u_x = 0$ to obtain

$$\sum_x w_x |u_x|^2 = \sum_{\{x,y\}} w_x w_y |u_x - u_y|^2 \leq \sum_{\{x,y\}} m_{xy} w_x w_y \sum_{i=1}^{m_{xy}} |u_{\gamma_{xy,i}} - u_{\gamma_{xy,i-1}}|^2.$$

Proof of Proposition 2.2. Fix $\alpha \in [1, \alpha_{n2}]$ and $\eta := 1.001$. Corollary 2.10 yields

$$B := B(x_0, 1000) \Longrightarrow \mathrm{DS}(\eta B, 2, \alpha) \leq C, \tag{2.12}$$

$$A := A(x_0, r, \eta r),\ r \geq 1000 \Longrightarrow \mathrm{DS}(\eta A, 2, \alpha) \leq C r^{1 + \frac{\beta}{2}(\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1)}, \tag{2.13}$$

where we recall that $\mu A(x_0, r, s) := A(x_0, \mu^{-1} r, \mu s)$ if $\mu \geq 1$, $r < s$. The remainder of the proof is in three steps. In Step 0, we use Lemmas 2.13, 2.14 to establish weak Neumann-Poincaré inequalities, (2.14), (2.15), on slightly larger domains ηB_κ, ηA_κ, which together with (2.12), (2.13) imply weak Neumann-Sobolev inequalities (2.18), (2.19) for ηB, ηA. In Steps 1 and 2, we apply these four Neumann-type inequalities from Step 0, and Lemma 2.14 again, to prove (2.1) and (2.2), respectively.

Step 0: Weak Neumann-type Poincaré and Sobolev on certain balls and annuli. For $\kappa \geq 1$ define $B_\kappa := B(x_0, 1000\kappa)$ and $A_\kappa := A(x_0, r, \eta\kappa r)$. We first show that

$$\|u - u_{\eta B_\kappa}\|_{\eta B_\kappa, 2} \leq C(\kappa) \|\nabla u\|_{\eta^2 B_\kappa, 2}, \tag{2.14}$$

$$\|u - u_{\eta A_\kappa}\|_{\eta A_\kappa, 2} \leq C(\kappa) r \|\nabla u\|_{\eta^2 A_\kappa, 2}. \tag{2.15}$$

We write out a detailed argument for the annulus case (2.15) only. Pick a maximal $r/2000C_0$-separated set $x_1, ..., x_m$ in ηA_κ, so that the $B_i := B(x_i, r/1000C_0)$ cover ηA_κ, but the $\frac{1}{2} B_i$ are disjoint. Notice $|B_i| \sim r^\beta$ from $\mathrm{SOB}(\beta)$, so $m \leq C(\kappa)$. Then, from $\mathrm{SOB}(\beta)$ and the segment inequality (2.10), for all i and all $1 \leq \lambda \leq 10$,

$$\int_{\lambda B_i} |u - u_{\lambda B_i}|^2 \leq C r^2 \int_{2\lambda B_i} |\nabla u|^2. \tag{2.16}$$

For any $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ then,

$$\int_{\eta A_\kappa} |u - u_{\eta A_\kappa}|^2 \leq \int_{\eta A_\kappa} |u - \mu|^2 \leq 2\sum \int_{B_i} |u - u_{B_i}|^2 + 2\sum |B_i||u_{B_i} - \mu|^2. \tag{2.17}$$

The first sum can be bounded by using (2.16) with $\lambda = 1$. For the second, we apply Lemma 2.14, as follows. Construct a graph $G = (V, E)$ by taking $V := \{1, ..., m\}$ and for $i \neq j$, $\{i, j\} \in E$ if and only if $B_i \cap B_j \neq \emptyset$. Then G is connected because ηA_κ is. Let $w \equiv \frac{1}{m}$, and for $i \neq j$, let $\gamma_{ij} = \gamma_{ji}$ be any path joining i and j. Thus, by Lemma 2.14, if $\mu = \frac{1}{m}\sum u_{B_i}$, then the second sum in (2.17) is bounded by

$$\sum |B_i||u_{B_i} - \mu|^2 \leq Cr^\beta \sum_i \sum_{j: B_i \cap B_j \neq \emptyset} |u_{B_i} - u_{B_j}|^2.$$

Next, for any constant $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$, by Cauchy-Schwarz,

$$\begin{aligned} |u_{B_i} - u_{B_j}|^2 &\leq \frac{1}{|B_i||B_j|} \int_{B_i \times B_j} |u(x) - u(y)|^2 \, dx \, dy \\ &\leq 4\frac{|B_i \cup B_j|}{|B_i||B_j|} \int_{B_i \cup B_j} |u(x) - \nu|^2 \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Now $B_i \cup B_j \subset 3B_i$ since $B_i \cap B_j \neq \emptyset$, so put $\nu = u_{3B_i}$ and apply (2.16), $\lambda = 3$:

$$|u_{B_i} - u_{B_j}|^2 \leq Cr^{2-\beta} \int_{6B_i} |\nabla u|^2.$$

This bounds the second sum in (2.17), concluding the proof of (2.15). The proof of (2.14) is entirely similar, dropping the r-dependence everywhere. Notice one could apply the segment inequality to ηB_κ directly in that case, but it will be convenient later to be integrating over $\eta^2 B_\kappa$ on the rhs of (2.14) rather than $2\eta B_\kappa$.

To conclude, we deduce weak Neumann-type Sobolev inequalities for ηB and ηA. Construct cut-off functions $\chi_B \in C_0^\infty(\eta B)$, $0 \leq \chi_B \leq 1$, $\chi_B \equiv 1$ on B, $|\nabla \chi_B| \leq C$, and $\chi_A \in C_0^\infty(\eta A)$, $0 \leq \chi_A \leq 1$, $\chi_A \equiv 1$ on A, $|\nabla \chi_A| \leq Cr^{-1}$. Then, setting $\kappa = 1$, (2.12), (2.14) and (2.13), (2.15) easily imply

$$\|u - u_{\eta B}\|_{B,2\alpha} \leq \|\chi_B(u - u_{\eta B})\|_{\eta B, 2\alpha} \leq C\|\nabla u\|_{\eta^2 B, 2}, \tag{2.18}$$

$$\|u - u_{\eta A}\|_{A,2\alpha} \leq \|\chi_A(u - u_{\eta A})\|_{\eta A, 2\alpha} \leq Cr^{1+\frac{\beta}{2}(\frac{1}{\alpha}-1)}\|\nabla u\|_{\eta^2 A, 2}. \tag{2.19}$$

Together with (2.14), (2.15), these are what we need for Steps 1 and 2 below. □

Put $r_i := 1000\eta^i$ $(i \in \mathbb{N}_0)$, $A_0 := B = B(x_0, r_0)$, $A_i := A(x_0, r_{i-1}, r_i)$ $(i \in \mathbb{N})$. Fix $\varphi \in C^\infty(M)$, $\varphi > 0$, to be determined, and recall that $\|..\|_{X,\varphi,p}$ denotes the L^p norm on X with respect to $\varphi\, d\mathrm{vol}$. Let $u_i := u_{\eta A_i}$ and $\varphi_i := \sup_{A_i} \varphi$ $(i \in \mathbb{N}_0)$.

Step 1: Proof of (2.1). For $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ to be determined, consider (all sums over $\mathbb{N}_0$)

$$\|u - \mu\|_{M,\varphi,2\alpha}^2 \leq 2\sum \|u - u_i\|_{A_i,\varphi,2\alpha}^2 + 2\sum (\varphi_i |A_i|)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} |u_i - \mu|^2. \tag{2.20}$$

The first sum can be bounded by (2.18) for $i = 0$ and (2.19), $A = A_i$, for $i \geq 1$:

$$\sum \|u - u_i\|_{A_i,\varphi,2\alpha}^2 \leq C\sum \varphi_i^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} r_i^{2+\beta(\frac{1}{\alpha}-1)} \|\nabla u\|_{\eta^2 A_i, 2}^2.$$

For the second sum in (2.20), we apply Lemma 2.14 to the graph $A_0 - A_1 - A_2 - \cdots$ with weights $w_i := \tilde{w}_i/\tilde{w}$, where $\tilde{w}_i := (\varphi_i|A_i|)^{1/\alpha}$ and $\tilde{w} := \sum \tilde{w}_i$, assuming this series converges. Thus, if we choose

$$\mu := \sum w_i u_i = \int_M u\psi \, d\mathrm{vol}, \; \psi := \sum \frac{w_i}{|\eta A_i|}\chi_{\eta A_i}, \int_M \psi \, d\mathrm{vol} = 1, \tag{2.21}$$

then we can continue to estimate the second sum in (2.20) as follows:

$$\sum(\varphi_i|A_i|)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}|u_i-\mu|^2 \leq \frac{C}{\tilde{w}}\sum(\bar{w}_k+\bar{w}_{k+1})|u_k-u_{k+1}|^2,\ \bar{w}_k := \sum_{i\leq k\leq j}(j-i)\tilde{w}_i\tilde{w}_j.$$

As before, for all $k \geq 0$ and any $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$, by Cauchy-Schwarz,

$$\begin{aligned} |u_k-u_{k+1}|^2 &\leq \frac{1}{|\eta A_k||\eta A_{k+1}|}\int_{\eta A_k\times\eta A_{k+1}}|u(x)-u(y)|^2\,dx\,dy \\ &\leq 4\frac{|\eta A_k\cup\eta A_{k+1}|}{|\eta A_k||\eta A_{k+1}|}\int_{\eta A_k\cup\eta A_{k+1}}|u(x)-\nu|^2\,dx. \end{aligned}\tag{2.22}$$

For $k = 0$, apply (2.14) with $\kappa = \eta$, and for $k \geq 1$, (2.15) with $r = r_{k-1}$, $\kappa = \eta^2$, choosing ν to be the average of u over the appropriate domain in each case. Thus, $\|u-\mu\|_{M,\varphi,2\alpha} \leq C\|\nabla u\|_{M,2}$ with μ as in (2.21), provided $\varphi : M \to \mathbb{R}^+$ satisfies

$$\sup_{i\in\mathbb{N}_0}\varphi_i^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}r_i^{2+\beta(\frac{1}{\alpha}-1)} \leq C,\ \frac{1}{C} \leq \tilde{w} \leq C,\ \sup_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0}(\bar{w}_k+\bar{w}_{k+1})r_k^{2-\beta} \leq C.$$

The first condition checks if $\varphi \leq C(1+r)^{\alpha(\beta-2)-\beta}$, the second, if $\varphi|_{A_0} \geq C^{-1}$ and $\varphi \leq C(1+r)^{-\beta-\varepsilon}$ ($\varepsilon > 0$), and the third, if $\varphi \leq C(1+r)^{\alpha(\beta-2)-\beta-\varepsilon}$ ($\varepsilon > 0$). □

Step 2: Proof of (2.2). We begin as in Step 1, splitting (and summing over $\mathbb{N}_0$)

$$\|u\|^2_{M,\varphi,2\alpha} \leq 2\sum\|u-u_i\|^2_{A_i,\varphi,2\alpha} + 2\sum(\varphi_i|A_i|)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}|u_i|^2.$$

The first sum can be bounded by (2.18) for $i = 0$ and (2.19), $A = A_i$, for $i \geq 1$:

$$\sum\|u-u_i\|^2_{A_i,\varphi,2\alpha} \leq C\sum\varphi_i^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}r_i^{2+\beta(\frac{1}{\alpha}-1)}\|\nabla u\|^2_{\eta^2A_i,2}.\tag{2.23}$$

To estimate the second sum, fix $K \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider

$$\sum(\varphi_i|A_i|)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}|u_i|^2 \leq 2\sum(\varphi_i|A_i|)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}|u_i-u_{i+K}|^2 + 2\sum(\varphi_i|A_i|)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}|u_{i+K}|^2.\tag{2.24}$$

The first term here can again be bounded in terms of the Dirichlet energy:

$$\sum(\varphi_i|A_i|)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}|u_i-u_{i+K}|^2 \leq CK\sum(\varphi_i|A_i|)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}r_i^{2-\beta}\int_{\eta^2A_i\cup\ldots\cup\eta^2A_{i+K}}|\nabla u|^2.\tag{2.25}$$

To see this, write $u_i - u_{i+K}$ as a telescope sum, use Cauchy-Schwarz, and estimate each term as in (2.22). As a result, if $\varphi \sim (1+r)^{\alpha(\beta-2)-\beta}$, then both (2.23), (2.25) are bounded by $CK^2\int|\nabla u|^2$. The remaining term in (2.24) can be absorbed:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum(\varphi_i|A_i|)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}|u_{i+K}|^2 &= \sum\frac{(\varphi_i|A_i|)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}{(\varphi_{i+K}|A_{i+K}|)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}(\varphi_{i+K}|A_{i+K}|)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}|u_{i+K}|^2 \\ &\leq C\eta^{(2-\beta)K}\sum(\varphi_i|A_i|)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}|u_i|^2, \end{aligned}$$

so it suffices to make K sufficiently large, depending only on η, β, and C. □

3. A complex Monge-Ampère equation

We construct bounded solutions for the complex Monge-Ampère equation ($\mathbb{C}$MA) $(\omega_0+i\partial\bar{\partial}u)^m = e^f\omega_0^m$, under suitable decay assumptions for f, on certain complete Kähler manifolds (M,ω_0), and then look at methods for improving the decay of u. From the point of view of geometry, we care about boundedness or decay for $i\partial\bar{\partial}u$ rather than u, but Yau's method can only produce potentials u which are bounded themselves, although $i\partial\bar{\partial}u$ may then be expected to decay particularly fast.

If (M, ω_0) is *parabolic*, this means that we must impose an integrability condition, $\int (e^f - 1)\omega_0^m = 0$, to kill off unbounded contributions from the leading term in the asymptotic expansion of the Green's function, even though geometrically reasonable solutions u may well exist for *arbitrary* f of sufficient decay. In our main application, integrability will come from replacing ω_0 by $\omega_0 + \beta$ if necessary, where $\beta \in C_0^\infty$ and $\beta = i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi$ with φ asymptotic to a Green's function, cf. Remark 4.7(i).

To state the existence result, let (M, ω_0) denote a complete noncompact Kähler manifold with a $C^{3,\alpha}$ quasi-atlas (cf. Definition 3.2 and also (3.1) for the associated Hölder spaces) which satisfies $\mathrm{SOB}(\beta)$ from Definition 2.1 for some $\beta > 0$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $f \in C^{2,\alpha}(M)$ satisfy $|f| \leq Cr^{-\mu}$ for some $\mu > 2$, and in addition $\int (e^f - 1)\omega_0^m = 0$ if $\beta \leq 2$. Then there exists $u \in C^{4,\bar{\alpha}}(M)$, $\bar{\alpha} \in (0, \alpha]$, with $\int |\nabla u|^2 < \infty$ if $\beta \leq 2$, such that $(\omega_0 + i\partial\bar{\partial}u)^m = e^f \omega_0^m$. If moreover $f \in C^{k,\bar{\alpha}}_{\mathrm{loc}}(M)$ for some $k \geq 3$, then every such u is in $C^{k+2,\bar{\alpha}}_{\mathrm{loc}}(M)$.*

We will prove this in Sections 3.1–3.3 by applying Tian-Yau's method from [73], but using our sharp Sobolev inequalities from Section 2. In fact, the existence result from [73] would already be sufficient for all applications in this paper because in our setting, $f \in C_0^\infty(M)$ and $\beta \leq 2$. However, Proposition 3.1 appears to be essentially sharp under the given conditions and may thus be of independent interest.

Theorem 1.5 requires some amount of decay for u rather than just boundedness. The analysis for this will be carried out in Section 3.4 and is self-contained in that we do not need pseudodifferential methods (we stay "above the first indicial root"). To get pointwise decay, we employ an energy argument if $\beta \leq 2$ and Moser iteration with weights if $\beta > 2$. Derivative decay then follows by bootstrapping. We refer to Section 3.4 for the precise statements, which are a little unwieldy.

3.1. **Set-up, structure of the proof.**

Definition 3.2. Let (M, ω_0) be a complete Kähler manifold. A $C^{k,\alpha}$ *quasi-atlas* for (M, ω_0) is a collection $\{\Phi_x : x \in A\}$, $A \subset M$, of holomorphic local diffeomorphisms $\Phi_x : B \to M$, $\Phi_x(0) = x$, from $B = B(0,1) \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ into M which extend smoothly to the closure $\bar{B}$, and such that there exists a constant $C \geq 1$ such that $\mathrm{inj}(\Phi_x^* g_0) \geq \frac{1}{C}$, $\frac{1}{C} g_{\mathbb{C}^m} \leq \Phi_x^* g_0 \leq C g_{\mathbb{C}^m}$, and $\|\Phi_x^* g_0\|_{C^{k,\alpha}(B)} \leq C$ for all $x \in A$, and such that for all $y \in M$ there exists $x \in A$ with $y \in \Phi_x(B)$ and $\mathrm{dist}_{g_0}(y, \partial\Phi_x(B)) \geq \frac{1}{C}$.

Given a $C^{k,\alpha}$ quasi-atlas, we can define *global* Hölder spaces of functions $C^{l,\gamma}(M)$ for all $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ by setting

$$\|u\|_{C^{l,\gamma}(M)} := \sup\{\|u \circ \Phi_x\|_{C^{l,\gamma}(B)} : x \in A\}. \tag{3.1}$$

There is an analogous definition of $C^{l,\gamma}(U)$ for any open set $U \subset M$. We also work with *local* Hölder spaces, whose definition only requires an ordinary atlas and is in fact independent of such a choice. For a second order elliptic differential operator L with coefficients in $C^{l,\gamma}(M)$, we then have $\|u\|_{C^{l+2,\gamma}(M)} \leq C(\|Lu\|_{C^{l,\gamma}(M)} + \|u\|_\infty)$ for all $u \in C^\infty(M)$, provided that $l \leq k-1$ and $0 < \gamma \leq \alpha$. This follows from elliptic estimates, together with the fact that the euclidean $C^{l,\gamma}$ norm on B is comparable with the intrinsic $C^{l,\gamma}$ norm associated to $\Phi_x^* g_0$ if $l \leq k+1$ and $\gamma \leq \alpha$.

Tian-Yau [73, Proposition 1.2] provide a simple criterion for (M, ω_0) to admit a $C^{k,\alpha}$ quasi-atlas. We restate it here and write out a slightly more detailed proof.

Lemma 3.3. *If $|\mathrm{Rm}| \leq C$, then there exists a quasi-atlas which is $C^{1,\alpha}$ for all α. If moreover $|\nabla \mathrm{Scal}| + ... + |\nabla^k \mathrm{Scal}| \leq C$, then this quasi-atlas is even $C^{k+1,\alpha}$.*

Sketch of proof. Fix $x_0 \in M$. By pulling back the Kähler structure under $\exp_{x_0}$ and rescaling, we may assume that $\mathrm{inj}(x_0) \geq \frac{\pi}{2}$. Fix an orthonormal frame $\{X_j, Y_j\}$ at x_0, $Y_j = JX_j$, and extend it to $B(x_0, 1)$ by parallel transport along radial geodesics. From Jost-Karcher [38, Satz 2.1], there exist coordinates $\{x_j, y_j\}$ on $B(x_0, 1)$ with $|\nabla x_j - X_j| \leq C\rho$ and $|\nabla y_j - Y_j| \leq C\rho$, where $\rho := \mathrm{dist}(x_0, -)$. By [38, Satz 5.1], there then exists $r_0 > 0$ small but definite such that for all $0 < r \leq r_0$ there exist harmonic coordinates $\{\tilde{x}_j, \tilde{y}_j\}$ on $B(x_0, r)$ with $|\nabla\tilde{x}_j - \nabla x_j| \leq Cr^2$. These satisfy the $C^{1,\alpha}$ requirement, but may not be holomorphic.

However, if $\tilde{z}_j := \tilde{x}_j + i\tilde{y}_j$, then $|\bar\partial\tilde{z}_j| \leq Cr$. Solving the $\bar\partial$-Neumann problem on $B(x_0, r)$ with r small but still definite, we can construct a function w_j on $B(x_0, r)$ such that $\bar\partial w_j = \bar\partial\tilde{z}_j$ and $\|w_j\|_2 \leq Cr\|\bar\partial w_j\|_2 \leq Cr^{m+2}$. To see this, we apply the version of Hörmander's L^2 estimate in Demailly [20, Corollary 8.10], using that ρ^2 is smooth and uniformly convex on $B(x_0, r)$ when r is small enough.

Now $\Delta w_j = \Delta\tilde{z}_j = 0$. With respect to $\{\tilde{x}_j, \tilde{y}_j\}$ (even with respect to $\{x_j, y_j\}$), Δ is uniformly elliptic with bounded coefficients, so [25, Theorem 8.15] applies and yields $\|w_j\|_{L^\infty(B(x_0,r/2))} \leq Cr^{-m}\|w_j\|_{L^2(B(x_0,r))} \leq Cr^2$. Finally, since Δ even has $C^{0,\alpha}$ coefficients in $\{\tilde{x}_j, \tilde{y}_j\}$, we obtain $\|\nabla w_j\|_\infty \leq Cr$, $\|w_j\|_{C^{2,\alpha}} \leq Cr^{-\alpha}$. Thus, making r smaller if needed, the functions $\tilde{z}_j - w_j$ define holomorphic coordinates on $B(x_0, r)$ because their gradients are still linearly independent, and moreover still satisfy the $C^{1,\alpha}$ condition. For $C^{k+1,\alpha}$ one uses the local formulas for the Ricci and scalar curvatures of a Kähler metric, as in the proof of [73, Proposition 1.2]. □

We now outline the proof of Proposition 3.1. Some preliminaries: If

$$(\omega_0 + i\partial\bar\partial u)^m = e^f\omega_0^m \tag{3.2}$$

with $u \in C^4(M)$ and $f \in C^2(M)$, then $\omega := \omega_0 + i\partial\bar\partial u$ is again positive, thus even uniformly equivalent to ω_0 because $\det_{\omega_0}\omega = e^f$ and $\mathrm{tr}_{\omega_0}\omega = m + \frac{1}{2}\Delta_{\omega_0}u$. To see this, it suffices to prove $\omega > 0$ at *one point* because $\det_{\omega_0}\omega$ never vanishes. Now u need not attain its infimum but we can use the following version of Yau's maximum principle, which is a simple modification of Yau [80, Theorem 1]:

Lemma 3.4 (Yau)**.** *Let (M, g) be complete with sectional curvature bounded below, and let $x_0 \in M$. If $u \in C^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(M)$ with $\sup|u| + \sup|\nabla u| + \sup|\nabla^2 u| < \infty$ does not attain its supremum on M, then there exists $\{x_k\} \subset M$ such that $\mathrm{dist}(x_0, x_k) \to \infty$ and $u(x_k) \to \sup u$, $|(\nabla u)(x_k)| \to 0$, and $\limsup_k \max\{\mathrm{spec}((\nabla^2 u)(x_k))\} \leq 0$.* □

As usual, the following identity is all-important when studying (3.2):

$$\omega^m - \omega_0^m = \frac{1}{2}dd^c u \wedge \sum_{k=0}^{m-1}(\omega^k \wedge \omega_0^{m-1-k}). \tag{3.3}$$

This first of all shows that the linearization of (3.2) at ω_0 is a Poisson equation,

$$\dot{f}\omega_0^m = \frac{m}{2}dd^c\dot{u} \wedge \omega_0^{m-1} = \frac{1}{2}(\Delta_{\omega_0}\dot{u})\omega_0^m, \tag{3.4}$$

but more substantially, it expresses the *divergence structure* of $\mathbb{C}$MA, allowing one to treat (3.2) much in analogy with (3.4) even when u is not infinitesimal.

In particular, $\omega^m - \omega_0^m$ is exact, so $\int(e^f - 1)\omega_0^m = 0$ if M is compact. By Yau's famous theorem [81], this is then the only constraint that a given f must satisfy in order for (3.2) to admit a solution u, which then is unique up to a constant.

If M is noncompact, then (3.2) does *not* necessarily imply $\int(e^f - 1)\omega_0^m = 0$, not even if $f \in C^2_0(M)$, because $|\nabla u|$ may not decay fast enough to permit integration by parts. Indeed, if $\beta > 2$, we will prove existence of bounded solutions u for any $f \in C_0^\infty(M)$. On the other hand, if $\beta \leq 2$, then presumably $\int(e^f - 1)\omega_0^m = 0$ is not just technically necessary to produce a bounded u, but we may expect solutions for general $f \in C_0^\infty(M)$ to grow like a Green's function, cf. Remark 4.7(i).

As for details, if one attempts to generalize Yau's proof in the compact case, one must eventually prove a-priori estimates for *both* (3.2) and its linearization. Given Yau's work, the remaining difficulties for the linear and the non-linear equation are fairly similar. The derivative estimates are either local anyway, or can be salvaged using Lemma 3.4. The L^∞ estimate relies on iteration, thus on Sobolev inequalities. For $\beta > 2$, the iteration formally works just like in the compact case, and for $\beta \leq 2$, one exploits $\int(e^f - 1)\omega_0^m = 0$ to compensate for the "subtraction" in (2.1).

The *only issue* with this strategy is that we need to be able to integrate by parts in order to perform Moser iteration, but $|u|$ and $|\nabla u|$ might not decay fast enough. For the linear problem, one could resort to solving Dirichlet problems on larger and larger domains, but this is not an option for $\mathbb{C}$MA.

The key idea in Tian-Yau [73] is to introduce a small parameter $\varepsilon > 0$:

$$(\omega_0 + i\partial\bar{\partial}u_\varepsilon)^m = e^{f+\varepsilon u_\varepsilon}\omega_0^m. \tag{3.5}$$

This is then fairly simple to solve by the continuity method because both (3.5) and its linearization enjoy a *trivial* L^∞ bound from the maximum principle. It remains to establish a *uniform* L^∞ estimate for (3.5) as $\varepsilon \to 0$. For intuition, observe that the Green's function of $\Delta - \varepsilon$ in $\mathbb{R}^\beta$ decays exponentially even if $\beta = 2$. Indeed, it turns out that solutions to (3.5) are highly integrable, so Moser iteration does go through now, although the extra ε term is not easy to deal with when $\beta \leq 2$.

In Section 3.2, we recall how to solve (3.5). This is due to Cheng-Yau [16]. We mainly wish to clarify what assumptions on (M, ω_0) and f are really used.

In Section 3.3, we then establish high integrability of the solutions of (3.5), and show how to prove an L^∞ estimate for (3.5) that is uniform in ε. Both parts of the argument are based on iteration, thus on the divergence structure in (3.3).

3.2. **Solution of the ε-perturbed equation.** Here we only require that (M, ω_0) is a complete Kähler manifold which admits a $C^{3,\alpha}$ quasi-atlas for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, and $f \in C^{2,\alpha}(M)$ in the sense of (3.1). For a fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, we will use the continuity method to prove that (3.5) has a solution $u_\varepsilon \in C^{4,\bar{\alpha}}(M)$ for some $\bar{\alpha} \in (0, \alpha]$ which may possibly depend on (M, ω_0), α, f, but not on ε if $\varepsilon \leq 1$.

Replacing f by tf, $t \in [0, 1]$, in (3.5), we obtain an equation (3.5.t). For $\gamma \in (0, \alpha]$ define $\mathcal{T}_\gamma := \{t \in [0, 1] : (3.5.t) \text{ has a solution } u_{\varepsilon,t} \in C^{4,\gamma}(M)\}$. Trivially, $0 \in \mathcal{T}_\gamma$ for all γ, and the gist of the proof is to establish existence of at least one $\bar{\alpha} \in (0, \alpha]$ such that $\mathcal{T}_{\bar{\alpha}}$ is both open and closed in $[0, 1]$.

Claim 1. For all $\gamma \in (0, \alpha]$ and $t \in \mathcal{T}_\gamma$ there exists a bounded linear operator $G : C^{2,\gamma}(M) \to C^{4,\gamma}(M)$ with $(\frac{1}{2}\Delta_\omega - \varepsilon) \circ G = \mathrm{id}$, where $\omega := \omega_0 + i\partial\bar{\partial}u_{\varepsilon,t}$.

Claim 1 implies openness of $\mathcal{T}_\gamma$ in $[0, 1]$. Notice that $\omega_0 + i\partial\bar{\partial}u > 0$ is indeed an open condition on $u \in C^{4,\gamma}(M)$ because of the definition, (3.1).

Proof of Claim 1. By Schauder theory and because $\varepsilon > 0$, for every bounded and sufficiently smooth domain $\Omega \subset M$ there exists a unique solution $u = u_\Omega \in C^{4,\gamma}(\Omega)$ for the Dirichlet problem $(\frac{1}{2}\Delta_\omega - \varepsilon)u = f|_\Omega$ in Ω, $u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, and there are local estimates (with respect to the charts of the chosen quasi-atlas) for $\|u\|_{C^{4,\gamma}}$ in terms of $\|f\|_{C^{2,\gamma}}$ and $\|u\|_\infty$. By the maximum principle, $\|u\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\|f\|_\infty$. Thus we can apply Arzelà-Ascoli to the sequence $\{u_{\Omega_k}\}$ for an exhaustion $M = \bigcup \Omega_k$. ☐

Claim 2. There exists $\bar{\alpha} \in (0, \alpha]$ such that if $u = u_{\varepsilon,t} \in C^4(M)$ solves (3.5.t) for $t \in [0,1]$, $\varepsilon \in (0,1]$, then $\|u\|_{C^{2,\bar{\alpha}}(M)} \leq C(M, \omega_0, \|f\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(M)})$.

Then, writing out (3.5.t) in a local quasi-chart and taking partials with respect to coordinates, we find that $v := D_i u$ satisfies an elliptic equation $\mathrm{tr}(A \cdot D^2 v) = B * D^2 u + tD_i f + \varepsilon v$, where A is controlled in $C^{2,\bar{\alpha}}$ and B is controlled in $C^{1,\bar{\alpha}}$, independent of the chart, by Claim 2. Thus, u is controlled in the global Hölder space $C^{4,\bar{\alpha}}(M)$. Altogether this yields closedness of $\mathcal{T}_{\bar{\alpha}}$ in $[0,1]$.

Proof of Claim 2. First, by Lemma 3.4, $\|u\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\|f\|_\infty$. Next,

$$0 \leq \mathrm{tr}_{\omega_0}\omega = m + \frac{1}{2}\Delta_{\omega_0} u \leq C\exp(C(u - \inf u)), \tag{3.6}$$

with $C = C(M, \omega_0, \|f\|_{C^2(M)})$ if $\varepsilon \leq 1$. This is a simple variant, using Lemma 3.4, of Yau's classical C^2 estimate. We recommend Błocki [6] for a readable exposition. (3.6) has two important implications: First, $\|dd^c u\|_\infty \leq C$ since $\det_{\omega_0}\omega = e^{f+\varepsilon u}$, so in particular (3.5) is uniformly elliptic at u. Second, $\|u\|_{C^{1,\gamma}(M)} \leq C(\gamma)$ for all $\gamma \in (0,1)$ by linear theory. Finally, exploiting $\|dd^c u\|_\infty \leq C$ and uniform ellipticity, well-known local estimates yield the desired $C^{2,\bar{\alpha}}$ bound, see again [6]. ☐

3.3. **Passage to the limit as $\varepsilon \to 0$.** From our previous discussion, only the L^∞ estimate needs to be made uniform in ε, and this involves two steps: (1) Solutions of (3.5) are highly integrable, although the *values* of their L^p norms may blow up as $\varepsilon \to 0$, and (2) Moser iteration, which crucially relies on being able to integrate by parts, which in turn is justified by (1). Both steps involve multiplying (3.3) by a host of test functions and integrating by parts. We impose conditions on (M, ω_0), u, and f as they become necessary.

Here is the basic calculation. For $u = u_\varepsilon \in C^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(M)$ solving (3.5), $\zeta \in C^\infty_0(M)$, $p > 1$, multiply both sides of (3.3) by $\zeta u|u|^{p-2}$ to obtain

$$\zeta u|u|^{p-2}(e^{f+\varepsilon u} - 1)\omega_0^m = \frac{1}{2}\zeta u|u|^{p-2} dd^c u \wedge T \tag{3.7}$$

with $T := \omega_0^{m-1} + \omega_0^{m-2} \wedge \omega + \ldots + \omega^{m-1}$. Then integrate by parts, which yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\int \zeta|\nabla|u|^{\frac{p}{2}}|^2\omega_0^m + \frac{mp^2}{2(p-1)}\int \zeta u|u|^{p-2}(e^{\varepsilon u} - 1)e^f\omega_0^m \leq \\ &-\frac{mp^2}{2(p-1)}\left[\int \zeta u|u|^{p-2}(e^f - 1)\omega_0^m + \frac{1}{2}\int u|u|^{p-2} d\zeta \wedge d^c u \wedge T\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Notice the structure of the good term with ε on the left: For all $C < \infty$ there exists $\delta = \delta(C) > 0$ such that if $|x| \leq C$, then $x(e^x - 1) \geq \delta x^2$, so $u(e^{\varepsilon u} - 1) \geq \delta\varepsilon u^2$ for a constant $\delta > 0$ that only depends on an upper bound for $\|f\|_\infty$.

(1) *High integrability.* By [68, Theorem I.4.2], if $\mathrm{Ric}(\omega_0)$ is bounded below, then there exists a smooth function $\rho : M \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\rho \sim 1 + \mathrm{dist}(x_0, -)$ for every fixed $x_0 \in M$. Fix a smooth cut-off function $\chi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ with $\chi(t) = 1$ for $t < 1$, $\chi(t) = 0$ for $t > 2$, apply (3.8) with $\zeta = (\chi \circ \frac{\rho}{R})\rho^k$, $k \in \mathbb{R}$, $R > 0$, and let $R \to \infty$.

Assuming $\|u\|_{C^2(M)} < \infty$ (so in particular ω and ω_0 are uniformly equivalent) and $\|f\|_\infty < \infty$, this readily implies

$$\int \rho^k|u|^{p-2}|\nabla u|^2 + \varepsilon\int \rho^k|u|^p \leq C(\int \rho^k|u|^{p-1}|e^f-1| + \int \rho^{k-2}|u|^p), \tag{3.9}$$

where all integrals are against ω_0^m, and C may depend in an unspecified way on M, ω_0, f, u, ρ, p, and k. Now assume (M,ω_0) has polynomial volume growth and

Temporary Assumption: $f \in C_0^\infty(M)$.

Then fix $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ sufficiently negative and iterate (3.9) from $k = k_0$ to obtain

$$\int \rho^k|u|^{p-2}|\nabla u|^2 + \int \rho^k|u|^p < \infty \ \ (k \in \mathbb{N}_0, p > 1). \tag{3.10}$$

As mentioned above, this qualitative high integrability (at least for $k = 0$) of $u = u_\varepsilon$, $\varepsilon > 0$, is what allows us to perform Moser iteration in Step (2) and thus derive an L^∞ bound for u which is uniform in ε. We also need (3.10), but for *all* $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, in Section 3.4 to prove pointwise decay for u if M satisfies SOB(β) with $\beta > 2$.

It may help to stress at this point that the Temporary Assumption is stronger than needed for (3.10), and the full strength of (3.10) is not needed for everything that follows. However, we will eventually find that the size of the support of f does not influence the final quantitative bounds for u, which will then allow us to relax $f \in C_0^\infty(M)$ to the condition stated in Proposition 3.1.

(2) *Uniform L^∞ estimate.* Applying (3.8) with $\zeta = \chi \circ \frac{\rho}{R}$, $R \to \infty$, any $p > 1$, and using (3.10) with $k = 0$ to get rid of the boundary term, we obtain

$$\int |\nabla|u|^{\frac{p}{2}}|^2 + \frac{mp^2}{2(p-1)}\int u|u|^{p-2}(e^{\varepsilon u}-1) \leq -\frac{mp^2}{2(p-1)}\int u|u|^{p-2}(e^f-1). \tag{3.11}$$

We now assume all the hypotheses of Proposition 3.1. If $\beta > 2$, then, by (2.2),

$$\left(\int |U|^{2\alpha}\rho^{\alpha(\beta-2)-\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \leq C\int |\nabla U|^2 \ \ (U \in C_0^\infty(M), \alpha \in [1,\alpha_{n2}]). \tag{3.12}$$

For $\mu > 2$ as in the hypothesis, let $C_0 := \sup \rho^\mu|f| < \infty$. Then from (3.11), (3.12), and Hölder's inequality, we can deduce the following two facts: First,

$$p > \frac{\beta-2}{\mu-2} \Longrightarrow \left(\int |u|^{\alpha p}\rho^{\alpha(\beta-2)-\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \leq \frac{C_0Cp^2}{p-1}\left(\int |u|^{\alpha p}\rho^{\alpha(\beta-2)-\beta}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{\alpha p}}, \tag{3.13}$$

so the corresponding weighted $L^{\alpha p}$ norm of u is *finite* for all such p and we may *start* the Moser iteration process at αp. Second, if $q := \mu + \alpha(\beta-2) - \beta > 0$, which can be arranged for by a suitable choice of $\alpha > 1$, then

$$p > \frac{\alpha}{q}(\beta-2) \Longrightarrow \left(\int |u|^{\alpha p}\rho^{\alpha(\beta-2)-\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \leq \frac{C_0Cp^2}{p-1}\left(\int |u|^{p}\rho^{\alpha(\beta-2)-\beta}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}},$$

so we can keep iterating from any such p. This proves Proposition 3.1 if $\beta > 2$; in particular, we can now trivially get rid of the Temporary Assumption above.

The parabolic case, $\beta \leq 2$, is considerably more delicate. By (2.1),

$$\left(\int_M |U - U_\psi|^{2\alpha}\varphi\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \leq C\int |\nabla U|^2 \ \ (U \in C_0^\infty(M), \alpha \in [1,\alpha_{n2}]), \tag{3.14}$$

where we can take $\varphi = \rho^{\alpha(\beta-2-\delta)-\beta}$, $\psi \sim \rho^{-2-\delta}$ for any $\delta > 0$, and U_ψ denotes the weighted average of U with respect to ψ. If $v := u - u_\psi$ *was* still a solution of (3.5), then from (3.11) applied to v, and (3.14), we would get

$$\left(\int |v|^{2\alpha}\varphi\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \leq C\int |v||e^f - 1|, \tag{3.15}$$

$$\left(\int \left||v|^{\frac{p}{2}} - (|v|^{\frac{p}{2}})_\psi\right|^{2\alpha}\varphi\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \leq \frac{Cp^2}{p-1}\int |v|^{p-1}|e^f - 1|. \tag{3.16}$$

Thus, if $|f| \leq C\rho^{-\mu}$ with $\mu > 1 + \frac{\beta}{2}$, then (3.15) would imply $\|v\|_{\varphi,2\alpha} < \infty$, and using the general inequality

$$\|(|U|^{\frac{p}{2}})_\psi\|_{\varphi,2\alpha} \leq \left(\int \psi\right)^{-1}\left(\int \frac{\psi^2}{\varphi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int \varphi\right)^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}\|U\|^{\frac{p}{2}}_{\varphi,p} \tag{3.17}$$

(where all three factors on the right-hand side are finite provided $\alpha < 2$) we could then iterate (3.16), assuming in addition that $\mu > 2$.

The integrability condition $\int(e^f - 1)\omega_0^m = 0$ will be used precisely to circumvent the difficulty that $v := u - u_\psi$ does not in general solve (3.5) anymore. For this we now entirely follow Tian-Yau. The first thing to observe is that from (3.11) applied to u, (3.14), and $\int(e^f - 1)\omega_0^m = 0$, the inequality (3.15) *still holds* for v even though v itself may not solve (3.5). However, (3.11) is not enough to iterate. For this one has to go back to (3.3) and multiply by other test functions than $\zeta u|u|^{p-2}$, e.g. by $\zeta\max\{0, u - u_\psi\}^{p-1}$ if $u_\psi \geq 0$, cf. [73, Lemma 3.5]. As a result, $-C \leq u \leq u_\psi + C$ if $u_\psi \geq 0$, and $u_\psi - C \leq u \leq C$ if $u_\psi \leq 0$, assuming $|f| \leq C\rho^{-\mu}$, $\mu > 2$.

Integrating by parts in (3.5) gives $\int(e^{f+\varepsilon u} - 1)\omega_0^m = 0$, so $\int e^f(e^{\varepsilon u} - 1)\omega_0^m = 0$ from integrability, thus $\inf u < 0 < \sup u$. If $\sup u$ was attained at $x_{\max} \notin \mathrm{supp}(f)$ or $u(x_k) \to \sup u$, $x_k \to \infty$, then $(\omega_0 + i\partial\bar\partial u)^m = e^{\varepsilon u}\omega_0^m$ at $x_{\max}$ or at x_k, $k \gg 0$, a contradiction. We conclude that $\inf u, \sup u$ are attained at $x_{\min}, x_{\max} \in \mathrm{supp}(f)$. If $u_\psi \geq 0$, then $-C \leq u \leq u_\psi + C$ from before, and $u(x_{\min}) < 0$. Let B denote the connected component of $x_{\min}$ in $\{u < 1 + \min u\}$. Then $\Delta u \leq C$ on B from (3.6), which yields $\int_B \psi \geq \delta\int_M \psi$ for some definite $\delta > 0$, which may however depend on $\rho(x_{\min})$, cf. [73, Lemma 3.7]. Thus, $\max u \leq u_\psi + C \leq (1-\delta)(\max u) + C$, and so $\|u\|_\infty$ is bounded in terms of $\sup \rho^\mu|f|$, for some $\mu > 2$, and the size of $\mathrm{supp}(f)$. A similar argument works if $u_\psi \leq 0$, with $\Delta u \geq -n$ applied near $x_{\max}$.

Taking the limit as $\varepsilon \to 0$, we obtain $u \in C^{4,\bar\alpha}(M)$ with $(\omega_0 + i\partial\bar\partial u)^m = e^f\omega_0^m$. However, unlike before, our $C^{4,\bar\alpha}$ bound for u now depends on the size of $\mathrm{supp}(f)$. To overcome this issue, we iterate again, but with $v := u - u_\psi$, which *does* satisfy the same equation as u. The only issue now is the boundary term in (3.11), but we have $\int |\nabla u|^2 < \infty$ as a by-product of the Moser iterations used in constructing u, which fortuitously suffices because the volume growth $\beta \leq 2$, cf. [73, p.596]. □

3.4. **Decay of the solutions.** Our final goal here is to exhibit improved decay for bounded solutions u of the $\mathbb{C}$MA (3.2) under various hypotheses on the decay of f and on the geometry of the complete Kähler manifold (M, ω_0).

Proposition 3.8 collects all the results that we need for proving the asymptotics in Theorem 1.5. The main underlying idea only works for quadratic volume growth or less, and under the additional assumption that $\int |\nabla u|^2 < \infty$, but is fairly simple and universal. We write M as a union of annuli $A_i = A(x_0, r_i, r_{i+1})$ and try to use integration by parts to conclude $\int_{A_i} |\nabla u|^2 \leq Ce^{-\delta i}$ for some small $\delta = \delta(u, f) > 0$.

This works whenever the A_i have their first Neumann eigenvalues bounded below by $\frac{1}{C}(r_{i+1}-r_i)^{-2}$, and there are basically two different settings where we can make sure this is the case: (1) $A_i \subset B(x_i, \rho_i)$ with $x_i \in A_i$ and $\rho_i \sim r_{i+1} - r_i = o(r_i)$, and (2) $r_{i+1}-r_i \sim r_i$, assuming appropriate lower Ricci bounds in each case. The conditions needed for (1) are detailed in Definition 3.5, and they lead to exponentially decaying bounds, in terms of $r(x)$, for the integral of $|\nabla u|^2$ over $B(x, 1)$. For (2) it is enough to assume $\mathrm{SOB}(\beta)$ (Definition 2.1), and we obtain polynomial energy decay.

In each case, if the injectivity radius does not decay too fast, we can apply Moser iteration to get pointwise decay. Higher derivative bounds then follow from (scaled) Schauder estimates, in the setting described in Definition 3.6 and Lemma 3.7.

We make two remarks on this approach before going into details. First, the decay is qualitatively optimal in each case (cf. Remark 4.7(ii)), but the actual decay *rates* obtained will be unreasonably small. Second, we need to assume parabolic volume growth when integrating by parts, but non-parabolic manifolds ought to be *better* behaved. We will briefly address both issues after proving Proposition 3.8.

Definition 3.5. A complete Riemannian manifold M with one end is $\mathrm{CYL}(\beta, \gamma)$, $\beta > 0$, $\gamma \in [0, 1)$, if there exist $x_0 \in M$ and $C \geq 1$ such that $\frac{1}{C}s^\beta \leq |B(x_0, s)| \leq Cs^\beta$ for all $s \geq C$, and such that $A(x_0, r(x) - \frac{1}{C}r(x)^\gamma, r(x) + \frac{1}{C}r(x)^\gamma) \subset B(x, Cr(x)^\gamma)$ and $\mathrm{Ric}(x) \geq -Cr(x)^{-2\gamma}$ for all $x \in M$ with $r(x) \geq C$.

Definition 3.6. We say that (M^n, g) is $\mathrm{HMG}(\lambda, k, \alpha)$, $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, if there exist $x_0 \in M$ and $C \geq 1$ such that for all $x \in M$ with $r(x) \geq C$ there exists a local diffeomorphism Φ_x from the unit ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ into M such that $\Phi_x(0) = x$, $\Phi_x(B) \supset B(x, \frac{1}{C}r(x)^\lambda)$, $\mathrm{inj}(\Phi_x^* g) \geq \frac{1}{C}r(x)^\lambda$, $\frac{1}{C}g_{\mathrm{euc}} \leq r(x)^{-2\lambda}\Phi_x^* g \leq Cg_{\mathrm{euc}}$, and

$$\|r(x)^{-2\lambda}\Phi_x^* g - g_{\mathrm{euc}}\|_{C^{k,\alpha}(B,\, g_{\mathrm{euc}})} \leq C. \tag{3.18}$$

Then, given any smooth weight function $\varphi : [0, \infty) \to [1, \infty)$, we define

$$\|u\|_{\varphi,l,\gamma} := \|u\|_{C^{l,\gamma}(B(x_0,2C),g)} + \sup\{\varphi(r(x))\|u \circ \Phi_x\|_{C^{l,\gamma}(B,\, g_{\mathrm{euc}})} : r(x) \geq C\} \tag{3.19}$$

for $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $0 \leq \gamma < 1$, $\varepsilon \geq 0$. E.g., $\|u\|_{\varphi,1,0} \sim \sup_M \varphi(r)(|u| + (1 + r)^\lambda|\nabla u|)$.

The following criterion will show that all the manifolds that appear when proving Theorem 1.5 are in fact HMG(1). This is similar to Lemma 3.3.

Lemma 3.7. *A complete Kähler manifold with* $|\mathrm{Rm}| + \sum_{i=1}^k r^{i\lambda}|\nabla^i \mathrm{Scal}| \leq Cr^{-2\lambda}$ *for some* $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ *and* $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ *is* $\mathrm{HMG}(\lambda, k + 1, \alpha)$ *for every* $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. $\square$

Proposition 3.8. *Let* (M^n, ω_0) *be complete Kähler and let* $u, f \in C^\infty(M)$ *be such that* $\sup|\nabla^i u| + \sup|\nabla^i f| < \infty$ *for all* $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$, *and* $(\omega_0 + i\partial\bar{\partial}u)^m = e^f\omega_0^m$.

(ia) *Assume* $\mathrm{CYL}(\beta, \gamma)$, $\beta \in (0, 2]$, $\gamma \in [0, 1)$, *and* $\exp(\kappa r(x)^{1-\gamma})|B(x, 1)| \to \infty$ *as* $r(x) \to \infty$ *for every fixed* $\kappa > 0$. *If* $\int|\nabla u|^2 < \infty$ *and* $|f| \leq Cr^{1-\beta-\gamma}\exp(-\varepsilon r^{1-\gamma})$ *for some* $\varepsilon > 0$, *then* $\sup_{B(x,1)}|u - u_{B(x,1)}| \leq C\exp(-\delta r(x)^{1-\gamma})$ *for some* $\delta > 0$.

(ib) *Assume* $\mathrm{SOB}(\beta)$, $\beta \in (0, 2]$, *and for every fixed* $\kappa > 0$, $r(x)^\kappa|B(x, 1)| \to \infty$ *as* $r(x) \to \infty$. *If* $\int|\nabla u|^2 < \infty$ *and* $|f| \leq Cr^{-\varepsilon}$ *for some* $\varepsilon > 0$, *then there exists a* $\delta > 0$ *such that for all* $x \in M$, $\sup_{B(x,1)}|u - u_{B(x,1)}| \leq Cr(x)^{-\delta}$.

(ii) *Assume* $\mathrm{HMG}(\lambda, k + 1, \alpha)$, *and that* M *has at most linear diameter growth in the sense that for all* $s \geq C$ *and* $x_1, x_2 \in M$ *with* $r(x_1) = r(x_2) = s$, *there exists a path* γ *from* x_1 *to* x_2 *with* $s - \frac{1}{C}s^\lambda \leq r \circ \gamma \leq Cs$ *and* $\mathrm{length}(\gamma) \leq Cs$. *Let* φ *be a weight with* $\varphi(t)(1 + t)^{-\delta}$ *non-decreasing for some* $\delta > 0$ *and* $\varphi(t - \frac{1}{2}t^\lambda) \geq \frac{1}{C}\varphi(t)$. *Then, if* $\sup_x \varphi(r(x))r(x)^{1-\lambda}\sup_{B(x,1)}|u - u_{B(x,1)}| < \infty$ *and* $\|f\|_{\psi,k,\alpha} < \infty$, *where* $\psi(t) := (1 + t)^{1+\lambda}\varphi(t)$, *then* $\|u - \bar{u}\|_{\varphi,k+2,\alpha} < \infty$ *for some constant* $\bar{u} \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. (i) For any sequence $C \leq r_1 < r_2 < \ldots$, define annuli $A_i := \{r_i < r < r_{i+1}\}$ and ends $E_i := \{r > r_i\}$. Construct cut-off functions ζ_i on M with $\zeta_i \equiv 1$ on E_{i+1}, $\mathrm{supp}(\zeta_i) \subset E_i$, and $(r_{i+1} - r_i)|\nabla \zeta_i| \leq C$, uniformly in i. Take a cut-off function χ with $\chi(t) = 1$ for $t < 1$, $\chi(t) = 0$ for $t > 2$, multiply (3.3) by $(\chi \circ \frac{r}{R})\zeta_i u$, integrate by parts, and let $R \to \infty$. The boundary term with χ' goes away because $|u| \leq C$, $\int |\nabla u|^2 < \infty$, and $\beta \leq 2$. Thus, for all i, and again because $|u| \leq C$,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=i+1}^{\infty} \|\nabla u\|^2_{L^2(A_j)} &\leq \int \zeta_i |\nabla u|^2 \leq C \int |\nabla \zeta_i||u||\nabla u| + C \int \zeta_i |u||f| \\ &\leq C(r_{i+1} - r_i)^{-1} \|u\|_{L^2(A_i)} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(A_i)} + C \sum_{j=i}^{\infty} (r^{\beta}_{j+1} - r^{\beta}_j) \|f\|_{L^\infty(A_j)}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

The key observation is that (3.20) still holds if u is replaced by $u - \mu$ for any $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|\mu| \leq C$. If we can choose μ such that $\|u - \mu\|_{L^2(A_i)} \leq C(r_{i+1} - r_i)\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(A_i)}$ and if $f \in C^\infty_0(M)$, then (3.20) implies $\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(A_i)} \leq C \exp(-\delta i)$ for some $\delta > 0$ by induction. In the settings described in (ia) and (ib), such a choice for μ is essentially always possible, given an appropriately chosen sequence of radii.

(ia) Pick $x_i \in A_i$ such that $\rho_i := r(x_i) = \frac{1}{2}(r_i + r_{i+1})$. By assumption then,

$$A'_i := A(x_0, \rho_i - \frac{1}{C}\rho_i^\gamma, \rho_i + \frac{1}{C}\rho_i^\gamma) \subset B_i := B(x_i, \rho_i^\gamma).$$

Suppose the r_i are such that $A_i \subset A'_i$ and $2B_i \subset A''_i := A_{i-K} \cup A_{i-K+1} \cup \ldots \cup A_{i+K}$ for some $K \in \mathbb{N}$, $K \leq C$, and all $i \geq C$. Then, if $\mu := u_{B_i}$, Lemma 2.13 yields

$$\int_{A_i} |u - \mu|^2 \leq \int_{B_i} |u - \mu|^2 \leq C\rho_i^{2\gamma} \int_{2B_i} |\nabla u|^2 \leq C\rho_i^{2\gamma} \int_{A''_i} |\nabla u|^2. \tag{3.21}$$

The essentially unique choice of radii which makes this work is $r_i := \frac{1}{C} i^{\frac{1}{1-\gamma}}$. Define $Q_i := \int_{E_i} |\nabla u|^2$. Then (3.20) and (3.21) imply that for all $i \geq C$,

$$Q_{i+K} \leq Q_{i+1} \leq C(Q_{i-K} - Q_{i+K}) + Ce^{-\varepsilon i},$$

since $\rho_i^\gamma \leq C(r_{i+1} - r_i)$ and by the decay assumption for f. Thus, $Q_{2Ki} \leq Ce^{-\delta i}$ for some $\delta > 0$ by induction, and in particular,

$$\int_{B(x,1)} |\nabla u|^2 \leq C \exp(-\delta r(x)^{1-\gamma})$$

for all $x \in M$. Using the Neumann-type Sobolev inequality from Maheux and Saloff-Coste [53, Théorème 1.1], and the assumed lower bound on $|B(x,1)|$ as $r(x) \to \infty$, we can now apply Moser iteration on $B(x,1)$ to conclude the proof.

(ib) The argument here is entirely analogous, replacing (3.21) by the Neumann-Poincaré inequality for annuli from (2.15): If $r_i = 1000\eta^i$, $\eta = 1.001$, $\mu = u_{\eta A_i}$, then $\|u - \mu\|_{L^2(A_i)} \leq C\eta^i \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\eta^2 A_i)}$, where we recall that $\eta A(r,s) := A(\eta^{-1}r, \eta s)$.

(ii) As in Kovalev [45], we define a linear differential operator L_u by setting

$$L_u(v)\omega_0^m := i\partial\bar\partial v \wedge \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \omega_0^j \wedge (\omega_0 + i\partial\bar\partial u)^{m-1-j}.$$

For any $x \in M$, put $u_x := u - u_{B(x,1)}$. Then $\mathbb{C}$MA implies $L_u(u_x) = e^f - 1$. For a given $\mu \in [0,1]$, consider the following statement $S(\mu)$:

$$r(x) \geq C \Longrightarrow \|u_x\|_{C^{k+2,\alpha}(B(x, \frac{1}{C}r(x)^\mu),\, r(x)^{-2\mu}\omega_0)} \leq C\varphi(r(x))^{-1} r(x)^{\lambda - 1}.$$

Claim: $S(0)$ is true, and $S(\mu)$ implies $S(\nu)$ for all $\nu \in [\mu, \min\{\lambda, \mu + \frac{\delta}{k+2+\alpha}\}]$.

Thus, $S(\lambda)$ is true, so $|\nabla u| \leq C\varphi(r)^{-1}(1+r)^{-1}$ and thus $|u - \bar{u}| \leq C\varphi(r)^{-1}$ for some constant $\bar{u}$ since $\varphi(t)(1+t)^{-\delta}$ is non-decreasing and $\mathrm{diam}(\partial B(x_0, s)) \leq Cs$. Using $S(\lambda)$ again, this proves (ii), and in fact slightly more if $\lambda < 1$.

Proof of the claim. To show $S(0)$, pull the equation $L_u(u_x) = e^f - 1$ back under Φ_x and apply Schauder theory on $\Phi_x^{-1}(B(x, \frac{1}{C}))$, using the $\Phi_x^*\omega_0$-Hölder norms. Note that the coefficients of L_u are already bounded in this sense.

Now assume $S(\mu)$ and let ν be as given. We pull back under Φ_x, aiming to apply Schauder theory again, but on the larger ball $B_x(\mu) := \Phi_x^{-1}(B(x, \frac{1}{C}r(x)^\mu))$, and in the stronger norms associated with the metric $\omega_x(\nu) := r(x)^{-2\nu}\Phi_x^*\omega_0$. From $S(\mu)$, $\varphi(t) \geq (1+t)^\delta$, and $(k+2+\alpha)\nu \leq (k+2+\alpha)\mu + \delta$ we conclude that the coefficients of L_u are bounded in $C^{k,\alpha}(B_x(\mu), \omega_x(\nu))$. Also, $r(x)^{2\nu}\|f \circ \Phi_x\|_{C^{k,\alpha}(B_x(\mu),\, \omega_x(\nu))} \leq C\varphi(r(x))^{-1}r(x)^{\lambda-1}$ since $\|f\|_{\psi,k,\alpha} < \infty$ and $\nu \leq \lambda$. Thus, Schauder theory yields $\|u_x \circ \Phi_x\|_{C^{k+2,\alpha}(B_x(\mu),\, \omega_x(\nu))} \leq C\varphi(r(x))^{-1}r(x)^{\lambda-1}$.

It remains to prove that $\sup\{|u_x(y)| : y \in B(x, \frac{1}{C}r(x)^\nu)\} \leq C\varphi(r(x))^{-1}r(x)^{\lambda-1}$. By assumption, this bound holds for all $y \in B(x, \frac{1}{C}r(x)^\mu)$. Also, since $\nabla u_x = \nabla u_y$, the derivative estimates we just showed imply $\sup\{|\nabla u_x(y)| : y \in B(x, \frac{1}{C}r(x)^\nu)\} \leq C\varphi(r(x))^{-1}r(x)^{\lambda-1-\nu}$ because $\varphi(t - \frac{1}{2}t^\lambda) \geq \frac{1}{C}\varphi(t)$. Thus, the desired sup bound follows by integrating along radial ω_0-geodesics in M. □

As we mentioned earlier, there are two obvious issues with Proposition 3.8: (1) The decay rates are not quantitatively optimal. (2) We need to assume low volume growth, but non-parabolic manifolds ought to be rather *better* behaved in terms of potential theory. We conclude here by briefly discussing these questions.

(1) *Optimal decay rates in Proposition 3.8* (see also Remark 4.7(ii)).

On an asymptotically cylindrical manifold, if $-\lambda^2$ ($\lambda > 0$) is the first eigenvalue of the cross-section, then separation of variables suggests $|u| + |\nabla u| + ... + |\nabla^k u| \leq Ce^{-\delta r}$ if $|f| + |\nabla f| + ... + |\nabla^k f| \leq Ce^{-\varepsilon r}$, with $\delta = \varepsilon$ if $\varepsilon \in (0, \lambda)$, but no better than that (even if $\varepsilon \geq \lambda$) unless we impose extra integrability conditions on f. Similarly, on a space asymptotic to $C \times T^{n-2}$ (or even a flat T^{n-2}-submersion over C) for a flat 2-dimensional cone C of cone angle $\theta \in (0, 1]$, we expect $|u| + r|\nabla u| + ... + r^k|\nabla^k u| \leq Cr^{-\delta}$ if $|f| + r|\nabla f| + ... + r^k|\nabla^k f| \leq Cr^{-2-\varepsilon}$, with $\delta = \varepsilon$ if $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{\theta})$. In either case, Proposition 3.8 yields the right qualitative behavior, but not the best values for δ. However, there is a relatively simple way of boosting the decay. The idea is that if u did *not* decay fast enough compared to f, then after translating in the cylindrical, or rescaling and unwrapping the fibers in the homogeneous setting, thanks to the bounds established in Proposition 3.8, u would converge to a *harmonic* function on $\mathbb{R}^+ \times$ cross-section or $C^2 \times \mathbb{R}^{n-2}$ (constant in the $\mathbb{R}^{n-2}$ directions) with *some* and hence *optimal* decay, which contradicts the assumption. This outline can be made rigorous using "3-circles" type arguments, as in Cheeger-Tian [13]. □

(2) *Non-parabolic manifolds* ($\beta > 2$).

Suppose (M, ω_0) satisfies SOB(β) with $\beta > 2$. Proposition 3.1 yields a bounded solution u if $|f| \leq Cr^{-\mu}$ for some $\mu > 2$, however one would not expect u to have finite energy outside of a certain range for μ. On the other hand, from the behavior of the Laplacian on $\mathbb{R}^\beta$ and since the Green's function on (M, ω_0) decays like $r^{2-\beta}$, one might hope for $|u| \leq Cr^{2-\mu}$ if $\mu \in (2, \beta)$. We now describe two settings where this can be proved, though with a small loss in the exponent.

- *Barrier method* (Santoro [67]). This is based on the observation that on $\mathbb{R}^\beta$,

$$\Delta(r^{2-\mu}(\log r)^\varepsilon) = r^{-\mu}(\log r)^\varepsilon \left[(2-\mu)(\beta-\mu) + \frac{\varepsilon(2+\beta-2\mu)}{\log r} + \frac{\varepsilon(\varepsilon-1)}{(\log r)^2}\right],$$

which becomes negative for $r \gg 1$ if either $\mu \in (2,\beta)$, ε arbitrary, or $\mu = \beta$, $\varepsilon > 0$. Thus, if $\Delta u = f$ with $|u| + |\nabla u| + |\nabla^2 u| \leq C$ and $|f| \leq Cr^{-\mu}(\log r)^\varepsilon$, $\mu \in (2,\beta)$, or $|f| \leq Cr^{-\mu}(\log r)^{\varepsilon-1}$, $\mu = \beta$, $\varepsilon > 0$, then $|u| \leq Cr^{2-\mu}(\log r)^\varepsilon$ by Lemma 3.4. This idea obviously generalizes to various sorts of asymptotically flat submersions over $\mathbb{R}^\beta$ with bounded fibers, and also works for $\mathbb{C}$MA on such spaces.

- *Moser iteration with weights.* Let (M,ω_0) and f be as in Proposition 3.1 and assume there exists a smooth $\rho \sim 1 + r$ with $|d\rho| + \rho|dd^c\rho| \leq C$. By Section 3.2, for all $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ there exists $u = u_\varepsilon$, $\|u\|_{C^{4,\bar\alpha}(M)} \leq C$, with $(\omega_0 + i\partial\bar\partial u)^m = e^{f+\varepsilon u}\omega_0^m$. Moreover, assuming for the moment that $f \in C_0^\infty(M)$, by (3.10),

$$\int \rho^k |u|^{p-2}|\nabla u|^2 + \int \rho^k |u|^p < \infty \ \ (k \in \mathbb{N}_0, p > 1). \tag{3.22}$$

For a cut-off function χ with $\chi(t) = 1$ for $t < 1$, $\chi(t) = 0$ for $t > 2$, multiply (3.3) by $(\chi \circ \frac{\rho}{R})\zeta u|\zeta u|^{p-2}\zeta$, where $R > 0$, $\zeta := \rho^l$ for any $l \in \mathbb{R}$, and $p > 1$. Commute the spare factor of ζ past the dd^c, integrate by parts, and let $R \to \infty$. The boundary term disappears by (3.22). Eventually, using $|d\rho| + \rho|dd^c\rho| \leq C$, $\omega_0 + i\partial\bar\partial u \sim \omega_0$, and the sharp weighted Sobolev inequality (2.2),

$$\left(\int \rho^{\alpha(\beta-2)-\beta}|\zeta u|^{\alpha p}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \leq \frac{Cp^2}{p-1}\left(\int |\zeta u|^{p-1}\zeta|f| + \frac{|l|(|l|+1)p}{p-1}\int \rho^{-2}|\zeta u|^p\right),$$

for any $\alpha \in [1, \alpha_{n2}]$, with C depending only on $\|f\|_{C^2(M)}$. This yields

$$\left(\int \zeta_{k+1}|u|^{p_{k+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{k+1}}} \leq \left(\frac{C_0Cp_k^3}{(p_k-1)^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_k}} \max\left\{1, \left(\int \zeta_k|u|^{p_k}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_k}}\right\}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, where $p_k := \alpha^k p_0$ with a fixed $p_0 > 1$, and $\zeta_k := \rho^{\alpha^k(\beta-2)-\beta}$, assuming that $|f| \leq C_0\rho^{-\mu}$, $\mu > 2$, $p_0(\mu - 2) > \beta - 2$, and α is close enough to 1 depending on p_0, β, μ. The basic step, $k = 1$, can be estimated from (3.13), so altogether

$$p_0 > \frac{\beta-2}{\mu-2} \Longrightarrow |u| = |u_\varepsilon| \leq C(p_0, \beta, \mu, \|f\|_{C^2(M)}, \sup \rho^\mu|f|)\rho^{\frac{2-\beta}{p_0}}.$$

Therefore, $|u_\varepsilon| \leq C(\delta)\rho^{2-\mu+\delta}$ for all $\delta > 0$ if $\mu \in (2,\beta)$, uniformly as $\varepsilon \to 0$, and the assumption $f \in C_0^\infty(M)$ can be relaxed to $\|f\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(M)} + \sup \rho^\mu|f| < \infty$. □

4. THE NEW CALABI-YAU METRICS

This section leads up to the proof of Theorems 1.3 and 1.5 in Section 4.4.

Section 4.1 reviews the basic properties of rational elliptic surfaces and works out some isotrivial examples, which we expect to occur as bubbles in the collapsing of Ricci-flat metrics on K3, cf. Problem 6.1. We also summarize Kodaira's results from [42] on the structure of singular fibers in elliptic fibrations in general.

Section 4.2 describes a general construction of CY metrics on the total spaces of certain polarized families of complex m-tori. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, a section of the family, and a holomorphic volume form Ω on the total space, we obtain a semi-flat (i.e. flat when restricted to fibers) CY metric $\omega_{\mathrm{sf},\varepsilon}$, with top power proportional to $\Omega \wedge \bar\Omega$ and such that the tori have volume ε with respect to $\omega_{\mathrm{sf},\varepsilon}$, which is explicit in terms of ε, Ω, and the periods of the tori. Changing the reference section translates $\omega_{\mathrm{sf},\varepsilon}$.

Gross-Wilson [31] have used such metrics on the complement of the singular fibers of generic Jacobian elliptic K3 surfaces, with $\Omega = \Omega_{\mathrm{K3}}$.

Section 4.3 looks at elliptic fibrations $f : U \to \Delta$ over a disk, with a section and such that the central fiber $D = f^{-1}(0)$ does not contain (-1)-curves. The fibration f is then isomorphic to an explicit canonical form that can be extracted from [42]. Given a meromorphic 2-form Ω on U such that $\operatorname{div}(\Omega)$ is an integer multiple of D, this then allows us to write down an explicit formula for the associated CY metrics $\omega_{\mathrm{sf},\varepsilon}$ on $U \setminus D$ and read off their geometric properties. The most relevant cases are $\operatorname{div}(\Omega) = 0$ as in [31], and $\operatorname{div}(\Omega) = -D$ as on a rational elliptic surface.

For a rational elliptic surface $f : X \to \mathbb{P}^1$, a fiber $D = f^{-1}(p)$, a meromorphic 2-form Ω with $\operatorname{div}(\Omega) = -D$, and any choice of local section near p we thus obtain CY metrics $\omega_{\mathrm{sf},\varepsilon}$ on $U \setminus D$, $U = f^{-1}(\Delta)$, $p \in \Delta \subset \mathbb{P}^1$, with $\omega^2_{\mathrm{sf},\,\varepsilon} = \Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega}$ and such that the fibers of f have area ε with respect to $\omega_{\mathrm{sf},\varepsilon}$ and D is at infinite distance. What remains to be done in Section 4.4 is glue $\omega_{\mathrm{sf},\varepsilon}$ with as many different Kähler metrics on $X \setminus U$ as possible to produce complete Kähler metrics ω_0 on $M = X \setminus D$ such that the existence theory from Section 3 applies with $e^f = (\Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega})/\omega_0^2$. The freedom of choosing a local section for f is exactly what makes the Kähler gluing possible, thanks to a remarkable $\partial\bar{\partial}$-type lemma for $U \setminus D$ from [31].

4.1. **Rational elliptic surfaces and singular fibers.** Besides Kodaira's original work [42], useful references for what follows are [4], [26], [32], and [57].

Consider a rational elliptic surface X as in Definition 1.1, i.e. the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^2$ in the nine base points of a pencil $sF + tG = 0$, where $(s : t) \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and F and G are smooth cubics. Then $X = \mathbb{P}^2 \# 9\bar{\mathbb{P}}^2$ as smooth manifolds, and the Hodge numbers of X are $h^{1,0} = h^{2,0} = 0$ and $h^{1,1} = 10$. The natural elliptic fibration $f : X \to \mathbb{P}^1$ has an intrinsic description as the complete linear system $|-K_X|$, meaning that for each fiber $D = f^{-1}(p)$ there exists a meromorphic 2-form Ω on X, unique up to a scale, with $\operatorname{div}(\Omega) = -D$, and all meromorphic 2-forms on X are obtained in this way. Moreover, f is the only elliptic fibration on X. When blowing up a base point until all tangencies are resolved, the last exceptional divisor, which is a (-1)-curve, becomes a global section of f. All fibers of f are reduced, i.e. the multiplicities of f along the irreducible components of any fiber are coprime. A fiber $f^{-1}(p)$ in a general elliptic fibration is reduced if and only if f admits a local section near p.

Rational elliptic surfaces are characterized as those smooth projective surfaces which admit a meromorphic 2-form Ω and an elliptic fibration whose fibers do not contain (-1)-curves such that $\operatorname{div}(\Omega)$ is an integer multiple of a fiber. Even though our construction of complete CY metrics only requires such a fibration near infinity, this essentially shows that we do not have any other examples to work with.

As a last general point, notice that the space of cubics in $\mathbb{P}^2$ is a $\mathbb{P}^9$, so a *general* set of 9 points in $\mathbb{P}^2$ determines a *unique* cubic passing through it, and the space of 9-point subsets of $\mathbb{P}^2$ modulo PGl(3) has dimension $9 \cdot 2 - 8 = 10$. On the other hand, if cubics F and G intersect in finitely many points, and H is another cubic passing through these, then, by the $AF + BG$ theorem, H must already be a linear combination of F and G. Thus, modulo PGl(3) again, 9-point sets with *more* than one cubic passing through them depend on $2 \cdot (9 - 1) - 8 = 8$ free parameters only. If we blow up $\mathbb{P}^2$ in a 9-point set which does *not* determine a pencil of cubics, the resulting X still has meromorphic 2-forms Ω, and sometimes an elliptic fibration, but then one fiber D must be of multiplicity $m > 1$ and $\operatorname{div}(\Omega)$ is either $-\frac{1}{m}D$ or disconnected, so our construction of complete CY metrics breaks down.

Example 4.1. Let $E = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$ be a complex torus and let Γ be a finite subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(E)$, so Γ is generated by a root of unity and either $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_2$ (for any Λ), $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_4$ (for $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}[i]$), or $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_6$ (for $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_3]$), $\zeta_m := \exp(2\pi i/m)$. Then Γ acts on $\mathbb{P}^1$ in a natural way, and the minimal resolution X of the singular surface $(\mathbb{P}^1 \times E)/\Gamma$ comes with an obvious elliptic fibration $f : X \to \mathbb{P}^1$ with two singular fibers and all smooth fibers isomorphic to E. Blowing down all (-1)-curves in the singular fibers makes X rational elliptic. Below, we work this out explicitly for $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_3$.

Elliptic surfaces of this sort are called *isotrivial*, and are of special interest in our story for several reasons. First, they explain the mechanism of creation of singular fibers of finite monodromy in arbitrary elliptic fibrations, and the occurrence of such fiber types in dual pairs T, T* with the same monodromy (T = T* if $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_2 \subset \mathbb{R}$). Second, only the T*-types are associated with *crepant* resolutions, so only in these cases (i.e. with T* at the core and T at infinity) does the complete semi-flat model metric $\omega_{\mathrm{sf},\varepsilon}$ come from the flat orbifold metric on $(\mathbb{C} \times E)/\Gamma$. Third, we expect the associated ALG spaces to occur as bubbles for collapsing CY metrics on elliptic K3 surfaces near finite monodromy singular fibers of the appropriate type.

(i) $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_2$. Fix a smooth elliptic curve $E : y^2 = g(x)$ where g is a cubic, and fix an affine coordinate t on $\mathbb{P}^1$ such that the natural $\mathbb{Z}_2$-action on $\mathbb{P}^1$ becomes $t \mapsto -t$. Setting $(T, X, Y) = (t^2, x, ty)$ yields a rational map $\mathbb{P}^1_t \times E \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1_T \times \mathbb{P}^2_{XY}$ which factors through the globally defined $\mathbb{Z}_2$-action $(t, x, y) \mapsto (-t, x, -y)$ and induces a birational map from $(\mathbb{P}^1_t \times E)/\mathbb{Z}_2$ to the surface $S : Y^2 = Tg(X)$ which is in fact an isomorphism of elliptic fibrations away from $t = 0, \infty$. Project S onto $\mathbb{P}^2_{XY}$ and blow up the base points of the pencil $Y^2 = Tg(X)$. Going back then already yields a resolution for $(\mathbb{P}^1 \times E)/\mathbb{Z}_2$, without having to blow up in a fiber first.

To see what this looks like, notice the base points are $P_i = (x_i, 0) = (x_i : 0 : 1)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, where $g(x_i) = 0$, and $P_0 = (0 : 1 : 0)$. At P_i, the curves in the pencil have a common tangent $L_i : X = x_i$ of multiplicity 2, while at P_0, they share the line at infinity L_0 as a flex tangent. We blow up P_i twice with exceptional curves E^1_i, E^2_i, and P_0 three times with exceptional curves E^1_0, E^2_0, E^3_0. The resulting elliptic surface then has four sections, given by the (-1)-curves E^2_i and E^3_0, and two singular fibers. The one at $T = 0$ consists of the "spine" $Y = 0$, plus the four "ribs" E^1_i, L_0, which intersect the spine transversely in four different points. The singular fiber at $T = \infty$ looks the same, with E^1_0 as spine and L_i, E^2_0 as ribs. Both are of Kodaira type I^*_0. In the resolution picture, the spines are the strict transforms of the rational curves $E/\mathbb{Z}_2 \subset (\mathbb{P}^1_t \times E)/\mathbb{Z}_2$ over $t = 0, \infty$, while the ribs resolve the singularities.

It is clear what background metric one would have to take in order to construct a complete Calabi-Yau metric when removing either one of the singular fibers. There are flat metrics on $\mathbb{C}_t \times E$, $\mathbb{C}_s \times E$ ($s = \frac{1}{t}$) with corresponding 2-forms $\Omega_0 = dt \wedge dw$, $\Omega_\infty = ds \wedge dw$, where dw denotes an invariant differential on E. The key point is that Ω_0, Ω_∞ are both *invariant under the* $\mathbb{Z}_2$*-action*, hence they lift to the rational elliptic surface with polar divisor the singular fiber at $T = \infty, 0$, respectively. Thus one can use the flat orbifold $(\mathbb{C} \times E)/\mathbb{Z}_2$ as a background. Settings of this sort have been treated in [5, 67] and heuristically in [27, 36], cf. Remark 1.6(ii).

(ii) $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_3$. Take $E : y^2 + y = x^3$ and $(x, y) \mapsto (\zeta_3 x, y)$ as a generator for Γ. Fix an affine coordinate t on $\mathbb{P}^1$ such that the natural $\mathbb{Z}_3$-action on $\mathbb{P}^1$ becomes $t \mapsto \zeta_3^2 t$. Then the rational map $\mathbb{P}^1_t \times E \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1_T \times \mathbb{P}^2_{XY}$ given by $(T, X, Y) = (t^3, tx, y)$ factors through the diagonal Γ-action and thus induces a birational map from $(\mathbb{P}^1_t \times E)/\Gamma$ to the surface $T(Y^2 + Y) = X^3$ in $\mathbb{P}^1_T \times \mathbb{P}^2_{XY}$. The pencil of cubics obtained from this by projection has three base points of multiplicity 3: $P_1 = (0,0) = (0:0:1)$, $P_2 = (0,-1) = (0:-1:1)$, and $P_3 = (0:1:0)$, with corresponding flex tangents $L_1 : Y = 0$, $L_2 : Y = -1$, and L_3, the line at infinity. Blowing up therefore produces three exceptional divisors E_i^1, E_i^2, E_i^3 at every P_i, where the E_i^3 become sections. The resulting singular fiber over $T = 0$ has a spine-rib structure again, with $X = 0$ as spine and three two-component ribs $E_i^1 \cup E_i^2$ (Kodaira type IV*). The fiber over $T = \infty$ consists of L_1, L_2, L_3, intersecting in $(1:0:0) \in L_3$ (Kodaira type IV). To regard this as a resolution of singularities for $(\mathbb{P}^1 \times E)/\Gamma$, we need to blow up the intersection of L_1, L_2, L_3 over $T = \infty$, the new exceptional divisor then becoming the strict transform of the rational curve $E/\Gamma \subset (\mathbb{P}^1 \times E)/\Gamma$ over $t = \infty$.

As for the semi-flat background metrics on X with one singular fiber removed, there is a new phenomenon compared to the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ case. Of the meromorphic 2-forms $\Omega_0 = dt \wedge dw$, $\Omega_\infty = ds \wedge dw$ $(s = \frac{1}{t})$ on $\mathbb{P}_t^1 \times E$ associated to the standard flat metrics on $\mathbb{C}_t \times E$, $\mathbb{C}_s \times E$, only Ω_0 is invariant under the $\mathbb{Z}_3$-action we are looking at, which is generated by $(t,w) \mapsto (\zeta_3^2 t, \zeta_3 w)$ or $(s,w) \mapsto (\zeta_3 s, \zeta_3 w)$ (cf. the three two-component ribs $E_i^1 \cup E_i^2$ in the singular fiber over $T = 0$, which correspond to *crepant resolutions* of the three A_2-singularities of $(\mathbb{P}_t^1 \times E)/\Gamma$ over $t = 0$). Thus, when removing the type IV fiber over $T = \infty$, then obviously the right metric ω_0 to use as a background is the flat orbifold metric on $(\mathbb{C}_t \times E)/\Gamma$, which is a flat T^2-submersion over a flat 2-cone of cone angle $1/3$. On the other hand, when removing the type IV* fiber over $T = 0$, we would need to find ω_∞ with $\omega_\infty^2 = \Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega}$, where $\Omega = \frac{1}{T}\Omega_0$ is the unique meromorphic 2-form on the rational elliptic surface with a simple pole along that fiber. Thus it seems reasonable to take ω_0 and conformally change the flat cone metric $|T|^{-\frac{4}{3}}|dT|^2$ on the base (complete at $T = \infty$) by $|T|^{-2}$, which results in a flat cone metric (complete at $T = 0$) of cone angle $2/3$. It follows from Sections 4.2–4.3 that this is indeed what the appropriate $\omega_{\mathrm{sf},\varepsilon}$ looks like. □

We now turn to the possible structures of singular fibers in general. The principal result in Kodaira [42] asserts the following: Let $f : U \to \Delta$ be an elliptic fibration with a section σ over the unit disk such that all fibers except possibly $D = f^{-1}(0)$ are smooth and D does not contain any (-1)-curves (recall that existence of a local section is equivalent to D being reduced). Then the pair (f, σ) is isomorphic to a canonical form $(\bar{f}, \bar{\sigma})$ whose total space $\bar{U}$ is birational, via explicit fiber-preserving maps, to the quotient of the total space of an explicit elliptic fibration over Δ by a finite group related to the *monodromy* of f. To explain the notion of monodromy, note $U|_{\Delta^*} \cong L/\Lambda$ due to the existence of σ, where L is a holomorphic line bundle on Δ^* and $\Lambda \subset L$ is a lattice bundle, but $H^1(\Delta^*, \mathcal{O}^*) = 0$, so L is trivial and $U|_{\Delta^*} \cong (\Delta^* \times \mathbb{C})/(\mathbb{Z}\tau_1 + \mathbb{Z}\tau_2)$ for multi-valued holomorphic functions τ_1, τ_2 on Δ^*. The pair $T = (\tau_1, \tau_2) \in \mathbb{C}^{2\times 1}$, assumed to be positively oriented, transforms as $T \mapsto TA$ with $A = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \mathrm{Sl}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ when going around the puncture once in the counterclockwise sense. The conjugacy class of A is then referred to as the monodromy of f.

The central fibers that can occur are classified by topological type as I_b $(b \geq 0)$, II, III, IV, and corresponding "$*$-types," I_b^*, etc. I_0 is smooth, I_1 a node, II a cusp, III is two rational curves touching at a point, IV three rational curves intersecting transversely in a point, and I_b $(b \geq 2)$, I_b^* $(b \geq 0)$, II*, III*, IV* are graphs of rational curves which if weighted by intersection numbers become affine Dynkin diagrams: $\tilde{A}_{b-1}$, $\tilde{D}_{b+4}$, $\tilde{E}_8$, $\tilde{E}_7$, $\tilde{E}_6$. The topological type determines the monodromy, whose order in $\mathrm{Sl}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ is finite except for $\mathrm{I}_b, \mathrm{I}_b^*$ $(b \geq 1)$. In Example 4.1 we have seen how the pairs $(\mathrm{I}_0^*, \mathrm{I}_0^*)$, $(\mathrm{IV}, \mathrm{IV}^*)$ occur in rational elliptic surfaces birational to $(\mathbb{P}^1 \times E)/\Gamma$

with $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_3$. The pairs (II, II*), (III, III*) can be created in a similar way with $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_6, \mathbb{Z}_4$. In each case the monodromy of both fibers is Γ. The T^2-bundles over S^1 obtained by restricting U to a loop around 0 are orientable. They are Bieberbach manifolds (five out of six possible ones occur) if the monodromy is trivial or finite, nil-manifolds for I_b, $b \geq 1$ (all possibilities occur), and infranil for I_b^*, $b \geq 1$.

If X is rational elliptic, then the Euler numbers of the singular fibers must add up to $\chi(X) = 12$. Persson [58] has determined all possible configurations of singular fibers; there are 279 in total, the generic one being $12 \times \mathrm{I}_1$. All finite monodromy types can occur, as well as I_b, $0 \leq b \leq 9$ $(\chi = b)$ and I_b^*, $0 \leq b \leq 4$ $(\chi = 6 + b)$.

We need to understand how Kodaira's canonical form $(\bar{f}, \bar{\sigma})$ for a given fibration (f, σ) with a section is constructed. Write $U|_{\Delta^*} = (\Delta^* \times \mathbb{C})/(\mathbb{Z}\tau_1 + \mathbb{Z}\tau_2)$ as before. Then $\tau := \tau_2/\tau_1 : \Delta^* \to \mathfrak{H}$ is multi-valued holomorphic, with values in the upper half-plane, and transforms as $\tau \mapsto (d\tau + b)/(c\tau + a)$ under the image $[A] \in \mathrm{PSl}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ of the monodromy when going around the puncture in the counterclockwise sense. Denote by $j : \mathfrak{H} \to \mathbb{C}$ the classical elliptic modular function, normalized such that $j(i) = 1$ and $j(\zeta_3) = 0$. Recall that j is $\mathrm{PSl}(2, \mathbb{Z})$-invariant and unramified except for branch points of order 2, 3 along the orbits of i, ζ_3. It turns out that $\mathcal{J} := j \circ \tau$ (Kodaira's *functional invariant*) is a single-valued meromorphic function on Δ^*.

- If $\mathcal{J}(0) \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$, then τ is single-valued and extends to a regular function on Δ with $\tau(0)$ not in the $\mathrm{PSl}(2, \mathbb{Z})$-orbits of i or ζ_3. Thus in particular the stabilizer of $\tau(0)$ in $\mathrm{PSl}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ is trivial, so $A = \pm 1$. If $A = 1$, then τ_1, τ_2 extend as single-valued functions on Δ and $U \cong (\Delta \times \mathbb{C})/(\mathbb{Z}\tau_1 + \mathbb{Z}\tau_2)$ with D smooth (I_0). If $A = -1$, we make a base change $z = u^2$ over Δ_z^*. The lifted fibration $U' \to \Delta_u^*$ extends across 0 with a smooth central fiber D'. The free $\mathbb{Z}_2$-action on U' over Δ_u^* also extends but with four fixed points on D'. The quotient is then an elliptic fibration over Δ_z, isomorphic to U over Δ_z^*, but with four surface singularities of type A_1 over $z = 0$. Resolving these singularities gives the desired normal form $\bar{U} \to \Delta_z$ with a type I_0^* central fiber, in analogy with Example 4.1(i).
- If $\mathcal{J}(0) = 0$, then τ is possibly multi-valued but still regular at 0 with $\tau(0)$ in the orbit of ζ_3. $[A]$ fixes $\tau(0)$, which yields six possibilities total for $A \in \mathrm{Sl}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ up to conjugation, including $A = \pm 1$. Each case can be treated as before: Make a base change $z = u^{\mathrm{ord}\, A}$ to obtain an elliptic fibration $U' \to \Delta_u^*$ which extends to Δ_u with a smooth central fiber D'. The group $\langle A \rangle$ acts on U' with fixed points on D', and the desired normal form $\bar{U}$ is obtained by minimally resolving the singularities of the quotient and blowing down (-1)-curves in the central fiber if necessary. The case $\mathcal{J}(0) = 1$ is the same. Other than I_0, I_0^* for $A = \pm 1$, the fiber types that can occur are II, III, IV, and their corresponding $*$-types, as in Example 4.1(ii).
- If $\mathcal{J}$ has a pole of order $b \geq 1$ at 0, then A is conjugate to $\pm A_b = \pm\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Also, it is possible to make $\tau_1 \equiv 1$ and $\tau_2 = \tau = b(\log z)/(2\pi i)$. If $b = 1$ and $A = A_1$, one can compactify $U|_{\Delta^*} \cong (\Delta^* \times \mathbb{C})/(\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau)$ explicitly by embedding it into $\Delta \times \mathbb{P}^2$ using suitable $\wp$-functions and taking the closure. This yields the normal form $\bar{U}$ with central fiber a node (I_1). The case $A = A_b$, $b > 1$, with central fiber a cycle of b rational curves (I_b), reduces to $b = 1$ by a fiberwise b-fold cover. $A = -A_b$ implies $A^2 = A_{2b}$, and $\bar{U}$ is obtained by setting $z = u^2$, which yields an I_{2b} fibration over Δ_u, then dividing by $\mathbb{Z}_2$ and resolving the four resulting A_1-singularities (I_b^*).

4.2. **Ricci-flat metrics on complex torus bundles.**

Let $f : X \to S$ be a holomorphic submersion such that all fibers $X_s = f^{-1}(s)$ are complex m-tori. Assume f admits a holomorphic section σ and a *constant polarization* ω, meaning that ω is a real 2-form on X which restricts to a Kähler form on every X_s and there exist $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\xi_i(s) \in H^2(X_s, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $[\omega|_{X_s}] = \sum c_i \xi_i(s)$ for all $s \in S$. For $\varepsilon > 0, s \in S$ we denote by $g_{s,\varepsilon}$ the unique flat Kähler metric on X_s with $\mathrm{vol}(X_s, g_{s,\varepsilon}) = \varepsilon$ whose Kähler class is a scalar multiple of $[\omega|_{X_s}]$. Restricting $g_{s,\varepsilon}$ to $T_{\sigma(s)}X_s$ then induces a hermitian fiber metric h_ε on the holomorphic vector bundle $E := \sigma^* T_{X/S}$ over S, according to our convention that g induces $h = \frac{1}{2}(g + i\omega)$.

- *Hyperkähler setting.* Let $\dim S = m$ and assume that X admits a holomorphic symplectic form Υ such that all X_s are complex Lagrangians. Then there exists a unique Riemannian metric $g_{S,\varepsilon}$ on S compatible with the complex structure on S such that the faithful pairing $E \otimes T^{1,0}S \to \mathbb{C}$ defined by Υ is isometric with respect to h_ε and the hermitian metric induced by $g_{S,\varepsilon}$.
- *CY setting.* Let $\dim S = 1$ and assume that X admits a holomorphic volume form Ω. Then Ω defines a faithful pairing $\wedge^m E \otimes T^{1,0}S \to \mathbb{C}$. Using the hermitian metric on $\wedge^m E$ induced by h_ε, we obtain a Riemannian metric $g_{S,\varepsilon}$ as before.

Using $g_{S,\varepsilon}$ and the family of flat metrics $\{g_{s,\varepsilon}\}$ on the fibers of f, we now wish to build a submersion metric on X, thus we need to specify a horizontal distribution. Choose a fiber-preserving biholomorphism $X \cong E/\Lambda$ for some holomorphic lattice bundle $\Lambda \subset E$. Then Λ induces a flat $\mathbb{R}$-linear connection on E, hence an integrable horizontal distribution $\mathcal{H}$ on X, and this is independent of how we made $X \cong E/\Lambda$ since any two such isomorphisms only differ by a holomorphic section $S \to \mathrm{Aut}(E)$. Then $g_{\mathrm{sf},\varepsilon}(u,v) := g_{S,\varepsilon}(f_*u, f_*v) + g_{s,\varepsilon}(Pu, Pv)$ for $u, v \in T_xX$, $s = f(x)$, and $P =$ projection along $\mathcal{H}_x$, defines a "semi-flat" hermitian metric $g_{\mathrm{sf},\varepsilon}$ on X. We will see that $g_{S,\,\varepsilon}, g_{\mathrm{sf},\,\varepsilon}$ are in fact Kähler and the volume form of $g_{\mathrm{sf},\varepsilon}$ is a constant multiple of either $\Upsilon^m \wedge \bar{\Upsilon}^m$ or $\Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega}$, so that $g_{\mathrm{sf},\varepsilon}$ is either hyperkähler or at least CY.

Remark 4.2. (i) Existence of a section is necessary to construct $g_{\mathrm{sf},\varepsilon}$, and $g_{\mathrm{sf},\varepsilon}$ as a tensor field depends on the particular choice of a section σ. However, σ also defines a complex Lie group structure on each fiber X_s with unit $\sigma(s)$, so for every smooth section σ' there is an associated vertical translation map $T(x) = x + \sigma'(f(x))$, and $g_{\mathrm{sf},\varepsilon}(\sigma) = T^* g_{\mathrm{sf},\varepsilon}(\sigma')$ if σ' is holomorphic. This freedom of gauge will be crucial in Section 4.4, when we glue $g_{\mathrm{sf},\varepsilon}$ with other Kähler metrics. For a smooth section σ', T *preserves* $g_{\mathrm{sf},\varepsilon}$ if and only if σ' is tangent to $\mathcal{H}$ (which implies holomorphic). We thus obtain a locally constant sheaf of Lie groups on S with stalk T^{2m}, acting on $(X, g_{\mathrm{sf},\varepsilon})$ by isometries, which can be viewed as an N- or N^*-structure, cf. [60].

(ii) The hermitian metric h_ε on E induces the Weil-Petersson fiber metric on the first Hodge bundle $\wedge^m E^*$ up to scale, cf. [69, p.618]. Taking for granted that $g_{S,\varepsilon}$ is Kähler, $\mathrm{Ric}(g_{S,\varepsilon})$ must therefore be equal to the pull-back of an invariant metric on the Siegel upper half plane $\mathfrak{H}_m = \{Z \in \mathbb{C}^{m\times m} : Z^{\mathrm{tr}} = Z, \operatorname{Im} Z > 0\}$ under the period map associated to (f, σ, ω). Below, we give a careful definition of the period map, and a pedestrian proof of this claim.

(iii) Semi-flat metrics of a similar flavor occur throughout the literature [22, 27, 31, 37, 52, 64, 69], but we were unable to find a reference where the construction is carried out exactly the way we need it here. We will discuss some of these relations with other work at the end of this section. □

We now derive an explicit formula for $g_{\mathrm{sf},\varepsilon}$ in local coordinates in terms of Υ, Ω and the periods of the tori X_s with respect to ω. One can deduce from this formula that $g_{\mathrm{sf},\varepsilon}$ is Kähler and identify the Ricci tensor of the metric $g_{S,\varepsilon}$ on the base with a natural Weil-Petersson metric as mentioned in Remark 4.2(ii). More importantly, we need this formula in Section 4.3, together with Kodaira's work, to read off the geometry of the semi-flat metrics explicitly in the elliptic surface case.

Fix isomorphisms $X|_U \cong E|_U/\Lambda \cong (U \times \mathbb{C}^m)/\Lambda$ over a domain $U \subset \mathbb{C}^m, \mathbb{C}$, with coordinates z on U and w along the fibers. Fix an oriented basis $(\tau_1, ..., \tau_{2m})$ for Λ at one point and extend it to a tuple of multi-valued functions $\tau_i \in \mathcal{O}(U, \mathbb{C}^m)$ that generates Λ everywhere. Let $(\xi^1, ..., \xi^{2m})$ be $\mathbb{R}$-dual to $(\tau_1, ..., \tau_{2m})$. Our assumption on the existence of a constant polarization means that there exists $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{2m\times 2m}$, $Q + Q^{\rm tr} = 0$, such that $\omega = \frac{1}{2}\sum Q_{ij}\xi^i \wedge \xi^j$ restricts to a flat Kähler metric on each fiber. The ω-volume of all fundamental cells is then given by the Pfaffian of Q.

To see more explicitly what this means, define $T \in \mathcal{O}(U, \mathbb{C}^{m\times 2m})$ by

$$\tau_i = (T_{1i}, ..., T_{mi}), \quad i = 1, ..., 2m. \tag{4.1}$$

Now first of all, T may be multivalued, so asking for ω as above to be well-defined is saying that upon analytic continuation around a loop in U, T must transform into TA with $A \in {\rm Gl}(2m, \mathbb{R})$ such that $A^{\rm tr}QA = Q$. Second, as for the positive $(1, 1)$ condition, notice that there exists $S \in {\rm Gl}(2m, \mathbb{R})$, unique up to right multiplication by a matrix in ${\rm Sp}(2m, \mathbb{R})$, such that $S^{\rm tr}QS = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. We write $TS = R(1, Z)$ with multi-valued holomorphic maps $R : U \to {\rm Gl}(m, \mathbb{C})$ and $Z : U \to \mathbb{C}^{m\times m}$. Then, by Griffiths-Harris [28, Chapter 2.6], ω is positive $(1, 1)$ if and only if Z belongs to the Siegel upper half plane $\mathfrak{H}_m$. If this is the case, then, by the same calculations,

$$\omega = iH_{jk}dw^j \wedge d\bar{w}^k, \quad H^{-1} = 2\bar{R}({\rm Im}\, Z)R^{\rm tr} = i\bar{T}Q^{-1}T^{\rm tr}. \tag{4.2}$$

We refer to $Z : U \to \mathfrak{H}_m$ as the *period map* although this is not, strictly speaking, a well-defined map, not even locally. Changing the matrix S or the local branch of T that were used in defining Z will conjugate Z by a constant matrix in ${\rm Sp}(2m, \mathbb{R})$, i.e. one has to compose Z locally with a fixed isometry of $\mathfrak{H}_m$.

It is easy to check that the flat connection given by Λ has Christoffel symbols

$$\Gamma_i(z, w) = \frac{\partial T}{\partial z^i}\begin{pmatrix} T \\ \bar{T} \end{pmatrix}^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} w \\ \bar{w} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^m, \quad i = 1, ..., \dim_{\mathbb{C}} U, \tag{4.3}$$

i.e. the vectors $(e_i, \Gamma_i(z, w))$ span the horizontal space at $(z, w) \in U \times \mathbb{C}^m$.

Lemma 4.3 collects the resulting formulas for $g_{{\rm sf},\varepsilon}$ and calculates the Ricci form of the metric on the base. In the hyperkähler setting, (ia), assuming Υ to have this particular form is a non-trivial condition, which can however always be satisfied by adapting the coordinates to Υ. On the other hand, in (ib), the local expression for Ω will have the required form for *every* bundle chart (z, w).

Lemma 4.3. *For H as in* (4.2) *and $\varepsilon > 0$, put $H(\varepsilon) := (\varepsilon/\sqrt{\det Q})^{1/m}H$.*

(ia) *If $\Upsilon = g(dz^1 \wedge dw^1 + ... + dz^m \wedge dw^m)$ with $g : U \to \mathbb{C}$ holomorphic, then the Kähler form of the hermitian metric $g_{{\rm sf},\varepsilon}$ is given by*

$$\omega_{{\rm sf},\varepsilon} = i|g|^2 H(\varepsilon)^{-1}_{jk}dz^j \wedge d\bar{z}^k + iH(\varepsilon)_{jk}(dw^j - \Gamma^j_p dz^p) \wedge (d\bar{w}^k - \bar{\Gamma}^k_q d\bar{z}^q). \tag{4.4}$$

This is a closed form with top power $\binom{2m}{m}\Upsilon^m \wedge \bar{\Upsilon}^m$, so $g_{{\rm sf},\varepsilon}$ is hyperkähler.

(ib) *If $\Omega = g\, dz \wedge dw^1 \wedge ... \wedge dw^m$ with $g : U \to \mathbb{C}$ holomorphic, then the Kähler form of the hermitian metric $g_{{\rm sf},\varepsilon}$ is given by*

$$\omega_{{\rm sf},\varepsilon} = i|g|^2 \det(H(\varepsilon))^{-1}dz \wedge d\bar{z} + iH(\varepsilon)_{jk}(dw^j - \Gamma^j dz) \wedge (d\bar{w}^k - \bar{\Gamma}^k d\bar{z}). \tag{4.5}$$

This is a closed form with top power $(m + 1)!\, i^{(m+1)^2}\Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega}$, so $g_{{\rm sf},\varepsilon}$ is Calabi-Yau.

(ii) *The metrics* $\omega_{S,\varepsilon}$ *on the base are Kähler as well in both cases and*

$$\rho(\omega_{S,\varepsilon}) = -i\partial\bar\partial \log\det(\operatorname{Im} Z) = \frac{i}{4}((\operatorname{Im} Z)^{ab} dZ_{bc} \wedge (\operatorname{Im} Z)^{cd} d\bar Z_{da}), \tag{4.6}$$

the pull-back of an invariant Kähler metric on $\mathfrak{H}_m$ *under the period map* Z.

Proof. Calculation. The semi-flat metrics turn out to be Kähler because the τ_i are holomorphic, and (4.6) follows from $H^{-1} = 2\bar R(\operatorname{Im} Z)R^{\mathrm{tr}}$ as noted in (4.2). □

We will now make these formulas completely explicit in the elliptic surface case, $m = 1$, which gives the result that is needed for Sections 4.3–4.4. The mathematical content of the following corollary is the same as in Gross-Wilson [31, Example 2.2], but the set-up there is not well suited for our applications in Section 4.3.

Corollary 4.4. *Let* $f : X \to S$ *be an elliptic fibration over a Riemann surface with a holomorphic section* σ *and no singular fibers. Let* Ω *be a holomorphic symplectic form on* X *and let* $\omega_{\mathrm{sf},\varepsilon}$ *be semi-flat hyperkähler constructed from* σ, $(1/\sqrt{2})\Omega$ *such that the fibers of* f *have area* ε *with respect to* $\omega_{\mathrm{sf},\varepsilon}$*, so in particular* $\omega_{\mathrm{sf},\,\varepsilon}^2 = \Omega \wedge \bar\Omega$. *Let* U *be a domain in* S*, let* z *be a holomorphic coordinate on* U*, identify* U *with its* z*-image, and fix an isomorphism of elliptic fibrations* $X|_U \cong (U \times \mathbb{C}_w)/(\mathbb{Z}\tau_1 + \mathbb{Z}\tau_2)$ *with multi-valued functions* τ_1, τ_2 *so that* (τ_1, τ_2) *is positively oriented and* σ *maps to the zero section. Then* $\Omega = g\, dz \wedge dw$ *with* $g : U \to \mathbb{C}$ *holomorphic, and*

$$\omega_{\mathrm{sf},\varepsilon} = i|g|^2 \frac{\operatorname{Im}(\bar\tau_1\tau_2)}{\varepsilon} dz \wedge d\bar z + \frac{i}{2}\frac{\varepsilon}{\operatorname{Im}(\bar\tau_1\tau_2)}(dw - \Gamma dz) \wedge (d\bar w - \bar\Gamma d\bar z), \tag{4.7}$$

$$\Gamma(z, w) = \frac{1}{\operatorname{Im}(\bar\tau_1\tau_2)}(\operatorname{Im}(\bar\tau_1 w)\tau_2' - \operatorname{Im}(\bar\tau_2 w)\tau_1'). \tag{4.8}$$

On U*, the Ricci form of the induced metric* $\omega_{S,\varepsilon}$ *on the base is given by*

$$\rho(\omega_{S,\varepsilon}) = -i\partial\bar\partial \log \operatorname{Im}(\tau) = \frac{i}{4}\frac{d\tau \wedge d\bar\tau}{\operatorname{Im}(\tau)^2}, \tag{4.9}$$

the pull-back of the hyperbolic metric of constant Gauß curvature -2 *on the upper half plane* $\mathfrak{H} = \{\operatorname{Im}(\tau) > 0\}$ *under the period map* $\tau = \frac{\tau_2}{\tau_1} : U \to \mathfrak{H}$. □

This concludes the discussion of semi-flat metrics for our purposes. As mentioned in Remark 4.2(iii), similar constructions have been used in a number of recent works. It is interesting to take a closer look at some of the connections between these.

(1) *Collapsing Ricci-flat metrics on elliptic K3 surfaces* (Gross-Wilson [31]).

Let $f : X \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be an elliptic fibration on a K3 surface with a section and exactly 24 singular fibers of type I_1. Let Ω be a holomorphic symplectic form on X. Then the complement of the singular fibers in X carries semi-flat metrics $\omega_{\mathrm{sf},\varepsilon}$ associated to Ω and a chosen section of f. Since Ω does not have any poles, these metrics are incomplete at the singular fibers. They were used in [31] to construct a particular one-parameter family of collapsing Ricci-flat metrics on X which are increasingly well approximated by $\omega_{\mathrm{sf},\varepsilon}$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Type I_1 singular fibers have a special property which plays a crucial role in [31]: Their monodromy has an invariant vector. Thus one can assume $\tau_1 \equiv 1$, and the N-structure in Remark 4.2(i) has a global section over Δ^*, i.e. there exists an isometric S^1-action for $\omega_{\mathrm{sf},\varepsilon}$ on all of $X|_{\Delta^*}$. □

(2) *Kähler-Ricci flow on properly elliptic surfaces* (Song-Tian [69]).

Let $f : X \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be an arbitrary elliptic fibration on a K3 surface, $[\omega]$ any Kähler class on X, $[\beta_0]$ any Kähler class on $\mathbb{P}^1$. Using methods developed for the analogous question of long-time behavior of the Kähler-Ricci flow on properly elliptic surfaces, it is shown in [69] that the unique CY metric in the Kähler class $[\omega]+t(f^*[\beta_0]-[\omega])$, $t \in [0,1)$, converges as $t \to 1$ in C^0_{loc} away from the singular fibers to $f^*\beta$, where β is a smooth Kähler metric on $S := \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{\text{singular values of } f\}$ given by

$$\beta = \frac{\Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega}}{f^*\beta_0 \wedge \omega_{\mathrm{SF}}}\beta_0. \tag{4.10}$$

Here Ω is a suitable holomorphic symplectic form on X and ω_{SF} denotes *any* Kähler metric on $X \setminus \{\text{singular fibers}\}$ which restricts to a flat metric on every smooth fiber. From (4.10), (4.7), it is then clear that $\beta = \omega_{S,\varepsilon}$ for a suitable ε. Even for fibrations as in (1), this result is more general than that from [31], which only applies to one particular path of Kähler classes. However, [31] provides an excellent approximate description of the CY metrics along that path by explicit model metrics.

The identification of the Song-Tian limit β with $\omega_{S,\varepsilon}$, which follows from (4.10), allows us to write down explicit formulas for β in a neighborhood of each singular value of f on $\mathbb{P}^1$, not only in the special case covered by [31], cf. Section 4.3. □

(3) *Affine flat structures* (Loftin [52]), *special Kähler manifolds* (Freed [22]).

For an elliptic fibration $f : X \to S$ over a Riemann surface with regular fibers and a chosen holomorphic section, the construction at the beginning of this section yields a flat $\mathbb{R}$-linear connection on $(T^{1,0}S)^*$ and hence an affine flat structure on S. From [52], if $\beta := \omega_{S,\varepsilon}$, then the difference between the affine flat structure and the Levi-Civita connection of β can be regarded as a holomorphic cubic differential U on S, i.e. a holomorphic section of $(T^{1,0}S)^{*\otimes 3}$, and $\rho(\beta) = |U|^2\beta$ (Ţiţeica's equation), where $|U|^2$ denotes norm squared with respect to β. Given U, Loftin considers this equation as a PDE for an unknown metric β and solves it under suitable boundary conditions on U, thus producing new affine flat structures. One observation in [52], attributed to Bryant, states that Ţiţeica's equation implies $\rho(\rho(\beta)) = -2\rho(\beta)$ when $U \neq 0$, so $\rho(\beta)$ is then a smooth Kähler metric of constant Gauß curvature -2.

The construction of affine flat structures in [52] intersects with the setting in (1). The meromorphic cubic differential U on $\mathbb{P}^1$ associated to a K3 elliptic fibration as in (1) has exactly 24 poles, all of which are simple. The Weil-Petersson metric $\rho(\beta)$ has cusps at the poles of U, but also non-orbifold conical singularities $|z^m dz|^2$ with $m > 0$ at a finite number of smooth fibers, due to ramification of the period map $\tau : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathfrak{H}/\mathrm{PSl}(2,\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{C}$. Applying Riemann-Hurwitz to τ, one finds $\sum m = 18$. These extra singularities of $\rho(\beta)$ correspond precisely to the zeros of U, which we recall is a meromorphic section of $(T^{1,0}\mathbb{P}^1)^{*\otimes 3} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-6)$ with 24 simple poles.

There is an analogous formalism in the $2m$-dimensional hyperkähler setting with complex m-torus fibers, in which S would be referred to as *special Kähler*, cf. [22]. In particular, (1.33) and (1.36) in [22] provide an analog of Ţiţeica's equation. □

4.3. **Explicit Calabi-Yau metrics near a special fiber.**

Let $f : U \to \Delta$ be an elliptic fibration over the disk with all its fibers regular except possibly $D = f^{-1}(0)$. Assume that D does not contain (-1)-curves, and is reduced or equivalently that f admits a holomorphic section, or yet equivalently $U|_{\Delta^*} \cong (\Delta^* \times \mathbb{C})/(\mathbb{Z}\tau_1 + \mathbb{Z}\tau_2)$ for some multi-valued holomorphic functions $\tau_1, \tau_2 : \Delta^* \to \mathbb{C}$. Let Ω be a meromorphic 2-form on U such that $\mathrm{div}(\Omega)$ is an integer multiple of D, and write $\Omega = g\, dz \wedge dw$, $g : \Delta^* \to \mathbb{C}$, where z is the coordinate on Δ and w the one along the fibers.

In this section, we either assume $\mathrm{div}(\Omega) = -D$, which is the interesting case on a rational elliptic surface, or $\mathrm{div}(\Omega) = 0$, corresponding to the Gross-Wilson setting.

For each of the possible fiber types, we proceed from Kodaira's explicit normal form $f : U \to \Delta$ to construct an explicit isomorphism $\Phi : U|_{\Delta^*} \to (\Delta^* \times \mathbb{C})/(\mathbb{Z}\tau_1 + \mathbb{Z}\tau_2)$, meaning that both the map Φ and the functions τ_1, τ_2 will be explicit. This allows us to determine the multiplicity N of $\Phi^*(dz \wedge dw)$ along D, so that $\Phi^*(dz \wedge dw) = f^N h\, dx \wedge dy$ with $h(p) \neq 0$ in local coordinates (x, y) on U around any point $p \in D$, so $g(z) = z^{-N-1}k(z)$ or $g(z) = z^{-N}k(z)$, $k(0) \neq 0$, according as $\operatorname{div}(\Omega) = -D$ or $\operatorname{div}(\Omega) = 0$. Feeding this into Corollary 4.4 yields formulas for $\omega_{\mathrm{sf},\varepsilon}$ on $U \setminus D$ which are explicit modulo higher order "mass terms" from the Taylor expansion of k.

(1) *Trivial monodromy.* In this case one can achieve $\tau_1 \equiv 1$ and $\tau_2 = \tau$, a regular function from Δ to $\mathfrak{H}$ which has to satisfy $D \cong \mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z}+\mathbb{Z}\tau(0))$ but is not constrained otherwise, so that $N = 0$. The $\operatorname{div}(\Omega) = 0$ case is not interesting. If $\operatorname{div}(\Omega) = -D$, then $\Omega = z^{-1}k(z)\, dz \wedge dw$ with $k(0) \neq 0$, thus

$$\omega_{\mathrm{sf},\varepsilon} = i|k|^2 \frac{\operatorname{Im}(\tau)}{\varepsilon} \frac{dz \wedge d\bar{z}}{|z|^2} + \frac{i}{2} \frac{\varepsilon}{\operatorname{Im}(\tau)} (dw - \Gamma dz) \wedge (d\bar{w} - \bar{\Gamma} d\bar{z}),\ \Gamma = \frac{\operatorname{Im}(w)}{\operatorname{Im}(\tau)} \tau'.$$

Note that $\mu := |k(0)|(2 \operatorname{Im} \tau(0))^{1/2}$ has an intrinsic interpretation as $\mu^2 = i \int_D R \wedge \bar{R}$ for the Poincaré residue R of Ω along D. Then change coordinates on $\Delta \setminus [0, 1)$ by setting $z = \exp(-u/\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}})$, with u ranging in the strip $(0, \infty) + i(0, 2\pi\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}})$, so that

$$\omega_{\mathrm{sf},\varepsilon} = \frac{i}{2}(du \wedge d\bar{u} + \frac{\varepsilon}{\operatorname{Im} \tau(0)} dw \wedge d\bar{w})(1 + O_\varepsilon(e^{-\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{\mu} \operatorname{Re} u})). \tag{4.11}$$

The error estimate is understood to hold for all derivatives as well, with w ranging in the fundamental domain spanned by $1, \tau(z(u))$ inside $\{u\} \times \mathbb{C}$. Thus $\omega_{\mathrm{sf},\varepsilon}$ decays to a flat cylinder $\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^1 \times D$, where D carries its flat Kähler metric of area ε and the S^1 factor has length $2\pi\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}$, at an exponential rate of $O_\varepsilon(\exp(-r/\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}))$.

This result has two noteworthy features. First, multiplying Ω by $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^*$ directly results in scaling the S^1 factor in the cross-section by $|\alpha|$ while the area of the T^2 factor remains fixed. Second, the lowest eigenvalue of the cross-section is $-\lambda^2$ with $0 < \lambda \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon}/\mu$, with equality for all ε less than a constant depending on D. Thus, the optimal decay $e^{-\lambda r}$ of solutions to the complex Monge-Ampère equation with compactly supported data, on any complete Kähler manifold which is isometric to $(U \setminus D, \omega_{\mathrm{sf},\varepsilon})$ outside a compact set, is usually not better than, and for small enough ε equal to, the decay of the difference between $\omega_{\mathrm{sf},\varepsilon}$ and an exact flat cylinder. $\square$

We use notation as in our review of Kodaira's work in Section 4.1. In particular, in an elliptic fibration $(\Delta^* \times \mathbb{C})/(\mathbb{Z}\tau_1 + \mathbb{Z}\tau_2)$ where $T = (\tau_1, \tau_2) \in \mathbb{C}^{2\times 1}$ is positively oriented, we write $A = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \mathrm{Sl}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ for the monodromy $T \mapsto TA$ incurred by T when walking around the puncture once in the counterclockwise sense.

(2) *Finite monodromy.* The calculations for all types of singular fibers where A is of finite order are fairly similar, so we only discuss the most complicated case in detail, namely, the Kodaira type II where the central fiber is a cusp. Much of what follows is from Kodaira [42] but some additional work is needed to extract the data we are interested in, i.e. Φ, τ_1, τ_2, N in the notation introduced above.

Let $\mathcal{J}(0) = 0$, $\operatorname{mult}_0 \mathcal{J} = m \in \mathbb{N}$. Classically, $j(\tau) = [(\tau - \zeta_3)/(\tau - \zeta_3^2)]^3 h(j(\tau))$ with $h(0) \neq 0$ if τ is close to ζ_3, cf. [41, Abschnitt I, Kapitel 2, §15]. Thus, changing coordinates on Δ, we can make $(\tau - \zeta_3)/(\tau - \zeta_3^2) = z^{\frac{m}{3}}$, or in other words

$$\tau(z) = \zeta_3 \frac{1 - \zeta_3 z^{\frac{m}{3}}}{1 - z^{\frac{m}{3}}}. \tag{4.12}$$

Walking around $z = 0$ counterclockwise once, the function τ transforms by

$$\tau \mapsto A\tau = \frac{d\tau + b}{c\tau + a}, \;\; A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{cases} \pm \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } m \equiv 1 \ (3), \\ \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } m \equiv 2 \ (3), \\ \pm 1 & \text{if } m \equiv 0 \ (3). \end{cases} \tag{4.13}$$

If $\mathcal{J} \equiv 0$, or $m = \infty$ (the isotrivial case, cf. Example 4.1), any one of these six cases can occur and the following discussion still applies with $z^\infty = u^\infty := 0$.

We focus on the first case with the plus sign, so $T \mapsto TA$, $A = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, $\operatorname{ord} A = 6$. The pull-back U' of $U|_{\Delta^*}$ under $z = u^6$ can be written as $(\Delta_u^* \times \mathbb{C}_v)/(\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau(u^6))$ and thus extends to the whole disk Δ_u with central fiber $\mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\zeta_3)$. The action of the monodromy on U' by deck transformations is generated by

$$A(u, v) = \left(\zeta_6 u, \frac{v}{c\tau(u^6) + a}\right) = \left(\zeta_6 u, \zeta_6 \frac{1 - u^{2m}}{1 - \zeta_3 u^{2m}} v\right). \tag{4.14}$$

One now checks that the fiberwise linear map

$$\Phi : \Delta^* \times \mathbb{C} \to \Delta^* \times \mathbb{C}, \;\; (u, v) \mapsto (u^6, (1 - u^{2m})u^5 v), \tag{4.15}$$

factors through the action (4.14), thus inducing an isomorphism of elliptic fibrations $\Phi : U|_{\Delta^*} \to (\Delta^* \times \mathbb{C})/(\mathbb{Z}\tau_1 + \mathbb{Z}\tau_2)$ with multi-valued generators

$$\tau_1(z) = \mathrm{pr}_2 \Phi(z^{\frac{1}{6}}, 1) = (1 - z^{\frac{m}{3}})z^{\frac{5}{6}}, \tag{4.16}$$

$$\tau_2(z) = \mathrm{pr}_2 \Phi(z^{\frac{1}{6}}, \tau(z)) = \zeta_3(1 - \zeta_3 z^{\frac{m}{3}})z^{\frac{5}{6}}. \tag{4.17}$$

What remains to be done before we can write down explicit formulas for the semi-flat metrics is find the multiplicity N of $\Phi^*(dz \wedge dw)$ along the central fiber.

To do this, we recall that by Kodaira's theorem, $U \to \Delta_z$ is obtained by dividing out $U' \to \Delta_u$ by the monodromy action (4.14), which trivially recovers U over Δ_z^*, resolving the singularities that appear on the central fiber of the quotient, and then blowing down vertical (-1)-curves if needed. Near its three orbits of fixed points, the action generated by (4.14) is conjugate to the $\mathbb{Z}_{6/k}$-action on $\mathbb{C}^2$ generated by $(x, y) \mapsto (\zeta_6^k x, \zeta_6^k y)$ for $k = 1, 2, 3$, respectively, where the germ of $x = 0$ corresponds to the central fiber of U'. To resolve the quotients, map

$$(x, y) \mapsto (x^{\frac{6}{k}}, y^{\frac{6}{k}}, (x : y)) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{P}^1, \;\; k = 1, 2, 3. \tag{4.18}$$

Globally this produces exceptional curves E_1, E_2, E_3 and the strict transform E of the central fiber of $U'/\mathbb{Z}_6$. One checks that the multiplicities of $z \circ \Phi$ along these are $1, 2, 3, 6$, whereas the multiplicities of $\Phi^*(dz \wedge dw)$ are $1, 3, 5, 10$. It turns out that E is a (-1)-curve. Blowing down E turns E_3 into a (-1)-curve, blowing down E_3 turns E_2 into a (-1)-curve, and after blowing down E_2 the remaining curve E_1 becomes a rational cusp curve of self-intersection zero. In order to determine N, we consider $\Theta := (y^2 - x^3)^N dx \wedge dy$ on $\mathbb{C}^2$ and reverse the above process, blowing up the origin three times. By calculation, the multiplicity of Θ is N along E_1, $2N + 1$ along E_2, $3N + 2$ along E_3, and $6N + 4$ along E, which nicely shows $N = 1$.

We can now write down formulas for the semi-flat metrics. Since $N = 1$, we have $\Omega = g\, dz \wedge dw$ with $g(z) = z^{-2}k(z)$ or $z^{-1}k(z)$, and $k(0) \neq 0$, if $\operatorname{div}(\Omega) = -D$ or 0, respectively. In the first case, by (4.7), (4.8) and (4.16), (4.17),

$$\omega_{\mathrm{sf},\varepsilon} = i|k|^2 \frac{\mathrm{Im}(\bar\tau_1\tau_2)}{\varepsilon}\frac{dz\wedge d\bar z}{|z|^4} + \frac{i}{2}\frac{\varepsilon}{\mathrm{Im}(\bar\tau_1\tau_2)}(dw - \Gamma dz)\wedge(d\bar w - \bar\Gamma d\bar z), \tag{4.19}$$

$$\mathrm{Im}(\bar\tau_1\tau_2) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}|z|^{\frac{5}{3}}(1 - |z|^{\frac{2m}{3}}),\;\; \Gamma(z,w) = \frac{5}{6}\frac{w}{z}(1 + \mathrm{error}), \tag{4.20}$$

with error $= \frac{1}{w}(\mathrm{Re}(w)P + \mathrm{Im}(w)Q)$, $P, Q \in (x,y)\mathbb{C}\{x,y\}$, and $x = z^{m/3}$, $y = \bar z^{m/3}$. If $m = \infty$, so that the fibration becomes isotrivial, then error $= 0$. To understand this metric more clearly, change coordinates over $\Delta\setminus[0,1)$ by setting $z = (u/u_0)^{-6}$, $w = (u/u_0)^{-5}v$, with $u_0 := 6\sqrt[4]{3}\,\varepsilon^{-1/2}|k(0)|$. (This constant can be understood as a renormalized L^2 norm of the residue of Ω along D but unlike the trivial monodromy case, it does not correspond to an intrinsic length scale of the metric.) Here u ranges over the truncated sector $|u| > u_0$, $0 < \arg u < \frac{2\pi}{6}$, and v comes from a fundamental cell in $\{u\}\times\mathbb{C}$ which converges to the one spanned by $1, \zeta_3$ as $|u|\to\infty$. Then,

$$\omega_{\mathrm{sf},\varepsilon} = \frac{i}{2}(du\wedge d\bar u + \frac{\varepsilon}{\mathrm{Im}(\zeta_3)}dv\wedge d\bar v)(1 + O^{\mathrm{hmg}}_{\varepsilon,m}(|u|^{-2})). \tag{4.21}$$

The error term again vanishes in the isotrivial case, $m = \infty$, and "hmg" is supposed to indicate that the error estimate improves by a factor of $|u|^{-1}$ each time we take a derivative with respect to u or v. Verifying (4.21) is a bit subtle. First, the $du\wedge d\bar v$ and $dv\wedge d\bar u$ parts of $\omega_{\mathrm{sf},\varepsilon}$ appear to be $O(|u|^{-1})$ only, but the coefficients in front of their leading terms vanish. Second, from the above structure of the error in (4.20), one can see that the error in (4.21) is of the form $F_u(v,\bar v)$ for a quadratic F_u whose coefficients are power series in $u^{-1}, \bar u^{-1}$ with quadratic leading terms. But $\omega_{\mathrm{sf},\varepsilon}$ has to be $(\mathbb{Z}+\mathbb{Z}\zeta_3)$-periodic in v to leading order, so $F_u \equiv F_u(0,0)$.

Thus, over $\Delta\setminus[0,1)$, $\omega_{\mathrm{sf},\varepsilon}$ decays to a flat sector of aperture $2\pi/6$ in the plane, times a flat torus of area ε homothetic to $\mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z}+\mathbb{Z}\zeta_3)$, and we simply have to twist by the monodromy when closing up the slit. The error is $O^{\mathrm{hmg}}(r^{-2})$ in general, so in particular the curvature tensor is at least $O^{\mathrm{hmg}}(r^{-4})$. When solving a complex Monge-Ampère equation to deform $\omega_{\mathrm{sf},\varepsilon}$ into a global Calabi-Yau metric, the Kähler potential will be $O^{\mathrm{hmg}}(r^{-\delta})$ for some $\delta > 0$ by Proposition 3.8 (in fact, any $\delta < 6$) so this does not affect the quartic curvature decay. For an isotrivial fibration, $\omega_{\mathrm{sf},\varepsilon}$ is *flat*, and the ALG space will have $|\mathrm{Rm}| = O^{\mathrm{hmg}}(r^{-10+\delta})$ for all $\delta > 0$.

To conclude this example, we look at the Gross-Wilson setting where $\mathrm{div}(\Omega) = 0$. For this, we only need to replace $|z|^{-4}dz\wedge d\bar z$ in (4.19) by $|z|^{-2}dz\wedge d\bar z$. The resulting semi-flat metric is then incomplete at the singular fiber, and the induced metric on the *base* is $|z|^{-\frac{1}{3}}|dz|^2$ to leading order. This describes a neighborhood of the vertex of a flat cone with non-orbifold cone angle 5/6.

All finite monodromies can be treated in this way and yield semi-flat metrics with completely analogous properties. Table 4.3 lists τ_1, τ_2, N, and the tangent cones of the metrics on the base: incomplete ($\mathrm{div}(\Omega) = 0$) and complete ($\mathrm{div}(\Omega) = -D$).

Remark 4.5. (i) Table 4.1 is consistent with Example 4.1: The complete semi-flat metric obtained when removing a non-$*$-type fiber D is asymptotic to $(\mathbb{C}\times D)/\mathbb{Z}_k$, where the $\mathbb{Z}_k$-action conjugates into SU(2). Resolving the fixed points then in turn produces the dual $*$-type fiber over $0\in\mathbb{C}$. When removing *this* fiber, however, the asymptotic cone angle is $\frac{k-1}{k}$, so the cone is not even a quotient unless $k = 2$. The isotrivial rational elliptic surfaces also explain why the complete cone angle when removing one fiber is the same as the incomplete one when removing its dual.

(ii) The results show that (3.17) in Song-Tian [69] is incorrect. In fact, denoting the horizontal component of the incomplete semi-flat metric by g and writing F as in [69], one has $F|ds|^2 = \psi g$ with $\psi > 0$ smooth, so $F \sim |s|^{-\alpha}$, some $\alpha > 0$. $\square$

(3) *Infinite monodromy.* For a degeneration of elliptic curves $f : U \to \Delta$ with I_1 monodromy, $U|_{\Delta^*}$ must be abstractly isomorphic to $(\Delta^* \times \mathbb{C})/(\mathbb{Z}\tau_1 + \mathbb{Z}\tau_2)$, where $\tau_1 = 1$ and $\tau_2 = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\log z$. Kodaira also showed that the map

$$\Psi(z, w) = \left(z, -\frac{1}{12} - \frac{1}{4\pi^2}\wp_z(w), \frac{i}{8\pi^3}\wp_z'(w)\right) \in \Delta \times \mathbb{C}^2_{xy} \tag{4.22}$$

induces an isomorphism of fibrations from $(\Delta^* \times \mathbb{C})/(\mathbb{Z}\tau_1 + \mathbb{Z}\tau_2)$ onto the surface $y^2 = 4x^3 + x^2 - g_2(z)x - g_3(z)$ in $\Delta^* \times \mathbb{P}^2$ with its natural elliptic fibration given by pr_1, where g_2, g_3 are regular on Δ with $g_2(0) = g_3(0) = 0$ and $\wp_z$ is the standard Weierstraß function associated to the lattice generated by $\tau_1(z), \tau_2(z)$. This closes as a smooth elliptic surface $\bar{U}$ in $\Delta \times \mathbb{P}^2$ with central fiber the node $y^2 = 4x^3 + x^2$, which is the Kodaira canonical form for I_1. Then $\Phi := \Psi^{-1}$ is the map of interest. To find the multiplicity N of $\Phi^*(dz \wedge dw)$ along D, we consider $\Theta := z^N dz \wedge (dx/y)$ on $\bar{U}$, which has multiplicity N along D. Then $\Psi^*\Theta = 2\pi i\, z^N dz \wedge dw$, so $N = 0$. By Kodaira again, an I_b degeneration can always be realized over Δ^* with $\tau_1 = 1$, $\tau_2 = \frac{b}{2\pi i}\log z$, and admits an unramified fiber-preserving b-fold covering onto an I_1 degeneration globally, i.e. including the central fiber, so again $N = 0$. Thus,

$$\omega_{\mathrm{sf},\varepsilon} = i|k|^2 \frac{b|\log|z||}{2\pi\varepsilon}\frac{dz \wedge d\bar{z}}{|z|^2} + \frac{i}{2}\frac{2\pi\varepsilon}{b|\log|z||}(dw - \Gamma dz) \wedge (d\bar{w} - \bar{\Gamma} d\bar{z}), \tag{4.23}$$

$$\Gamma(z, w) = \frac{1}{i}\frac{\mathrm{Im}(w)}{z|\log|z||}, \tag{4.24}$$

gives the semi-flat metric for $\mathrm{div}(\Omega) = -D$. Replacing $|z|^{-2}dz \wedge d\bar{z}$ by $dz \wedge d\bar{z}$, we pass to the $\mathrm{div}(\Omega) = 0$ setting as originally considered in [31]. We will again not treat the incomplete case carefully, but we note that the metric on the base satisfies $d^2 K_{\mathrm{Gauß}} \sim |\log d\,|^{-1}$, $d = \mathrm{dist}(0, -)$, and has tangent cone $\mathbb{R}^2$ at the origin.

For a degeneration $f : U \to \Delta$ with I_b^* monodromy, the pull-back of $U|_{\Delta^*}$ under $z = u^2$ extends as $U' \to \Delta_u$ with an I_{2b} central fiber, and $\mathbb{Z}_2$ acts naturally on U' in such a way that the quotient has four ordinary double points on its central fiber. Resolving these produces the Kodaira canonical form $\bar{U}$. Also, we can assume that $U'|_{\Delta^*} = (\Delta^* \times \mathbb{C}_v)/(\mathbb{Z}\tau_1 + \mathbb{Z}\tau_2)$, $\tau_1 = 1$, $\tau_2 = \frac{b}{\pi i}\log u$. Since $du \wedge dv$ is invariant under the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-action, it pushes down to the quotient and then lifts to a volume form on the (crepant) resolution $\bar{U}$. Thus, if Ω is a meromorphic 2-form on $\bar{U}$ as usual, with $\mathrm{div}(\Omega) = -D$ or 0, then we can write $\Omega = g\, du \wedge dv$ on a fundamental domain for the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-action on U', such as $\Delta^+ \times \mathbb{C}$, $\Delta^+ := \{u \in \Delta : \mathrm{Re}\, u > 0\}$, with $g(u) = u^{-2}k(u^2)$ or $k(u^2)$, and $k(0) \neq 0$. Hence, in the complete case, on $\Delta^+ \times \mathbb{C}$,

$$\omega_{\mathrm{sf},\varepsilon} = i|k(u^2)|^2 \frac{b|\log|u||}{\pi\varepsilon}\frac{du \wedge d\bar{u}}{|u|^4} + \frac{i}{2}\frac{\pi\varepsilon}{b|\log|u||}(dv - \Gamma du) \wedge (d\bar{v} - \bar{\Gamma} d\bar{u}), \tag{4.25}$$

$$\Gamma(u, v) = \frac{1}{i}\frac{\mathrm{Im}(v)}{u|\log|u||}. \tag{4.26}$$

One can push all this down to $\Delta_z^* \times \mathbb{C}_w$ by mapping $(z, w) = (u^2, uv)$, so $dz \wedge dw = 2u^2 du \wedge dv$ and hence $N = 1$, which is the presentation given in Table 4.1 for sake of analogy with the finite monodromy cases, especially I_0^*. However, (4.25), (4.26)

Table 4.1. Kodaira types of singular fibers D, data for semi-flat metrics with D removed, tangent cone angles.

$\mathcal{J}(0)$	$\text{mult}_0\mathcal{J}$	matrix A	$\text{ord}\,A$	type	generators τ_1, τ_2	N	$\text{div}(\Omega) = 0$	$\text{div}(\Omega) = -D$
$\notin \{0, 1, \infty\}$	any	$+1$	1	I_0	$1,\ \tau(z)$	0	1	0
		-1	2	I_0^*	$z^{\frac{1}{2}},\ z^{\frac{1}{2}}\tau(z)$	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
0	$m \equiv 1\ (3)$	$+\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	6	II	$(1 - z^{\frac{m}{3}})z^{\frac{5}{6}},\ \zeta_3(1 - \zeta_3 z^{\frac{m}{3}})z^{\frac{5}{6}}$	1	$\frac{5}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$
		$-\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	3	IV^*	$(1 - z^{\frac{m}{3}})z^{\frac{1}{3}},\ \zeta_3(1 - \zeta_3 z^{\frac{m}{3}})z^{\frac{1}{3}}$	1	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{2}{3}$
	$m \equiv 2\ (3)$	$+\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	6	II^*	$(1 - z^{\frac{m}{3}})z^{\frac{1}{6}},\ \zeta_3(1 - \zeta_3 z^{\frac{m}{3}})z^{\frac{1}{6}}$	1	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{5}{6}$
		$-\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	3	IV	$(1 - z^{\frac{m}{3}})z^{\frac{2}{3}},\ \zeta_3(1 - \zeta_3 z^{\frac{m}{3}})z^{\frac{2}{3}}$	1	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$
	$m \equiv 0\ (3)$	$+1$	1	I_0	$1,\ \tau(z)$	0	1	0
		-1	2	I_0^*	$z^{\frac{1}{2}},\ z^{\frac{1}{2}}\tau(z)$	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
1	$m \equiv 1\ (2)$	$+\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	4	III	$(1 - z^{\frac{m}{2}})z^{\frac{3}{4}},\ i(1 + z^{\frac{m}{2}})z^{\frac{3}{4}}$	1	$\frac{3}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$
		$-\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	4	III^*	$(1 - z^{\frac{m}{2}})z^{\frac{1}{4}},\ i(1 + z^{\frac{m}{2}})z^{\frac{1}{4}}$	1	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{3}{4}$
	$m \equiv 0\ (2)$	$+1$	1	I_0	$1,\ \tau(z)$	0	1	0
		-1	2	I_0^*	$z^{\frac{1}{2}},\ z^{\frac{1}{2}}\tau(z)$	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
∞	$-b$	$+\begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	∞	I_b	$1,\ \frac{b}{2\pi i}\log z$	0	1	0
		$-\begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	∞	I_b^*	$z^{\frac{1}{2}},\ \frac{b}{2\pi i} z^{\frac{1}{2}} \log z$	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$

Remarks: (i) In the last two columns, an entry $\theta > 0$ means that the tangent cone is the cone over a circle of length $2\pi\theta$. An entry 0 means the tangent cone is a half-line. (ii) $\mathcal{J}(z) \equiv 0$ or $\mathcal{J}(z) \equiv 1$ can lead to any of the corresponding monodromies, with $z^m := 0$.

are better suited for calculations. In the incomplete case $\mathrm{div}(\Omega) = 0$, the metric on the base is simply a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-quotient of the corresponding I_b metric.

We now discuss the asymptotic geometry of the complete I_b and I_b^* metrics from (4.23) and (4.25). The fibers now *diverge* in the moduli space of flat tori of constant area, i.e. the intrinsic length of one edge of any fundamental cell tends to ∞, while the length of the other edge, corresponding to the monodromy invariant period τ_1, goes to zero. This effect is sublinear in the distance from any fixed base point, so in particular the asymptotic cones are $\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$, as for the complete $\mathrm{I}_0, \mathrm{I}_0^*$ metrics, but it makes other features of the geometry somewhat tricky to understand.

We will determine $|\mathrm{Rm}|$ by calculating the norm squared of the curvature form Θ of the Chern connection. The exact formulas we use are:

$$\Theta = \partial(g^{-1}\bar{\partial}g), \quad |A\,dz^j \wedge d\bar{z}^k|^2 = g^{j\bar{j}}g^{k\bar{k}}\mathrm{trace}(g^{-1}A^{\mathrm{tr}}g\bar{A}), \tag{4.27}$$

for any matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m\times m}$, viewed as an endomorphism of the tangent space. Also, due to the local isometric T^2-action, it suffices to evaluate $|\Theta|^2$ at $w = 0$, where the forms $dz \wedge d\bar{z}$, $dz \wedge d\bar{w}$, $dw \wedge d\bar{w}$ are orthogonal with respect to $g_{\mathrm{sf},\varepsilon}$.

- *Geometry of the complete* I_b *metrics.* For sake of reference, note that

$$\int \frac{1}{t}\left(\log\frac{1}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dt = -\frac{2}{3}\left(\log\frac{1}{t}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}, \quad \int \frac{1}{t}\log\frac{1}{t}\,dt = -\frac{1}{2}\left(\log\frac{1}{t}\right)^{2}. \tag{4.28}$$

For the rest of this section, $\Delta^* := \{0 < |z| \leq 1/2\}$ and $U := f^{-1}(\Delta^*)$ by abuse of notation. Put $z_x := f(x) \in \Delta^*$ for $x \in U$, and $g := (b/2\pi\varepsilon)|k(z)|^2|z|^{-2}|\log|z||\,g_{\mathrm{euc}}$ for the metric on Δ^* such that $f : (U, g_{\mathrm{sf},\varepsilon}) \to (\Delta^*, g)$ is a Riemannian submersion. Assume that $(U, g_{\mathrm{sf},\varepsilon})$ is imbedded into a complete Riemannian manifold M as the only end of M. Fix $x_0 \in U$ and let $r_x := \mathrm{dist}_M(x_0, x)$.

Since the intrinsic diameter of $f^{-1}(z)$ and the g-length of $\{z' \in \Delta : |z'| = |z|\}$ are $\sim |\log|z||^{1/2}$, we have $r_x \sim \mathrm{dist}_g(z_x, z_{x_0}) \sim |\log|z_x||^{3/2}$ if $|z_x| \ll 1$ from (4.28). Also, $\mathrm{vol}(f^{-1}(B), g_{\mathrm{sf},\varepsilon}) = \varepsilon \cdot \mathrm{vol}(B, g)$ for every $B \subset \Delta^*$ because f is a Riemannian submersion with fibers of area ε, and hence $|B(x_0, s)| \sim s^{4/3}$ if $s \gg 1$ from (4.28) because f is distance preserving up to a bounded factor. Taken together, this shows that M satisfies CYL(4/3, 1/3) from Definition 3.5 as well as (trivially) the bound on diameter growth required for Proposition 3.8(ii). In view of condition SOB(4/3) (Definition 2.1), we also need to prove that $|B(x, \frac{1}{2}r_x)| \geq \frac{1}{C}r_x^{4/3}$ if $r_x \gg 1$. By the coarea formula and (4.28), this would follow if we knew that

$$B(x, \frac{1}{2}r_x) \supset \{y \in U : |z_x| < |z_y| < |z_x|^{1-\alpha}\} \tag{4.29}$$

for all $x \in U$ with $r_x \gg 1$ and a small universal $\alpha > 0$. To show (4.29), notice again that both the intrinsic diameter of $f^{-1}(z)$ and the g-length of $\{z' \in \Delta : |z'| = |z|\}$ are $\sim |\log|z||^{1/2} \sim r_y^{1/3}$ for any $y \in U$ with $|z_y| = |z|$ as $|z| \to 0$.

Next, we determine $|\mathrm{Rm}|$ from the norm of the Chern curvature:

$$|\Theta(x)|^2 = \frac{6\pi^2\varepsilon^2}{b^2|k(0)|^4}|\log|z_x||^{-6}(1 + o(1)) \sim r_x^{-4} \tag{4.30}$$

as $r_x \to \infty$. This was calculated on a computer, proceeding from (4.27).

The injectivity radius $\mathrm{inj}(x) \sim |B(x, 1)| \sim r_x^{-1/3}$ as $r_x \to \infty$. Roughly speaking, this is because one direction in the fibers collapses at this rate while all others stay bounded away from zero. For the lower bound, recall $\mathrm{inj}(x) \geq \frac{1}{C}|B(x, 1)|$ from [12, Theorem 4.3] since $|\mathrm{Rm}| \leq C$. Bearing in mind that the horizontal distribution is integrable, consider the horizontal lift, H, of $B_g(z_x, \frac{1}{C})$. Then H is a small totally geodesic disk in U centered at x. For each $y \in H$, consider the set S_y of all points in the fiber through y whose intrinsic distance to y inside the fiber is at most $1/C$. Then $B(x,1) \supset \bigcup S_y$, and $|S_y| \sim |\log|z_x||^{-1/2} \sim r_x^{-1/3}$, so $|B(x,1)| \geq \frac{1}{C} r_x^{-1/3}$. As for the upper bound, note that for all $x \in U$ there exists a curve $\gamma : [0,t] \to U$ such that $\gamma(0) = \gamma(t) = x$, γ is a unit speed closed geodesic for the intrinsic flat metric on the fiber through x, and $t \sim r_x^{-1/3}$. Then γ satisfies $\ddot{\gamma} + A(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma}) = 0$, where A denotes the second fundamental form of the fiber, and $|A| \leq C$ because the fiber is flat and $|\mathrm{Rm}| \leq C$, so $|\det A| \leq C$, and $\operatorname{tr} A = 0$ because the fiber is a holomorphic curve. Hence $|\ddot{\gamma}|$ is bounded. Put $\iota := \mathrm{inj}(x)$. From [38, Satz 2.1], since $|\mathrm{Rm}| \leq C$, there exists a diffeomorphism $\Phi : B = B_{\mathbb{R}^4}(0, \iota) \hookrightarrow U$ such that

$$\Phi(0) = x, \;\; \frac{1}{C} g_{\mathrm{euc}} \leq \Phi^* g_{\mathrm{sf},\varepsilon} \leq C g_{\mathrm{euc}}, \;\; |\Gamma^l_{jk}[\Phi^* g_{\mathrm{sf},\varepsilon}](v)| \leq C|v|.$$

Therefore, if $\iota > Ct$, then $\alpha := \Phi^{-1} \circ \gamma$ is a smooth loop in B with $|\dot{\alpha}| \geq 1/C$ and $|\ddot{\alpha}| \leq C$ in the Euclidean sense, hence $t \geq 1/C$, a contradiction for $r_x \gg 1$.

To conclude, we compute the asymptotic cone of M. Since the intrinsic diameter of the fiber through x is only $\sim r_x^{1/3}$, it suffices to show that the pointed rescalings $(\Delta^*, \lambda^2 g, \frac{1}{2})$ converge in the pointed Gromov-Hausdorff sense as $\lambda \to 0$. Define

$$\Phi_\lambda : [0,\infty) \times S^1 \ni (s,\theta) \mapsto \frac{1}{2}\exp(-\lambda^{-\frac{2}{3}} s^{\frac{2}{3}}) e^{i\theta} \in \Delta^*, \;\; \Phi_\lambda(0,0) = \frac{1}{2}. \tag{4.31}$$

It is easy to check that $\Phi_\lambda^*(\lambda^2 g)$ converges to Cds^2 as smooth tensor fields, locally uniformly on $(0,\infty) \times S^1$. Thus M has a unique asymptotic cone, $\mathbb{R}^+$.

- *Geometry of the complete* I_b^* *metrics.* Observe that as $s \to 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_s^{s_0} \frac{1}{t^2}\left(\log\frac{1}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dt = \frac{1}{s}|\log|s||^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 + O_{s_0}(|\log|s||^{-1})), \\ &\int \frac{1}{t^3}\log\frac{1}{t}\, dt = -\frac{1}{2t^2}\log\frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{4t^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.32}$$

From (4.32), and using notation as before, $r_x \sim |u_x|^{-1}|\log|u_x||^{1/2}$ because the fiber over $u \in \Delta^+$ has intrinsic diameter $\sim |\log|u||^{1/2}$ and the g-length of the half-circle $\{u' \in \Delta^+ : |u'| = |u|\}$ is $\sim |u|^{-1}|\log|u||^{1/2}$. Hence the intrinsic diameter of the fiber through x is $\sim (\log r_x)^{1/2}$, and the g-length of the half-circle through u_x is $\sim r_x$, i.e. exactly linear in the distance from any fixed base point rather than sublinear. This is still good enough for Proposition 3.8(ii), but not (ia). From this and (4.32), $|B(x_0, s)| \sim s^2$ if $s \gg 1$. In place of (4.29) we now have

$$|B(x, \frac{1}{2}r_x)| \supset \{y \in U : |u_x| < |u_y| < (1+\alpha)|u_x|, \; |\arg\frac{u_y}{u_x}| < \alpha\} \tag{4.33}$$

for all $x \in U$ with $r_x \gg 1$ and a small universal $\alpha > 0$, but (4.33) and (4.32) imply $|B(x, \frac{1}{2}r_x)| \geq \frac{1}{C}r_x^2$ as before, and hence SOB(2). The Chern curvature

$$|\Theta(x)|^2 = \frac{\pi^2\varepsilon^2}{2b^2|k(0)|^4}|u_x|^4|\log|u_x||^{-4}(1 + o(1)) \sim r_x^{-4}(\log r_x)^{-2} \tag{4.34}$$

as $r_x \to \infty$. One then proves $\mathrm{inj}(x) \sim |B(x,1)| \sim (\log r_x)^{-1/2}$ if $r_x \gg 1$, using the same arguments as before. The asymptotic cone is obtained as the pointed limit of $(\Delta^+, \lambda^2 g, \frac{1}{2})$ as $\lambda \to 0$. If $u_\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$ is defined by $u_\lambda|\log|u_\lambda||^{-1/2} = \lambda$, and

$$\Phi_\lambda : \{|u| \geq u_\lambda, \operatorname{Re} u > 0\} \ni u \mapsto \frac{u_\lambda}{2u} \in \Delta^+, \;\; \Phi_\lambda(u_\lambda) = \frac{1}{2}, \tag{4.35}$$

we see that $\Phi_\lambda^*(\lambda^2 g)$ converges as smooth tensor fields to $C|du|^2$, locally uniformly on $\{\mathrm{Re}\, u > 0\}$. Thus M has a unique asymptotic cone, given by $\mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$. $\square$

4.4. **Proof of Theorems 1.3 and 1.5.** Let $f : X \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be the rational elliptic fibration obtained by blowing up the base points of a pencil of cubics. Let $p \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and $D := f^{-1}(p)$. Fix a disk $\Delta = \{|z| < 1\} \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ with $z(p) = 0$ such that f has no singular fibers over Δ^*. Write $X|_{\Delta^*} = (\Delta^* \times \mathbb{C})/(\mathbb{Z}\tau_1 + \mathbb{Z}\tau_2)$ with generators τ_1, τ_2 as in Table 4.1. Let Ω be a meromorphic 2-form on X with $\mathrm{div}(\Omega) = -D$. Fix a Kähler metric ω on $M := X \setminus D$, complete or incomplete, but with $\int_M \omega^2 < \infty$ and $\int_C \omega = 0$ for all bad cycles C. Choose a holomorphic section $\sigma : \Delta^* \to X$, and let ε denote the ω-area of the fibers of f. Then for all $\alpha > 0$ we have a semi-flat metric $\omega_{\mathrm{sf}}(\alpha)$ on $X|_{\Delta^*}$, depending on σ, such that $\omega_{\mathrm{sf}}(\alpha)^2 = \alpha\Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega}$ and the fibers of f have area ε with respect to $\omega_{\mathrm{sf}}(\alpha)$ as well. The geometry of $\omega_{\mathrm{sf}}(\alpha)$ was studied in Section 4.3. Our goal is to glue ω and $\omega_{\mathrm{sf}}(\alpha)$ to produce a complete Kähler metric ω_0 on M to which the existence and decay results from Section 3 apply.

Proposition 4.6. *There exist a holomorphic section $\tilde{\sigma}$ over Δ^*, concentric disks $\Delta' \subset \Delta'' \subset \Delta''' \subset \Delta$, a $(1,1)$-form $\beta \geq 0$ on $\mathbb{P}^1$ such that* $\mathrm{supp}(\beta) \subset \Delta''' \setminus \Delta'$, *and a constant $\alpha_0 > 0$, such that for all $\alpha > \alpha_0$ there exist $u_\alpha^{\mathrm{int}} \in C^\infty(f^{-1}(\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \Delta'), \mathbb{R})$, $u_\alpha^{\mathrm{ext}} \in C^\infty(f^{-1}(\Delta'' \setminus \{0\}), \mathbb{R})$ whose complex Hessians coincide over $\Delta'' \setminus \Delta'$, and $t_\alpha > 0$, so that for all $t > t_\alpha$ the closed $(1,1)$-form $\omega_0(\alpha, t) := \omega + tf^*\beta + i\partial\bar{\partial}u_\alpha^{\mathrm{int},\mathrm{ext}}$ on M is positive, and $\omega_0(\alpha,t) = \omega$ over $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \Delta'''$, $\omega_0(\alpha,t) = T^*\omega_{\mathrm{sf}}(\alpha)$ over $\Delta' \setminus \{0\}$, where T denotes vertical translation by $\tilde{\sigma}$ relative to σ as defined in Remark 4.2(i). Moreover, $\int_M (\omega_0(\alpha,t)^2 - \alpha\Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega}) = 0$ for exactly one $t > t_\alpha$.*

The basic idea here is that there are two obstructions for gluing ω and $\omega_{\mathrm{sf}}(\alpha)$ as closed $(1,1)$-forms, coming from $H^2(X|_{\Delta^*}, \mathbb{R})$ and $H^{0,1}(X|_{\Delta^*})$. We killed the first one by making $\langle \omega_{\mathrm{sf}}(\alpha), F\rangle = \langle \omega, F\rangle$ for every fiber F and $\langle \omega, C\rangle = 0$ for every bad cycle C. For these, $\langle \omega_{\mathrm{sf}}(\alpha), C\rangle = 0$ can be verified directly, and together with $[F]$ they generate $H_2(X|_{\Delta^*}, \mathbb{Z})$. The second obstruction is killed by translating $\omega_{\mathrm{sf}}(\alpha)$ by a section $\tilde{\sigma}$, thus balancing the freedom we had in choosing σ in the first place. So far this emulates work from Gross-Wilson [31] for the case of an I_1 singular fiber. One issue not present in [31] is that ω and $\omega_{\mathrm{sf}}(\alpha)$ need not be close on their overlap, thus gluing them by cutting off the Kähler potential of their difference might create large negative $dz \wedge d\bar{z}$ components. We balance these by adding $tf^*\beta$ with a bump form $\beta \geq 0$ on $\mathbb{P}^1$, $t \gg 1$. The point then is that by doing everything carefully, the integrability condition $\int(\omega_0(\alpha,t)^2 - \alpha\Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega}) = 0$ becomes linear in α and t, with positive slope. (Notice $T^*\Omega = \Omega$ so the integrand here has compact support.)

Proof of Theorems 1.3 and 1.5, assuming Proposition 4.6. Most things are obvious now from Propositions 3.1, 3.8, 4.6, Lemma 3.7, and the discussion of the geometry of $\omega_{\mathrm{sf}}(\alpha)$ in Section 4.3. Note that $[\omega_{\mathrm{CY}}] = [\omega]$ in $H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ by homotopy invariance because this is obviously true in $H^2(X|_{\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \Delta}, \mathbb{R})$. As for the injectivity radius, recall that $\omega_{\mathrm{sf}}(\alpha)$ satisfies $\mathrm{inj}(x) \sim |B(x,1)|$ in the I_b and I_b^* cases. Now again from [12, Theorem 4.3], since ω_{CY} has bounded curvature, $\mathrm{inj}(x) \geq \frac{1}{C}|B(x,1)|$ for ω_{CY}, so the lower bounds are preserved. On the other hand, $(M, \omega_{\mathrm{CY}})$ contains sufficiently short loops of bounded geodesic curvature through every point because this is true for $\omega_{\mathrm{sf}}(\alpha)$, so the same argument as for $\omega_{\mathrm{sf}}(\alpha)$ yields the desired sharp upper bound. The claims about $|\nabla^k \mathrm{Rm}|$ follow from scaling and local Shi estimates. $\square$

Remark 4.7. (i) The form β in Proposition 4.6 can be made compactly supported in an annulus $\{r_1 < |z| < r_2\} \subset \Delta$ and radial, so $\beta = i\partial\bar\partial\varphi$ with $\varphi = 0$ for $|z| > r_2$ and $\varphi \sim \log|z|$ for $|z| < r_1$. Since the metric g on Δ^* induced by $g_{\rm sf}(\alpha)$ is conformal to $|dz|^2$ and $g_{\rm sf}(\alpha)$ has the same volume growth as g, this shows that $\varphi \circ f$ grows like a Green's function for $g_0(\alpha, t)$. Therefore the equation $(\omega_0(\alpha,t) + i\partial\bar\partial u)^2 = \alpha\Omega\wedge\bar\Omega$ is solvable for *all* $\alpha, t \gg 1$ but with u growing like a Green's function in general.

(ii) Every bounded harmonic function $u : \Delta^* \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $u = \bar u + O(|z|)$ for some constant $\bar u$, and $r \sim |\log|z||$ in the ALH case, $r \sim |z|^{-\theta}$ in the ALG case with cone angle θ, $r \sim |\log|z||^{3/2}$ for I_b, and $r \sim |z|^{-1/2}|\log|z||^{1/2}$ for I_b^*. Therefore, the decay estimates from Proposition 3.8 are qualitatively sharp in this setting. □

Proof of Proposition 4.6. Write $\Delta(r) := \{|z| < r\} \subset \Delta$. For $0 < s < r < \frac14$, choose a radial cut-off function $\psi = \psi(r,s)$, $0 \le \psi \le 1$, with $\psi \equiv 1$ on $\Delta(r+s)$, $\mathrm{supp}(\psi) \subset \Delta(r+2s)$, and $s|\psi_z| + s^2|\psi_{z\bar z}| \le C_0$, where here as well as in the rest of the proof, C_0 stands for a generic constant which is allowed to depend on $X, \Omega, \omega, \tau_1, \tau_2$ only. If a constant may also depend on r, s, we write $C_0(r,s)$. Even though r, s will only depend on $X, \Omega, \omega, \tau_1, \tau_2$ eventually, this distinction is needed to avoid a cycle. Fix a $(1,1)$-form β on $\mathbb{P}^1$ with $\mathrm{supp}(\beta) \subset \Delta(r+3s)\setminus\Delta(r)$, $0 \le \beta \le |dz|^2$, and $\beta = |dz|^2$ on $\Delta(r+2s) \setminus \Delta(r+s)$, identifying β with its associated symmetric bilinear form. We also identify ψ, β with their pull-backs under f for convenience.

Claim 1 ($\partial\bar\partial$-lemma). There exist a holomorphic section $\tilde\sigma$ of f over Δ^* and a smooth function $u_1 : X|_{\Delta^*} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $T^*\omega_{\rm sf}(1) = \omega + i\partial\bar\partial u_1$, where T denotes translation by $\tilde\sigma$ relative to σ as defined in Remark 4.2(i).

Proof. For the case of an I_1 singular fiber and $\mathrm{div}(\Omega) = 0$, this is Lemma 4.3 in [31]. Nothing essential changes in the general case, but since this proof is quite delicate, we review it here, and give a slightly more direct argument for the last step.

First we need to see why $[\omega_{\rm sf}(1)] = [\omega]$ as de Rham cohomology classes on $X|_{\Delta^*}$. Since $\langle\omega_{\rm sf}(1), F\rangle = \langle\omega, F\rangle$ and $\langle\omega, C\rangle = 0$ for all bad cycles C, and bad cycles are Lagrangian for $\omega_{\rm sf}(1)$ up to isotopy, it would be enough to show that $H_2(X|_{\Delta^*}, \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by $[F]$ and the classes of the bad cycles. Retract $X|_{\Delta^*}$ to a T^2-bundle $Y \to S^1$. By the Leray spectral sequence, $H_2(Y,\mathbb{Z})/\mathbb{Z}[F] \cong H_1(S^1, \mathcal{A})$, with $\mathcal{A}$ the rank-2 local system on S^1 defined by the monodromy $A \in \mathrm{Sl}(2,\mathbb{Z})$. Write S^1 as the union of a 0-cell and a 1-cell and set up the corresponding standard chain complex $C_\bullet(S^1, \mathcal{A})$ as in [33, p.328]. We have $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(S^1)] \cong \mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}] =: R$, and both C_0 and C_1 are isomorphic to $R \otimes_R \mathbb{Z}^2 \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ as R-modules, where R acts on $\mathbb{Z}^2$ through A. The boundary operator then becomes $(t-1)\otimes \mathrm{id} \cong A - \mathrm{id}$, hence the claim.

Next, if ζ is a smooth real 1-form on $X|_{\Delta^*}$ with $d\zeta$ of type $(1,1)$, then $\xi := \zeta^{0,1}$ satisfies $\bar\partial\xi = 0$. From the Leray spectral sequence again, $H^{0,1}(X|_{\Delta^*}) \cong H^0(\Delta^*, \mathcal{H})$ for the holomorphic line bundle $\mathcal{H} = R^1 f_*\mathcal{O}_X$, whose fiber over z can be naturally identified with $H^{0,1}(f^{-1}(z))$. The fiberwise constant $(0,1)$-form $\Theta := d\bar w/\mathrm{Im}(\bar\tau_1\tau_2)$ defines a holomorphic section of $\mathcal{H}$. Thus, restricting ξ to $f^{-1}(z)$ and writing the constant part of the restriction as $s(z)\Theta(z)$ identifies the class of ξ in $H^{0,1}(X|_{\Delta^*})$ with $s : \Delta^* \to \mathbb{C}$, which is necessarily holomorphic. Apply this to $d\zeta = \omega_{\rm sf}(1) - \omega$. Next, for any given holomorphic function $\tilde\sigma : \Delta^* \to \mathbb{C}$, which we view as inducing a section of X, if $T(z,w) := (z, w + \tilde\sigma(z))$, a direct calculation (best done using the frame $\partial_{\rm h}, \partial_{\rm v}$ from [31], the key identity being $\partial_{\rm h}\bar\Gamma = 0$) shows that

$$T^*\omega_{\rm sf}(1) - \omega_{\rm sf}(1) = d\tilde\zeta + \frac{i}{2}\frac{\varepsilon}{\mathrm{Im}(\bar\tau_1\tau_2)}|\tilde\sigma'(z) - \Gamma(z, \tilde\sigma(z))|^2 dz\wedge d\bar z, \tag{4.36}$$

$$\tilde{\xi} = \tilde{\zeta}^{0,1} := \frac{i}{2}\frac{\varepsilon}{\mathrm{Im}(\bar{\tau}_1\tau_2)}\tilde{\sigma}(z)(d\bar{w} - \bar{\Gamma}(z,w)d\bar{z}). \tag{4.37}$$

Thus $\xi + \tilde{\xi}$ becomes $\bar{\partial}$-exact if $\tilde{\sigma} := 2is/\varepsilon$. The correction term on the rhs in (4.36) has a smooth real potential as well, as does every smooth real 2-form on every open Riemann surface S because $H^2(S, \mathbb{R}) = H^{0,1}(S) = 0$. □

We now use Claim 1 to construct a potential for $T^*\omega_{\mathrm{sf}}(\alpha) - \omega$, $\alpha > 0$ arbitrary, which depends linearly on α. With $\Omega = z^{-(N+1)}k(z)dz \wedge dw$, $k(0) \neq 0$, as usual,

$$\omega_{\mathrm{sf}}(\alpha) - \omega_{\mathrm{sf}}(1) = i(\alpha - 1)|k(z)|^2\frac{\mathrm{Im}(\bar{\tau}_1\tau_2)}{\varepsilon}\frac{dz \wedge d\bar{z}}{|z|^{2N+2}} = (\alpha - 1)i\partial\bar{\partial}u \tag{4.38}$$

for a smooth function $u : \Delta^* \to \mathbb{R}$, which must exist for the same general reason as in the proof of Claim 1. We identify u with $u \circ f$. Thus, with T, u_1 as before,

$$T^*\omega_{\mathrm{sf}}(\alpha) = \omega + i\partial\bar{\partial}u_\alpha, \quad u_\alpha := u_1 + (\alpha - 1)u. \tag{4.39}$$

Notice at this point that neither u_1 nor u are uniquely determined, but we make a fixed choice for both of them and then allow any generic constant C_0 to depend on these choices as well. It will be necessary to normalize u by a harmonic function in the gluing region $\Delta(r + 2s) \setminus \Delta(r + s)$ to gain more specific control there, but then the (r, s)-dependence of this correction needs to be tracked carefully.

Claim 2 (normalizing the potential). If $C_0 r < 1$, $C_0 s < r$, and v is harmonic with the same boundary values as u on $\Delta(r + 3s) \setminus \Delta(r)$, then

$$\sup_{\Delta(r+2s)\setminus\Delta(r+s)} (s^{-2}|u - v| + s^{-1}|(u - v)_z|) \leq C_0 \sup_{\Delta(r+3s)\setminus\Delta(r)} u_{z\bar{z}}. \tag{4.40}$$

Proof. Put $w := u - v$ and take $b(z) := (|z| - r)(r + 3s - |z|)$ as a barrier. If $3s \leq r$, then $4b_{z\bar{z}} \leq -1$, thus $\sup|w| \leq 4(\sup|b|)(\sup|w_{z\bar{z}}|) = 9s^2 \sup u_{z\bar{z}}$ by the maximum principle, where all suprema are over $\Delta(r + 3s) \setminus \Delta(r)$. We use Bernstein's method for the gradient estimate. Thus we fix a cut-off function χ with $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$, $\mathrm{supp}(\chi) \subset \Delta(r + 3s) \setminus \Delta(r)$, $\chi \equiv 1$ on $\Delta(r + 2s) \setminus \Delta(r + s)$, $s\chi^{-1/2}|\chi_z| + s^2(\chi_{z\bar{z}})^- \leq C_0$, and define $S := \chi|w_z|^2 + C_0 s^{-2}|w|^2$. By the usual calculation,

$$S_{z\bar{z}} \geq -C_0 \sup_{\Delta(r+3s)\setminus\Delta(r)} (|w_{z\bar{z}}|^2 + s^2|w_{z\bar{z},z}|^2).$$

Thus, using the barrier b from the first step and the maximum principle again,

$$\sup_{\Delta(r+2s)\setminus\Delta(r+s)} |w_z| \leq C_0 s \sup_{\Delta(r+3s)\setminus\Delta(r)} (u_{z\bar{z}} + s|u_{z\bar{z},z}|).$$

From (4.38) and Table 4.1, certainly $s|u_{z\bar{z},z}| \leq C_0 u_{z\bar{z}}$ on $\Delta(r + 3s) \setminus \Delta(r)$. □

We now construct the desired complete background Kähler metric ω_0. For every $\alpha > 0$ and $t > 0$, define a closed $(1, 1)$-form $\omega_0(\alpha, t)$ on M by setting

$$\omega_0(\alpha, t) := \begin{cases} \omega + t\beta + i\partial\bar{\partial}(\psi\tilde{u}_\alpha) & \text{outside } X|_{\Delta(r)}, \\ \omega + t\beta + i\partial\bar{\partial}u_\alpha & \text{over } \Delta(r + s)^*, \end{cases} \tag{4.41}$$

$$\tilde{u}_\alpha := u_1 + (\alpha - 1)(u - v) \text{ on } \Delta(r + 3s) \setminus \Delta(r), \tag{4.42}$$

where we identify v with $v \circ f$. The definitions match over $\Delta(r + s) \setminus \Delta(r)$ because $\psi \equiv 1$ there and $u_\alpha - \tilde{u}_\alpha = (\alpha - 1)v$, which is pluriharmonic. Note that

$$\omega_0(\alpha, t) = \begin{cases} \omega & \text{outside } X|_{\Delta(r+3s)}, \\ T^*\omega_{\mathrm{sf}}(\alpha) & \text{over } \Delta(r)^*. \end{cases} \tag{4.43}$$

Claim 3 (positivity of the glued form). There exists a constant $C_0(r,s) > 0$ such that if $t > C_0(r,s) + C_0|\alpha - 1| \sup_{\Delta(r+3s)\setminus\Delta(r)} u_{z\bar{z}}$, then, on all of M,

$$\omega_0(\alpha,t) \geq \frac{1}{2}(\omega + \psi i\partial\bar{\partial}u_\alpha) > 0. \tag{4.44}$$

Proof. This only needs to be checked over $\Delta(r+2s)\setminus\Delta(r+s)$. Calculate

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_0(\alpha,t) = {}& \omega + t\beta + \psi i\partial\bar{\partial}u_\alpha + [\psi_z u_{1,\bar{w}}\, idz\wedge d\bar{w} + \psi_{\bar{z}} u_{1,w}\, idw \wedge d\bar{z} + \\ & + \{\psi_{z\bar{z}}\tilde{u}_\alpha + \psi_{\bar{z}}\tilde{u}_{\alpha,z} + \psi_z \tilde{u}_{\alpha,\bar{z}}\}\, idz\wedge d\bar{z}].\end{aligned}$$

Since $\omega + i\partial\bar{\partial}u_\alpha = T^*\omega_{\rm sf}(\alpha) > 0$ over Δ^*, we have $\omega + \psi i\partial\bar{\partial}u_\alpha > 0$ by convexity. To compensate for the $dz\wedge d\bar{w}$ and $dw\wedge d\bar{z}$ terms in square brackets, notice

$$(z,w)\begin{pmatrix}0 & a\\ \bar{a} & 0\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\bar{z}\\ \bar{w}\end{pmatrix} = 2\mathrm{Re}(az\bar{w}) \geq -\frac{|a|}{\delta}|z|^2 - \delta|a||w|^2 \ \ (a\in\mathbb{C}, \delta > 0).$$

Apply this by making δ small (depending on $\sup|\psi_z u_{1,\bar{w}}|$ and $\inf(\omega + \psi i\partial\bar{\partial}u_1)_{w\bar{w}}$ over $\Delta(r+2s)\setminus\Delta(r+s)$) and then $t > C_0(r,s)$ with $C_0(r,s)$ sufficiently large. To treat the $dz\wedge d\bar{z}$ terms, consider (4.42). The u_1 part can be controlled by making $C_0(r,s)$ larger. To deal with the $(\alpha-1)(u-v)$ contribution, use (4.40). ☐

Thus, given any $\alpha > 0$, if t is large enough as specified in Claim 3, then $\omega_0(\alpha,t)$ defines a complete Kähler metric on M which has all the required properties, (4.41), (4.43), *except* that the compactly supported volume form $\omega_0(\alpha,t)^2 - \alpha\Omega\wedge\bar{\Omega}$ will not have zero mass in general, as needed for the integrability condition.

Claim 4 (integrability condition). One can choose r, s, depending on $X, \Omega, \omega, \tau_1, \tau_2$, such that for all $t' > 1$ there exists precisely one $\alpha > 0$ such that $I(\alpha,t) := \int(\omega_0(\alpha,t)^2 - \alpha\Omega\wedge\bar{\Omega}) = 0$ with $t := C_0(r,s)t' + C_0|\alpha-1|\sup_{\Delta(r+3s)\setminus\Delta(r)} u_{z\bar{z}}$.

The key point to note here is that $I(\alpha,t)$ is *linear* in both variables, from (4.41). Thus, Proposition 4.6 follows immediately from what is established in Claim 4.

Proof. Let $r, s > 0$ be arbitrary for now but with $C_0 r < 1$, $C_0 s < r$. For any given $t' > 1$ and $\alpha > 0$, define t as in the Claim, where $C_0(r,s)$ is as least as large as the generic constant from Claim 3. We will show that r, s can be chosen in such a way, but depending only on $X, \Omega, \omega, \tau_1, \tau_2$, that $I(\alpha,t) > 0$ if α is small and $I(\alpha,t) < 0$ if α is large, depending on t' and all the other data. Indeed, from (4.44),

$$\int_{X|_{\mathbb{P}^1\setminus\Delta(r)}} \omega_0(\alpha,t)^2 \geq \frac{1}{4}\int_{X|_{\mathbb{P}^1\setminus\Delta(r+2s)}} \omega^2,$$

and hence $I(\alpha,t) > 0$ if α is sufficiently small, depending on Ω, ω and r, s. For the other direction, fix $t' > 1$ and let $\alpha > 1$. Arguing as in the proof of Claim 3 then, $\omega_0(\alpha,t) \leq 2(\omega + \psi i\partial\bar{\partial}u_1) + t\beta$ over $\mathbb{P}^1\setminus\Delta(r)$. From (4.38) and Table 4.1,

$$\sup_{\Delta(r+3s)\setminus\Delta(r)} u_{z\bar{z}} \leq C_0 \inf_{\Delta(r+3s)\setminus\Delta(r)} u_{z\bar{z}}.$$

Thus, denoting by χ the characteristic function of $\Delta(r+3s)\setminus\Delta(r)$ as well as its pull-back under f, we find that over $\mathbb{P}^1\setminus\Delta(r)$,

$$\omega_0(\alpha,t) \leq 2(\omega + \psi i\partial\bar{\partial}u_1) + C_0(r,s)t'\beta + C_0(\alpha-1)\chi i\partial\bar{\partial}u.$$

By (4.38) and Table 4.1, $\beta \leq C_0 i\partial\bar{\partial}u$. Assuming $\alpha - 1 > C_0(r,s)t'$, by (4.39),

$$I(\alpha,t) \leq 4\int_{X|_{\mathbb{P}^1\setminus\Delta(r+3s)}} \omega^2 + C_0\int_{X|_{\Delta(r+3s)\setminus\Delta(r)}} T^*\omega_{\rm sf}(\alpha)^2 - \int_{X|_{\mathbb{P}^1\setminus\Delta(r)}} \alpha\Omega\wedge\bar{\Omega}.$$

Since $T^*\omega_{\rm sf}(\alpha)^2 = \Omega$, choosing first r and then s in such a way that

$$\int_{X|_{\mathbb{P}^1\setminus\Delta(r)}} \Omega\wedge\bar{\Omega} > 4\int_M \omega^2 + C_0\int_{X|_{\Delta(r+3s)\setminus\Delta(r)}} \Omega\wedge\bar{\Omega},$$

we can achieve $I(\alpha, t) < 0$ as desired. $\square$

5. Uniqueness and moduli in the cylindrical case

This section studies uniqueness and deformation questions for hyperkähler ALH metrics on open 4-manifolds M which are diffeomorphic to complements of smooth fibers D in rational elliptic fibrations $f : X \to \mathbb{P}^1$. This relies on a computation of the topological invariants of M and on Hodge theory for asymptotically cylindrical manifolds, which we work out and summarize as needed in Section 5.1. Section 5.2 contains the proof of our partial uniqueness result, Theorem 1.10. The deformation space of an ALH hyperkähler metric is studied in Section 5.3, clarifying some issues that appear in applying Kovalev [46] to this particular setting.

5.1. **Topology and analysis on ALH spaces.** $H^2(X) = H^{1,1}(X) = \mathbb{R}^{10}$ and M is simply-connected, hence the Mayer-Vietoris sequence

$$0 \to H^1(T^2) \to H^1(T^3) \to H^2(X) \to H^2(M) \oplus H^2(T^2) \to H^2(T^3) \to 0$$

yields $H^2(M) = \mathbb{R}^{11}$. More precisely, since the map $H^2(X) \to H^2(M) \oplus H^2(T^2)$ has a 9-dimensional image and 1-dimensional kernel (spanned by the Poincaré dual of a fiber, i.e. $c_1(X)$), and since the restriction $H^2(X) \to H^2(M)$ maps into $H^{1,1}(M)$, the subspace of all de Rham classes representable by closed forms which are $(1, 1)$ for the complex structure on X, we find $\dim H^{1,1}(M) \geq 9$. However, for a meromorphic 2-form Ω with $\mathrm{div}(\Omega) = -D$, $[\mathrm{Re}(\Omega)]$ and $[\mathrm{Im}(\Omega)]$ are linearly independent and not contained in $H^{1,1}(M)$, so $H^2(X) \to H^{1,1}(M)$ is onto with kernel spanned by $c_1(X)$. In particular, all closed $(1, 1)$-forms on M pair to zero with all 2-cycles in M which are boundaries in X, such as the bad cycles from Definition 1.2.

The cohomology long exact sequence for $(X \setminus U, \partial U)$ for a tubular neighborhood U of D gives $H^2_c(M) = \mathbb{R}^{11}$ and $\mathrm{im}(H^2_c(M) \to H^2(M)) = \mathbb{R}^8$. The classes in the image are precisely the ones that can be represented by compactly supported forms. If g is any ALH Riemannian metric on M, then the de Rham cohomology class of every L^2-harmonic 2-form with respect to g is of this type, and conversely all such classes are uniquely representable by L^2-harmonic forms. (For this last fact, and for all other standard results from Hodge theory on cylindrical manifolds that appear in the following, we refer to the nice overview in Nordström [61, Sections 5.1–5.2].) If g is Kähler with respect to the complex structure on X and if $\mathrm{Ric}(g) \geq 0$, we see that $H^{1,1}(M)$ splits as the direct sum of $\mathbb{R}[\omega]$ and the space of L^2-harmonic forms, all of which are primitive $(1, 1)$ since $\wedge\,\omega$ preserves harmonicity and ED harmonic $(2, 0)$-forms are trivial by the Bochner formula.

Back to a general ALH metric, the space of *bounded* Δ_d-harmonic r-forms with respect to g has dimension $\dim H^r(M) + \dim H^r_c(M) - \dim \mathrm{im}(H^r_c(M) \to H^r(M))$. Outside a compact set, all such forms split into one part which is parallel for g and another one which is exponentially decaying (ED) as in Definition 1.8. Therefore, the space of bounded Δ_d-harmonic 2-forms splits (not uniquely) as the direct sum of its 8-dimensional L^2-harmonic subspace, and a 6-dimensional subspace of forms which are asymptotic to $\alpha \wedge dt + \beta$ ($\alpha \in \wedge^1\mathbb{R}^3$, $\beta \in \wedge^2\mathbb{R}^3$) with respect to any ALH coordinate system Φ as in Definition 1.4(ii). Finally, we will need to know that Δ_d

is Fredholm of index $-(b_r(T^3)+b_{r-1}(T^3))$ when acting on ED r-forms with a small enough decay rate, some suitable weighted Hölder topology to be understood.

Corollary 5.1. *Let β be an* ED *r-form on M with $r \in \{0,1,2\}$.*

(i) *If $r=0$, then for any fixed* ALH *coordinate system there exists a unique $\alpha = Ct +$* ED *such that $\Delta_d\alpha = \beta$, and moreover $C=0$ if and only if $\int \beta \, d\mathrm{vol} = 0$.*

(ii) *If $r=1$, there exists a unique $\alpha =$ parallel $+$* ED *with $\Delta_d\alpha = \beta$. The parallel part of α vanishes if and only if $\int \langle \beta, \eta\rangle \, d\mathrm{vol} = 0$ for all Δ_d-harmonic 1-forms η of linear growth. The space of all such harmonic 1-forms has dimension four.*

(iii) *If $r=2$, then a smooth and in addition* ED *2-form α with $\Delta_d\alpha = \beta$ exists if and only if $\int \langle \beta, \eta\rangle \, d\mathrm{vol} = 0$ for all bounded Δ_d-harmonic 2-forms η.*

Proof. If $r=0$, the condition $\int \beta = 0$ is clearly necessary for an ED solution α to exist, and thus sufficient because the index is -1 and the kernel is trivial. All α_0 with $\alpha_0 = t + \mathrm{ED}$ outside a compact set satisfy $\Delta_d\alpha_0 = \mathrm{ED}$, but $\Delta_d\alpha_0 = 0$ implies $d\alpha_0$ is bounded Δ_d-harmonic and hence still $\alpha_0 = 0$, and so $\Delta_d : \mathrm{ED} \oplus \mathbb{R}\alpha_0 \to \mathrm{ED}$ is onto. For $r=1$, note that the index on ED 1-forms is -4, and we can obviously find four linearly independent 1-forms which are parallel + ED, while, again, there are no bounded Δ_d-harmonic 1-forms at all. This in turn proves that we can take any 1-form which is equal to $t\xi + \mathrm{ED}$ outside a compact set for some constant ξ, and correct it by a bounded form so as to become Δ_d-harmonic, providing us with a 4-dimensional space of linearly growing harmonic 1-forms, which must then define the cokernel of Δ_d on ED 1-forms because the index is -4. If $r=2$, the given condition is necessary, and so $\dim \mathrm{coker} \geq 14$, but also index $= -6$ and $\dim\ker = 8$. $\square$

The key fact here is that while on a *general* asymptotically cylindrical manifold, the space of bounded harmonic r-forms mod ED is of dimension $\leq b_r(Y)+b_{r-1}(Y)$ if Y denotes the cross-section, in this particular case, we have equality for $r=2$.

5.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.10.** To prove Part (i), define $\omega := \Psi^*\omega_2 - \omega_1$. From our assumptions, $\omega = \mathrm{ED}$ on M_1. By Corollary 5.1(ii), there exists a bounded 1-form $\alpha = \mathrm{parallel} + \mathrm{ED}$ such that $\Delta_d\alpha = d^*\omega$. Since ω is exact by assumption, the form $d\alpha - \omega$ is Δ_d-harmonic, ED, and exact, and hence $d\alpha = \omega$ by the Hodge theorem. Now $\bar\partial^*\alpha^{0,1}$ is an ED function. Thus, by Corollary 5.1(i) and the Kähler identities, there exists a function γ of at most linear growth such that $\bar\partial^*\bar\partial\gamma = \bar\partial^*\alpha^{0,1}$. Since $\bar\partial\alpha^{0,1} = 0$ for type reasons, $\bar\partial\gamma - \alpha^{0,1}$ is a bounded Δ_d-harmonic 1-form, thus zero, so eventually $\omega = i\partial\bar\partial u$ with $u := 2\,\mathrm{Im}(\gamma)$. Now $\Psi^*\omega_2^2 = h\omega_1^2$ with $h = 1 + \mathrm{ED}$, but h must be constant because ω_1 and $\Psi^*\omega_2$ are globally hyperkähler and $\Psi^*J_2 = J_1$, and hence $\Psi^*\omega_2^2 = \omega_1^2$. With $\omega = i\partial\bar\partial u$, this yields $\Delta u = 0$, where the Laplacian is taken with respect to the metric $g_1 + \Psi^*g_2$. This metric is still ALH, so $u = \mathit{const}$ from Section 4.1, and thus $\omega = 0$ as desired.

Part (ii) is completely elementary. There exist g_{flat}-parallel and g_{flat}-orthogonal almost-complex structures $J_{i,0}$ on $\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^1 \times T^2$ such that $\Phi_i^*J_i = J_{i,0} + \mathrm{ED}$, where $g_{\mathrm{flat}} = dt^2 \oplus \ell^2 d\varphi^2 \oplus g_{\varepsilon,\tau}$ and $\varphi \in S^1 = \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ as in Definition 1.4(ii). To see this, define a family $J_{i,0}(T)$, $T \in \mathbb{R}^+$, of such almost-complex structures by setting

$$J_{i,0}(T)|_{(T,*)} := P[(\Phi_i^*J_i)|_{(T,*)}],$$

where P denotes nearest-neighbor projection onto g_{flat}-orthogonal almost-complex structures and $*$ is some base point in $S^1 \times T^2$, and then by g_{flat}-parallel transport, noting that flat cylinders $\mathbb{R} \times T^3$ are hyperkähler so there are no holonomy issues.

From ODE estimates, $|J_{i,0}(T) - \Phi_i^* J_i|_{g_{\mathrm{flat}}} = O(e^{-\delta t} + e^{-\delta T})$ on the whole cylinder, uniformly as $T \to \infty$. Thus $J_{i,0}(T)$ limits out to some $J_{i,0}$ as desired.

Since (M_i, J_i) is Φ_i-compactifiable, $\mu^* J_{i,0}$ must extend continuously to $\Delta \times T^2$. This already forces $J_{i,0} = \pm J_\ell \oplus \pm J_\tau$, where $J_\ell(\partial_t) = \ell^{-1}\partial_\varphi$ and J_τ is one of the two $g_{\varepsilon,\tau}$-parallel, $g_{\varepsilon,\tau}$-orthogonal almost-complex structures on T^2. Since the map $\Pi := \mu^{-1} \circ \Phi_2^{-1} \circ \Psi \circ \Phi_1 \circ \mu$ extends as a diffeomorphism from $\Delta \times T^2$ to itself, preserving $\{0\} \times T^2$, Π must pull back $\mu^* J_{2,0}$ to $\mu^* J_{1,0}$ along $\{0\} \times T^2$. Therefore, $\Pi^*(\pm J_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \pm J_\tau) = \pm J_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \pm J_\tau$ along $\{0\} \times T^2$ for some combination of the signs. We can make all signs positive by composing with isometries of g_{flat}. Thus, in complex coordinates (z, w) on $\Delta \times T^2$, with w uniformizing (T^2, J_τ), $\Pi_* \in \mathrm{Gl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ along $\{0\} \times T^2$, more precisely $\Pi_* = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & 0 \\ * & b \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ with $|b| = 1$. Hence, by Taylor expansion,

$$\Pi(z, w) = (az, bw + c) + (O(|z|^2), O(|z|)),\ c \in \mathbb{C},$$

thus $\Pi^*(\mu^* g_{\mathrm{flat}}) = (\mu^* g_{\mathrm{flat}})(1 + O(|z|))$ and so $\Psi^* g_2 - g_1 = \mathrm{ED}$. □

5.3. **Proof of Theorem 1.11.** Koiso [44] showed that the kernel of the linearized Einstein operator on a compact Calabi-Yau manifold, modulo Lie derivatives of the metric, is invariant under the action of the almost-complex structure J. Thus, the kernel contains its hermitian and skew-hermitian components, which can be viewed as spaces of first-order deformations of the Kähler class, and of J, respectively. If J has unobstructed deformations (which is always true, by later work of Tian [70] and Todorov [75]), Koiso then gave a method to integrate all Ricci-flat deformations of the metric by decomposing them into their hermitian and skew-hermitian parts and using the implicit function theorem to solve a family of Monge-Ampère equations on the resulting family of compact Kähler manifolds. Kovalev [46] has sketched an extension of Koiso's theory to the setting of open Calabi-Yau manifolds asymptotic to a complex cylinder $\mathbb{C}^* \times D$ with metric $|d \log z|^2 \oplus g_D$, where (D, g_D) is compact Calabi-Yau with $\pi_1(D) = 0$. The condition on π_1 implies that the complex splitting at infinity is preserved under bounded Ricci-flat deformations. We will develop this theory carefully on ALH spaces, in which case D is a torus and so $\pi_1(D) \neq 0$. This ultimately leads to various complications when counting moduli.

In *Step 1*, we study deformations of the flat metric on $\mathbb{R} \times T^3$ from Koiso's point of view, viewing $\mathbb{R} \times T^3 = \mathbb{C}^2/\Lambda$ for some rank-3 lattice $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}^2$.

In *Step 2*, we show that on ALH hyperkähler manifolds diffeomorphic to $X \setminus D$, the kernel $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{H}$ of the linearized Einstein operator contains a harmonic space $\mathcal{D}$ of dimension 34 (the kernel of the Lichnerowicz Laplacian) such that $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{bd}}$, where $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{bd}} := \{L_X g : X = \text{parallel} + \mathrm{ED}\}$. Except for the precise dimension count, this follows from work in [46].

In *Step 3*, we describe the space of Lie derivatives that are still contained in $\mathcal{D}$. This turns out to be the space $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{lin}} := \{L_X g : X \text{ linearly growing harmonic}\}$, which reduces the number of moduli from 34 to 30, still counting the multiples of g.

In *Step 4*, we obtain integrability of all first-order Ricci-flat deformations if the hyperkähler ALH space to be deformed is one of those constructed in Tian-Yau [73]. Let $^\pm$ denote the projections onto J-(skew-)hermitian forms, where J is the unique parallel orthogonal almost-complex structure on M that can be compactified. Then $\mathcal{D}^\pm \subset \mathcal{D}$, $\dim \mathcal{D}^+ = 12$, $\dim \mathcal{D}^- = 22$, $\dim \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{lin}} = 4$, $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{lin}} \cap \mathcal{D}^+ = 0$, $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{lin}} \cap \mathcal{D}^- = \mathbb{R}$, so $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{lin}}^-$ is of codimension 18 in $\mathcal{D}^-$. Luckily, (M, J) admits obvious complex moduli from deforming the pencil or moving D, and we will see that these yield a subspace $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{D}^-$ with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{S} = 9$ and $\mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{lin}}^- = 0$. Every element of $\mathcal{E}$ thus differs from a unique element of $\mathcal{D}^+ \oplus \mathcal{S}$ by a Lie derivative. All elements of $\mathcal{S}$ are integrable as deformations of J, and it is fairly easy to see that the $\mathcal{S}$-deformations of (M, J) still admit ALH Kähler metrics. This then suffices for Koiso-Kovalev to go through.

Step 1: Deformations of flat cylinders. Equip $\mathbb{C}^2$ with its standard Kähler structure (g, J) and let $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ be a rank-3 lattice. Then $\mathbb{C}^2/\Lambda = \mathbb{R} \times T^3$ isometrically for the flat metric on $T^3 = \mathbb{R}^3/\mathbb{Z}^3$ induced by any marking $\mathbb{Z}^3 \to \Lambda$. Thus, the deformation space of the flat metric on $\mathbb{C}^2/\Lambda$, including a scale, is naturally identified with the 6-dimensional space $\mathrm{Gl}(3,\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{O}(3)$ of marked flat metrics on T^3. We are now going to compare this with Koiso's general approach [44].

The natural space of infinitesimal Ricci-flat deformations here is $\mathcal{D} = \mathrm{Sym}^2\mathbb{R}^4$. We decompose $\mathcal{D}$ into its J-hermitian and J-skew-hermitian parts, $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}^+ \oplus \mathcal{D}^-$, and, writing $h(u, v) = g(Hu, v)$, $H = H^{\mathrm{tr}}$, for $h \in \mathcal{D}$, we introduce a linear map

$$\mathfrak{I} : \mathcal{D} \to \mathbb{R}^{4\times 4},\ \mathfrak{I}(h) := H^+J + H^-. \tag{5.1}$$

This defines an isomorphism of U(2)-modules between $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathfrak{sp}(4, \mathbb{R})$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{D}^+) &= \{I \in \mathbb{R}^{4\times 4} : I^{\mathrm{tr}} + I = IJ - JI = 0\} = \mathfrak{u}(2),\\ \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{D}^-) &= \{I \in \mathbb{R}^{4\times 4} : I^{\mathrm{tr}} - I = IJ + JI = 0\} \cong \mathfrak{sp}(4, \mathbb{R})/\mathfrak{u}(2).\end{aligned}$$

We can understand $\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{D}^-)$ as a space of infinitesimal deformations of J. In general, if $I \in \mathbb{R}^{4\times 4}$ and $IJ + JI = 0$, there is an associated complex structure $\mathfrak{C}(I)$ on $\mathbb{R}^4$ defined by having its $(0, 1)$-space in $\mathbb{R}^4 \otimes \mathbb{C}$ equal to $\{u'' + (Iu)' : u \in \mathbb{R}^4\}$, where $u' = u - iJu$ and $u'' = u + iJu$. This is the standard way of exponentiating first-order complex deformations in Kodaira-Spencer theory; when applied pointwise on a complex manifold, the integrability of $\mathfrak{C}(I)$ as an almost-complex structure then translates into the Maurer-Cartan equation $\bar{\partial}I + [I, I] = 0$. Explicitly,

$$\mathfrak{C}(I) = J(1 - I)(1 + I)^{-1}. \tag{5.2}$$

If in addition $I^{\mathrm{tr}} = I$, or equivalently $I \in \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{D}^-)$, then $\omega(u, v) = g(Ju, v)$ is still of type $(1, 1)$ with respect to $\mathfrak{C}(I)$, and $\mathfrak{C}$ identifies its domain of definition in $\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{D}^-)$, which is a bounded domain, with the Siegel upper half plane $\mathfrak{H}_2 = \mathrm{Sp}(4,\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{U}(2) = \{K \in \mathbb{R}^{4\times 4} : K^2 = -1, K^*\omega = \omega\}$. In fact, $\mathfrak{C}$ is the generalized Cayley transform. On the other hand, $\mathfrak{C}$ is globally defined on the space of $I \in \mathbb{R}^{4\times 4}$ with $IJ + JI = 0$ and $I^{\mathrm{tr}} + I = 0$, which is a complex line (the infinitesimal twistor line of $\mathbb{R}^4$), and maps this to the complement of $-J$ in the actual twistor $\mathbb{P}^1$.

(i) $\mathcal{D}$ has dimension 10 and hence overcounts the number of moduli of the flat metric g on $\mathbb{C}^2/\Lambda$ by four. This is because $\mathcal{D}$ contains a four-dimensional space $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{lin}}$ of Lie derivatives of g by linear vector fields: On $\mathbb{C}^2$, for any $h \in \mathcal{D}$, $h = L_Xg$ with $X|_u = (1/2)Hu$, H as above, and X descends to $\mathbb{C}^2/\Lambda$ precisely if $H(\Lambda) = 0$.

(ii) Suppose the cross-section $T^3 = S^1 \times T^2$ as an isometric product. Then

$$\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}(2\pi\ell e_2) + \Lambda',\ \Lambda' = \frac{\varepsilon}{\mathrm{Im}(\tau)}(\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau) \subset \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R}e_3 \oplus \mathbb{R}e_4,$$

with $\varepsilon, \ell > 0$ and $\tau \in \mathfrak{H}$, after rotating the lattice if necessary. In this case, $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{lin}} \subset \mathcal{D}$ has a canonical basis $h_i = L_{x^1e_i}g$ for $1 \leq i \leq 4$, and $h_2 \in \mathcal{D}^-$ while $\mathrm{span}\{h_1, h_3, h_4\}$ projects isomorphically into both $\mathcal{D}^+$ and $\mathcal{D}^-$. Hence, if we quotient by $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{lin}}$, there remain two skew-hermitian deformations dual to changing the complex modulus τ, and four hermitian ones. One of these is an overall scale, one is dual to changing ℓ^2/ε, and the remaining two break the isometric splitting of the cross-section. $\square$

Remark 5.2. Step 1(ii) shows that if Λ isometrically splits off a $\mathbb{Z}$-factor, then even though the metric on $\mathbb{C}^2/\Lambda$ can be deformed so that its cross-section no longer splits, the complex structure on $\mathbb{C}^2/\Lambda$ nevertheless remains compactifiable as $\mathbb{P}^1 \times (\mathbb{C}/\Lambda')$ after hyperkähler rotation. Said differently, we can transform any Λ into split form by hyperkähler rotation and a $\mathbb{C}$-linear map, but this may twist the metric. $\square$

Step 2: The kernel of the linearized Einstein operator. Let g be ALH hyperkähler on a manifold M diffeomorphic to the complement of a smooth fiber in a rational elliptic surface, and recall $\mathcal{H} = \{h \in C^\infty(\mathrm{Sym}^2 T^*M) : h = \text{parallel} + \text{ED outside a compact set}\}$. The linearization E of the Einstein operator at g is given by

$$\begin{cases} E(h) = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla^*\nabla h - 2R(h) + L_{D(h)}g), \\ R(h)(X,Y) := \sum h(R(E_i,X)Y,E_i), \\ \langle D(h), X\rangle := \sum \nabla_{E_i}(h - \frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{tr}\, h)g)(E_i,X). \end{cases}$$

Our goal is to describe the space $\mathcal{E} := \mathcal{H} \cap \ker E$ as explicitly as possible. For this, we first of all observe that $D(L_X g) = -\nabla^*\nabla X + \mathrm{Ric}\, X$ for *all* Riemannian metrics g and vector fields X. Thus, from Corollary 5.1, any given $h \in \mathcal{H}$ can be corrected by a Lie derivative $L_X g$ with $X = \text{parallel} + \text{ED}$ outside a compact set such that $D(h + L_X g) = 0$. Denote the space of all such Lie derivatives by $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{bd}}$. We conclude $\mathcal{E} = (\mathcal{D} \cap \ker D) \oplus \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{bd}}$, with $\mathcal{D} := \{h \in \mathcal{H} : \nabla^*\nabla h - 2R(h) = 0\}$, and the two spaces are transversal since otherwise (M,g) would admit a nontrivial parallel vector field. The space $\mathcal{D}$ is the counterpart over (M,g) to $\mathrm{Sym}^2\mathbb{R}^4$ over $\mathbb{C}^2/\Lambda$ as in Step 1.

Fix a parallel orthogonal almost-complex structure J. Then $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}^+ \oplus \mathcal{D}^-$, and there are Bochner formulas showing that $\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{D}^+)$ and $\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{D}^-)$ (recall (5.1)) are exactly the spaces of bounded Δ_d-harmonic real $(1,1)$-forms and $\Delta_{\bar\partial}$-harmonic symmetric $T^{1,0}M$-valued $(0,1)$-forms, respectively. As $\Delta_{\bar\partial}\alpha = 0 \Leftrightarrow \bar\partial\alpha = \bar\partial^*\alpha = 0$, $\alpha \in \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{H}^-)$, we find that $\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{D}^-)$ consists of first-order deformations of J as an integrable almost-complex structure, i.e. if $I \in \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{D}^-)$, then all curves I_t with $I_0 = 0$, $I_t'|_0 = I$, satisfy $\bar\partial I_t + [I_t, I_t] = 0$ to first order in t, cf. Step 1. Note that $\Delta_{\bar\partial}$-harmonic $T^{1,0}M$-valued $(0,1)$-forms are in bijection with Δ_d-harmonic $\mathbb{C}$-valued $(1,1)$-forms, from dualizing with a holomorphic symplectic form. Thus $\mathcal{D} \cap \ker D = \mathcal{D}$ because wedging a scalar form with ω preserves harmonicity, so $\mathrm{tr}\, h$ is harmonic and hence constant for any $h \in \mathcal{D}$, and $\mathrm{div}\, h = 0$ since bounded harmonic forms are d^*-closed.

In conclusion, $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{bd}}$. Also, $\dim \mathcal{D} = (1+2)\cdot 8 + 10 = 34$. This is because by Section 5.1, the space of ED Δ_d-harmonic real $(1,1)$-forms is 8-dimensional, and the space of bounded Δ_d-harmonic 2-forms modulo ED is isomorphic to the space of constant 2-forms on the flat cylinder $\mathbb{C}^2/\Lambda$ to which (M,g) asymptotes, through the map P which sends any tensor to its constant part outside a compact set; now P preserves exterior form types with respect to J and $P(J)$, and maps the twistor line $\mathbb{C}\Omega$ of (M,g,J) to that of $\mathbb{C}^2/\Lambda$, so $(P \circ I)(\mathcal{D}) = \mathrm{Sym}^2\mathbb{R}^4 = \mathbb{R}^{10}$. $\square$

Step 3: Lie derivatives in the harmonic space. Let $h = L_X g \in \mathcal{D}$, so $\nabla^*\nabla X = 0$.

Claim: If $h = \text{ED}$, then $|\nabla X|$ is uniformly bounded on M.

Assuming this claim, X is harmonic of at most linear growth. Then the proof of Corollary 5.1(ii) shows $X = tX_0 + \text{parallel} + \text{ED}$ outside a compact set, where X_0 is a constant vector field on $\mathbb{C}^2/\Lambda$. But then $h = \text{ED}$ implies tX_0 is Killing for the flat metric, and so $X_0 = 0$, which implies $X \in \mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{bd}} = \{0\}$ and hence $h = 0$.

Thus, if $h \neq 0$, then $h = h_0 + \mathrm{ED}$ outside a compact set with $h_0 \neq 0$ a constant bilinear form on $\mathbb{C}^2/\Lambda$. Moreover, $h_0 \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{lin}}$ as defined in Step 1(i) because otherwise h would change the cross-sectional geometry of (M, g) even though $h = L_X g$.

Conversely, given any $h_0 \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{lin}}$, there exists a unique $h = h_0 + \mathrm{ED} = L_X g \in \mathcal{D}$. Here, *uniqueness* holds by the above, and *existence* holds because if $h_0 = L_{X_0} g_{\mathrm{flat}}$ with X_0 linear on $\mathbb{C}^2/\Lambda$, then from Corollary 5.1(ii) there exists a harmonic vector field X on M with $X - X_0 = \text{parallel} + \mathrm{ED}$ outside a compact set, and then $h := L_X g = h_0 + \mathrm{ED}$ satisfies $E(h) = D(h) = 0$, so that $h \in \mathcal{D}$.

Thus, the subspace of $\mathcal{D}$ consisting of Lie derivatives of g is given by $\{L_X g : X$ linearly growing harmonic$\}$. We denote this space by $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{lin}}$, abusing notation.

Proof of the claim. Consider $[0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^3$. Write x^μ, $\mu \in \{0,1,2,3\}$, for the obvious coordinates, and use Latin superscripts $i \in \{1,2,3\}$ to address the $\mathbb{R}^3$ coordinates. Let u^μ, $f^{\mu\nu}_\lambda$, $g^{\mu\nu}$ be smooth functions on $[0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^3$ which are Γ-periodic in $\mathbb{R}^3$ for some lattice Γ, and suppose that the $f^{\mu\nu}_\lambda$ and $g^{\mu\nu}$ decay exponentially, i.e.

$$\|f^{\mu\nu}_\lambda\|_{C^k(t)} + \|g^{\mu\nu}\|_{C^k(t)} \leq C(k) e^{-\varepsilon t} \tag{5.3}$$

for some $\varepsilon \in (0,1]$, all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, all $t \in [0,\infty)$, where notation such as $C^k(t)$ refers to the relevant function spaces on the slice $\{t\} \times \mathbb{R}^3$.

Reduced claim: There exists $C = C(f^{\mu\nu}_\lambda, g^{\mu\nu}) \geq 1$ such that if

$$\frac{\partial u^\mu}{\partial x^\nu} + \frac{\partial u^\nu}{\partial x^\mu} + \sum f^{\mu\nu}_\lambda u^\lambda = g^{\mu\nu}, \tag{5.4}$$

and if $\|u^\mu\|_{C^0(T_0)} + \|\partial_\nu u^\mu\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(T_0)} \leq C_0$ and $\|\partial_\nu u^\mu\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(t)} \leq 3C_0$ for all $t \in [T_0, T]$, where $C_0 \geq 1$ and $T \geq T_0 \geq C$, then $\|\partial_\nu u^\mu\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(t)} \leq 2C_0$ for all $t \in [T_0, T]$.

Thus, assuming (5.3), every smooth and spatially Γ-periodic solution u^μ to (5.4) on $[0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^3$ must automatically have uniformly bounded derivatives. From this, the original claim then follows immediately.

To prove the reduced claim, fix $T \geq T_0 \geq 0$, $t \in [T_0, T]$. By (5.4), $\mu = \nu = 0$,

$$\|\partial_t u^0\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(t)} \leq C_0 C(t - T_0 + 1)e^{-\varepsilon t} \leq C_0 C e^{-\varepsilon T_0}.$$

Next, we differentiate (5.4), $\mu = \nu = 0$, with respect to x^j. Using the general fact that $\frac{d}{dt}\|v\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(t)} \leq \|\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(t)}$ and integrating in $t = x^0$, we obtain

$$\|\partial_j u^0\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(t)} \leq C_0(1 + Ce^{-\varepsilon T_0}).$$

Plugging this into (5.4) with $\mu = i$, $\nu = 0$ yields

$$\|\partial_t u^i\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(t)} \leq C_0(1 + Ce^{-\varepsilon T_0}).$$

Finally, differentiating (5.4) for $\mu = i$, $\nu = 0$ by x^j and integrating in t,

$$\|\partial_j u^i\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(t)} \leq C_0(1 + Ce^{-\varepsilon T_0}) + \int_{T_0}^t \|\partial_i \partial_j u^0\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(s)}\, ds.$$

By Schauder theory, $\|\partial_i\partial_j u^0\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(s)} \leq C\|\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3} u^0\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(s)}$, where $C = C(\Gamma, \alpha)$, and

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3} u^0 = \sum(\partial_i g^{0i} - \frac{1}{2}\partial_t g^{ii}) - \sum(\partial_i[f^{0i}_\lambda u^\lambda] - \frac{1}{2}\partial_t[f^{ii}_\lambda u^\lambda])$$

from differentiating and tracing (5.4), which shows that

$$\|\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3} u^0\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(s)} \leq C_0 C(s - T_0 + 1)e^{-\varepsilon s}.$$

Altogether then, $\|\partial_\nu u^\mu\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(t)} \leq C_0(1 + Ce^{-\varepsilon T_0})$, where $C = C(f^{\mu\nu}_\lambda, g^{\mu\nu})$. □

Step 4: Integrability. Assume now that (M, g) is one of the hyperkähler ALH spaces obtained by Tian-Yau [73] and that the underlying pencil of cubics is generic. Let J be the unique g-parallel orthogonal almost-complex structure on M which extends as a complex structure on X. By Steps 2–3, $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{bd}}$, $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}^+ \oplus \mathcal{D}^-$, $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{D}^+ = 8 + 4 = 12$, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{D}^- = 8 + 3 = 11$ (in both cases, the subspaces of ED tensors are of dimension 8, and the remaining dimensions are as on $\mathbb{C}^2/\Lambda$), and $\mathcal{D}$ contains an exactly four-dimensional space $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{lin}}$ of Lie derivatives of g, which asymptotes to the corresponding space on $\mathbb{C}^2/\Lambda$. Since g is $\mathrm{ALH}(\ell, \varepsilon, \tau)$, we can assume that Λ is as in Step 1(ii), and hence we have a canonical basis h_i for $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{lin}}$ such that $h_2 \in \mathcal{D}^-$ while $\mathrm{span}\{h_1, h_3, h_4\}$ projects isomorphically into both $\mathcal{D}^+$ and $\mathcal{D}^-$.

To prove integrability of the infinitesimal Einstein deformations in $\mathcal{D}$, we proceed in three stages: (i) Construct a space $\mathcal{R}$ of infinitesimal deformations of J which are integrable and compactifiable, such that the corresponding deformed complex structures all admit holomorphic volume forms and ALH Kähler metrics, and such that $\mathcal{R} = \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{S})$ for a $\mathbb{C}$-linear subspace $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{D}^-$ with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{S} = 9$ and $\mathcal{D}^- = \mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{lin}}^-$. Thus, in particular, $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{D}^+ \oplus \mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{lin}} \oplus \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{bd}}$, and the sum of the first two factors does not contain any Lie derivatives. (ii) Given any $h \in \mathcal{D}^+ \oplus \mathcal{S}$, set up and solve a family of Monge-Ampère equations associated to the complex deformation h^- and the Kähler deformation h^+. This produces a curve g_t of ALH hyperkähler metrics with $g_0 = g$, hence a linear map $\mathfrak{L} : \mathcal{D}^+ \oplus \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{E}$, $\mathfrak{L}(h) := g_t'|_0$. (iii) Let $\mathfrak{P}$ denote the projection of $\mathcal{E}$ onto $\mathcal{D}^+ \oplus \mathcal{S}$ along $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{lin}} \oplus \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{bd}}$. Then $\mathfrak{P} \circ \mathfrak{L}$ is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{D}^+ \oplus \mathcal{S}$, though perhaps not equal to the identity.

(i) Write $M = X \setminus D$ such that J extends to X, $f : (X, J) \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is the elliptic fibration gotten by blowing up the nine distinct base points of a generic pencil of cubics, and $D = f^{-1}(p)$ is a smooth elliptic curve of modulus $\tau \in \mathfrak{H}$. Tian-Yau [73] construct the J-Kähler form of the $\mathrm{ALH}(\ell, \varepsilon, \tau)$ hyperkähler metric g as

$$\omega = \omega_X + \ell^2 f^* i\partial\bar{\partial}(\chi(\log|z|)^2) + f^*\omega_{\mathbb{P}^1} + i\partial\bar{\partial}u$$

with Kähler metrics ω_X, $\omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}$, on X, $\mathbb{P}^1$, so that ω_X restricts to a flat Kähler metric of area ε on D, where z is a local coordinate around p on $\mathbb{P}^1$, χ is a suitable cut-off function, and u is a smooth bounded potential of finite Dirichlet energy. (Observe that Tian-Yau do not establish $\mathrm{ALH}(\ell, \varepsilon, \tau)$ asymptotics for g, but this follows from our work in Section 3.4.) Let $f_t : (X, J_t) \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be a small deformation of f obtained by varying the pencil f in its moduli space, which is smooth of complex dimension 8 near f, and let $D_t = f_t^{-1}(p_t)$ for a curve p_t in $\mathbb{P}^1$ with $p_0 = p$. By classical work of Kodaira-Spencer [43], ω_X fits into a smooth family of J_t-Kähler forms $\omega_{X,t}$ on X. These may not be exactly flat when restricted to D_t, however $\omega_{X,t}|_{D_t} + i\partial_t\bar{\partial}_t v_t$ will again be flat of area ε for a unique smooth function v_t on D_t such that $\int v_t \omega_{X,t} = 0$, and v_t extends as a smooth family of functions on X with $v_0 \equiv 0$. Then

$$\omega_t := \omega_{X,t} + i\partial_t\bar{\partial}_t v_t + \ell^2 f_t^* i\partial\bar{\partial}(\chi(\log|z|)^2) + f_t^*\omega_{\mathbb{P}^1} + i\partial_t\bar{\partial}_t u$$

yields a family of J_t-Kähler metrics g_t on $M_t = X \setminus D_t$. Let $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \times T^3 \to M \setminus K$ be an ALH coordinate system for g. One can construct diffeomorphisms $\Psi_t : M \to M_t$ such that $\Psi_0 = \mathrm{id}$, $\Psi_t^* g_t$ is smooth in t, and $\Phi^*\Psi_t^* g_t = (dr^2 \oplus \ell^2 d\varphi^2 \oplus h_t) + O(t\mathrm{ED})$ for some fixed topological splitting $T^3 = S^1 \times T^2$, where h_t is a smooth family of flat Riemannian metrics on T^2 of area ε and modulus dictated by D_t. In addition, one can arrange for $\nabla_{h_s} h_t = 0$ for all s, t. In particular, $\Phi^*\Psi_t^* J_t = (J_\ell \oplus K_t) + O(t\mathrm{ED})$ after reflecting Φ if necessary, where $J_\ell(\partial_r) = \ell^{-1}\partial_\varphi$ and K_t is a smooth family of h_t-orthogonal almost-complex structures on T^2 with $\nabla_{h_s} K_t = 0$ for all s, t. Writing

$\Psi_t^* J_t = \mathfrak{C}(I_t)$ with $\mathfrak{C}$ from (5.2), and then $I_t = tI + O(t^2)$, we obtain a $(0,1)$-form I with values in $T^{1,0}M$ such that $\bar\partial I = 0$ and $\Phi^* I = \left(\begin{smallmatrix}0&0\\0&*\end{smallmatrix}\right) + \mathrm{ED}$, where the blocks correspond to $\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^1$ and T^2, and $*$ means a smooth endomorphism field on T^2 which is h_s-parallel for any s. By Corollary 5.1(ii), there exists a unique vector field $X =$ parallel $+$ ED such that $I^\circ := I + L_X J$ satisfies $\bar\partial I^\circ = \bar\partial^* I^\circ = 0$.

This process can be carried out for all deformations $f_t : (X, J_t) \to \mathbb{P}^1$ and $D_t = f_t^{-1}(p_t)$. We obtain a complex vector space $\mathcal{R}$ of $T^{1,0}M$-valued $(0,1)$-forms on M, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{R} = 9$, such that $\bar\partial I = \bar\partial^* I = 0$ for all $I \in \mathcal{R}$. In addition, $\Phi^* I = \left(\begin{smallmatrix}0&0\\0&*\end{smallmatrix}\right) + \mathrm{ED}$, and I is tangent to a curve I_t with $I_0 = 0$ such that $J_t := \mathfrak{C}(I_t)$ defines a curve of integrable almost-complex structures. By construction, (M, J_t) admits holomorphic volume forms Ω_t and complete Kähler metrics ω_t, all of which are ALH with respect to Φ, such that $\nabla_{g_s} g_t$, $\nabla_{g_s}\Omega_t$, $\nabla_{g_s} J_t$ are ED for all s, t. Also, by direct calculation, if $h \in \mathcal{L}^-_{\mathrm{lin}}$, then $\Phi^* h = \left(\begin{smallmatrix}*&*\\ *&0\end{smallmatrix}\right) + \mathrm{ED}$, and so $\mathcal{D}^- = \mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{L}^-_{\mathrm{lin}}$ with $\mathcal{S} := \mathfrak{I}^{-1}(\mathcal{R})$.

(ii) Let $h \in \mathcal{D}^+ \oplus \mathcal{S}$ and split $h = h^+ + h^-$ accordingly. Put $I := -\frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{I}(h^-) \in \mathcal{R}$ and let J_t, Ω_t, ω_t be as above. The form $\eta(X,Y) := g(\mathfrak{I}(h^+)X, Y)$ is $(1,1)$-harmonic with respect to g and J from Step 2. Let η_t denote the $(1,1)$-component of η with respect to J_t, and consider the following family of Monge-Ampère equations:

$$(\omega_t + t\eta_t + i\partial_t\bar\partial_t u_t)^2 = \alpha_t \Omega_t \wedge \bar\Omega_t. \tag{5.5}$$

Since $\nabla_{g_s} g_t$, $\nabla_{g_s}\Omega_t$, $\nabla_{g_s} J_t$ are ED for all s, t, we can choose α_t in such a way that $(\omega_t + t\eta_t)^2 - \alpha_t\Omega_t \wedge \bar\Omega_t$ is ED for all t. Then, as indicated by Kovalev [46], because $u_0 \equiv 0$ is a solution for $t = 0$, by Corollary 5.1(i) and the implicit function theorem there exists a smooth family of solutions u_t of linear growth, so $\omega_t + t\eta_t + i\partial_t\bar\partial_t u_t$ defines an ALH hyperkähler metric g_t. We obtain a linear map $\mathfrak{L} : \mathcal{D}^+ \oplus \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{E}$ by setting $\mathfrak{L}(h) := g_t'|_0$, and it remains to show that this is essentially the identity.

(iii) Recall $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{D}^+ \oplus \mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{lin}} \oplus \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{bd}}$, and let $\mathfrak{P}$ denote the projection from $\mathcal{E}$ onto the first two factors along the last two. We will prove that $\mathfrak{P} \circ \mathfrak{L}$ is an isomorphism, which is enough for Theorem 1.11. Accept for the time being that $\mathfrak{L}(h)^- = h^-$ and $\mathfrak{L}(h^+) = h^+$ for all $h \in \mathcal{D}$. Then $(\mathfrak{P} \circ \mathfrak{L})(h) = 0$ for some $h \in \mathcal{D}^+ \oplus \mathcal{S}$ would imply $\mathfrak{L}(h) = L_X g \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{lin}} \oplus \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{bd}}$, so $h^- = (L_X g)^-$ and $h^+ + \mathfrak{L}(h^-)^+ = (L_X g)^+$. From the first equation, since all elements of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{bd}}$ are ED and the parallel parts of non-trivial elements of $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{L}^-_{\mathrm{lin}}$ are all distinct, we conclude $L_X g \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{bd}}$, hence $h^- = 0$ from integration by parts, and so $h^+ = 0$ from the second equation and integration by parts. This shows that $\mathfrak{P} \circ \mathfrak{L}$ is injective and therefore an isomorphism.

As for the proof of the two properties of $\mathfrak{L}$ that we used, notice that $\mathfrak{L}(h)^- = h^-$ simply follows from $J_t^* g_t = g_t$ and $J_t'|_0 = J\mathfrak{I}(h^-)$. The second property is the only point where we need to exploit that η is harmonic. Let $h = h^+ \in \mathcal{D}^+$. Then (5.5) becomes $(\omega + t\eta + i\partial\bar\partial u_t)^2 = \alpha_t\Omega \wedge \bar\Omega$ with α_t such that $(\omega + t\eta)^2 - \alpha_t\Omega \wedge \bar\Omega$ is ED. The claim is trivial for h a constant multiple of g, so we can assume that $\eta \wedge \omega = 0$ because η is harmonic and so $\operatorname{tr} h$ is constant. This implies $\alpha_t = \alpha_0 + O(t^2)$, hence $\alpha_t\Omega \wedge \bar\Omega = (1 + O(t^2))(\omega + t\eta)^2$, so $\Delta(u_t'|_0) = 0$ from differentiating the PDE by t, and therefore $u_t'|_0 = 0$, $\omega_t'|_0 = \eta$, $g_t'|_0 = h$, since $u_t'|_0$ is of linear growth. □

6. Further questions

One major open question in 4-manifold geometry is the structure of Riemannian metrics with bounded Ricci tensor, which even in the Einstein case is not very well understood if collapsing is not prohibited [72]. Any loss of compactness should be caused by gravitational instantons with little "internal structure."

Problem 6.1. *Let $f : X \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be an elliptic fibration on K3. Let $\varpi \in H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ be the Poincaré dual of the fibers and let ϖ_t, $t \in [0,1]$, be a smooth path in $H^2(X,\mathbb{R})$ with ϖ_t inside the Kähler cone for $t < 1$ and $\varpi_1 = \varpi$. The collapsed limit metric on $\mathbb{P}^1$ of the Ricci-flat metrics in ϖ_t as $t \to 1$ was identified in* [31, 69, 76]*, see also* (*4.10*)*, fitting in with the general picture that limits of compact Einstein* 4*-manifolds with bounded Euler numbers are Riemannian orbifolds away from a finite number of points* [59]*. Prove that exactly the following bubbles form:*

- *For every singular fiber of finite monodromy, an isotrivial* ALG *space with the corresponding dual fiber at infinity, cf. Example 4.1.*
- *For* I_b*, one Taub-*NUT *at every fixed point of the canonical S^1-action* [31, 49].
- *For* I_b^* *with $b > 0$, b copies of Taub-*NUT *as before, plus one copy of the crepant resolution of $(\mathbb{R}^3 \times S^1)/\mathbb{Z}_2$, cf.* [5]*, for each tail of the dual Dynkin diagram.*

One motivation for this is the Kummer surface heuristics from the Introduction, which would correspond to four isotrivial ALG spaces with an I_0^* fiber both at the core and at infinity. Also, along the Gross-Wilson path with 24 I_1 singular fibers, we expect one Taub-NUT to bubble off from each Ooguri-Vafa space that was glued in. Finally, recall from Table 4.1 that in the general setting described in this problem, the limiting metric on $\mathbb{P}^1$ will have a cone point underneath each singular fiber of finite monodromy, whose angle is the same as the asymptotic cone angle of an ALG space with the dual fiber removed, which suggests a gluing construction.

REFERENCES

1. M. Anderson, *The L^2 structure of moduli spaces of Einstein metrics on 4-manifolds*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **2** (1992), 29–89.
2. M. Anderson, P. Kronheimer, C. LeBrun, *Complete Ricci-flat Kähler manifolds of infinite topological type*, Comm. Math. Phys. **125** (1989), 637–642.
3. M. Atiyah, N. Hitchin, *The geometry and dynamics of magnetic monopoles*, M. B. Porter Lectures, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1988.
4. W. Barth, C. Peters, A. van de Ven, *Compact complex surfaces*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) 4, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.
5. O. Biquard, V. Minerbe, *A Kummer construction for gravitational instantons*, arXiv:1005.5133.
6. Z. Błocki, *The complex Monge-Ampère equation on compact Kähler manifolds*, available from http://gamma.im.uj.edu.pl/∼blocki/publ/ln/index.html.
7. P. Buser, *A note on the isoperimetric constant*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **15** (1982), 213–230.
8. G. Carron, *Inégalités de Hardy sur les variétés riemanniennes non-compactes*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **76** (1997), 883–891.
9. J. Cheeger, *Degeneration of Einstein metrics and metrics with special holonomy*, Surveys in differential geometry VIII (Boston, MA, 2002), 29–73, Surv. Differ. Geom. VIII, International Press, Somerville, MA, 2003.
10. J. Cheeger, T. Colding, *Lower bounds on Ricci curvature and the almost rigidity of warped products,* Ann. of Math. (2) **144** (1996), 189–237.
11. J. Cheeger, K. Fukaya, M. Gromov, *Nilpotent structures and invariant metrics on collapsed manifolds*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **5** (1992), 327–372.
12. J. Cheeger, M. Gromov, M. Taylor, *Finite propagation speed, kernel estimates for functions of the Laplace operator, and the geometry of complete Riemannian manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **17** (1982), 15–53.
13. J. Cheeger, G. Tian, *On the cone structure at infinity of Ricci flat manifolds with Euclidean volume growth and quadratic curvature decay*, Invent. Math. **118** (1994), 493–571.
14. J. Cheeger, G. Tian, *Curvature and injectivity radius estimates for Einstein 4-manifolds*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **19** (2006), 487–525.

15. X. Chen, C. LeBrun, B. Weber, *On conformally Kähler, Einstein manifolds*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **21** (2008), 1137–1168.
16. S.-Y. Cheng, S.-T. Yau, *On the existence of a complete Kähler metric on noncompact complex manifolds and the regularity of Fefferman's equation*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **33** (1980), 507–544.
17. S. Cherkis, N. Hitchin, *Gravitational instantons of type D_k*, Comm. Math. Phys. **260** (2005), 299–317.
18. S. Cherkis, A. Kapustin, *Hyper-Kähler metrics from periodic monopoles*, Phys. Rev. D (3) **65** (2002), 084015.
19. C. Croke, *Some isoperimetric inequalities and eigenvalue estimates*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **13** (1980), 419–435.
20. J.-P. Demailly, *L^2 estimates for the $\bar{\partial}$ operator on complex manifolds*, available from http://www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/∼demailly/books.html.
21. S. Donaldson, *Calabi-Yau metrics on Kummer surfaces as a model glueing problem*, arXiv:1007.4218.
22. D. Freed, *Special Kähler manifolds*, Comm. Math. Phys. **203** (1999), 31–52.
23. S. Gallot, *Inégalités isopérimétriques et analytiques sur les variétés riemanniennes*, On the geometry of differentiable manifolds (Rome, 1986), 31–91, Astérisque **163–164** (1988).
24. *Géométrie des surfaces $K3$: modules et périodes*, Papers from the seminar held in Palaiseau, October 1981–January 1982, Astérisque **126** (1985).
25. S. Gilbarg, N. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, Second edition, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften 224, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
26. R. Gompf, A. Stipsicz, *4-manifolds and Kirby calculus*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics 20, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.
27. B. Greene, A. Shapere, C. Vafa, S.-T. Yau, *Stringy cosmic strings and noncompact Calabi-Yau manifolds*, Nuclear Phys. B **337** (1990), 1–36.
28. P. Griffiths, J. Harris, *Principles of algebraic geometry*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1978.
29. A. Grigor'yan, L. Saloff-Coste, *Stability results for Harnack inequalities*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **55** (2005), 825–890.
30. M. Gromov, *Metric structures for Riemannian and non-Riemannian spaces*, Progress in Math. 152, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 1999.
31. M. Gross, P. Wilson, *Large complex structure limits of $K3$ surfaces*, J. Differential Geom. **55** (2000), 475–546.
32. B. Harbourne, W. Lang, *Multiple fibers on rational elliptic surfaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **307** (1988), 205–223.
33. A. Hatcher, *Algebraic topology*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
34. E. Hebey, *Sobolev spaces on Riemannian manifolds*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1635, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.
35. N. Hitchin, *Compact four-dimensional Einstein manifolds*, J. Differential Geometry **9** (1984), 435–441.
36. N. Hitchin, *Twistor construction of Einstein metrics*, Global Riemannian geometry (Durham, 1983), 115–125, Ellis Horwood Ser.: Math. Appl., Horwood, Chichester, 1984.
37. N. Hitchin, *The moduli space of complex Lagrangian submanifolds*, Asian Journal of Mathematics **3** (1999), 77–92.
38. J. Jost, H. Karcher, *Geometrische Methoden zur Gewinnung von a-priori-Schranken für harmonische Abbildungen*, Manuscripta Math. **40** (1982), 27–77.
39. D. Joyce, *Compact Riemannian 7-manifolds with holonomy G_2*, I, II, J. Differential Geometry **43** (1996), 291–328, 329–375.
40. D. Joyce, *Asymptotically locally Euclidean metrics with holonomy* $\mathrm{SU}(m)$, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **19** (2001), 55–73.
41. F. Klein, *Vorlesungen über die Theorie der elliptischen Modulfunctionen*, Erster Band, Teubner, Leipzig, 1890.
42. K. Kodaira, *On compact analytic surfaces*, II, Ann. of Math. (2) **77** (1963), 563–626.
43. K. Kodaira, D. Spencer, *On deformations of complex analytic structures*, III, *Stability theorems for complex structures*, Ann. of Math. (2) **71** (1960), 43–76.
44. N. Koiso, *Einstein metrics and complex structures*, Invent. Math. **73** (1983), 71–106.

45. A. Kovalev, *Twisted connected sums and special Riemannian holonomy*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **565** (2003), 125–160.
46. A. Kovalev, *Ricci-flat deformations of asymptotically cylindrical Calabi-Yau manifolds*, Proceedings of Gökova Geometry-Topology Conference 2005, 140–156, Gökova, 2006.
47. P. Kronheimer, *The construction of ALE spaces as hyper-Kähler quotients*, J. Differential Geom. **29** (1989), 665–683.
48. P. Kronheimer, *A Torelli-type theorem for gravitational instantons*, J. Differential Geom. **29** (1989), 685–697.
49. C. LeBrun, *Complete Ricci-flat Kähler metrics on* $\mathbb{C}^n$ *need not be flat*, Several complex variables and complex geometry, Part 2 (Santa Cruz, CA, 1989), 297–304, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 52, Part 2, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1991.
50. P. Li, *Lecture notes on geometric analysis*, available from http://math.uci.edu/∼pli.
51. P. Li, L.-F. Tam, *Green's functions, harmonic functions, and volume comparison.* J. Differential Geom. **41** (1995), 277–318.
52. J. Loftin, *Singular semi-flat Calabi-Yau metrics on* S^2, Comm. Anal. Geom. **13** (2005), 333–361.
53. P. Maheux, L. Saloff-Coste, *Analyse sur les boules d'un opérateur sous-elliptique,* Math. Ann. **303** (1995), 713–740.
54. V. Minerbe, *Weighted Sobolev inequalities and Ricci flat manifolds*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **18** (2009), 1696–1749.
55. V. Minerbe, *On some asymptotically flat manifolds with non maximal volume growth*, arXiv:0709.1084.
56. V. Minerbe, *Rigidity for Multi-Taub-NUT metrics*, arXiv:0910.5792.
57. R. Miranda, *The moduli of Weierstrass fibrations over* $\mathbf{P}^1$, Math. Ann. **255** (1981), 379–394.
58. R. Miranda, *Persson's list of singular fibers for a rational elliptic surface*, Math. Z. **205** (1990), 191–211.
59. A. Naber, G. Tian, *Geometric structures of collapsing Riemannian manifolds*, I, arXiv:0804.2275.
60. A. Naber, G. Tian, *Geometric structures of collapsing Riemannian manifolds*, II, N^**-bundles and almost Ricci flat spaces*, arXiv:0906.4646.
61. J. Nordström, *Deformations of asymptotically cylindrical* G_2 *manifolds*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **145** (2008), 311–348.
62. J. Nordström, *personal communication*, May 2010.
63. D. Page, *A physical picture of the K3 gravitational instanton*, Phys. Lett. **80B** (1978), 55–57.
64. H. Pedersen, Y. S. Poon, *Hamiltonian constructions of Kähler-Einstein metrics and Kähler metrics of constant scalar curvature*, Comm. Math. Phys. **136** (1991), 309–326.
65. P. Petersen, *Riemannian geometry*, Second edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 171, Springer, New York, 2006.
66. B. Santoro, *On the asymptotic expansion of complete Ricci-flat Kähler metrics on quasi-projective manifolds*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **615** (2008), 59–91.
67. B. Santoro, *Existence of complete Kähler Ricci-flat metrics on crepant resolutions*, arXiv:0902.0595.
68. R. Schoen, S.-T. Yau, *Lectures on differential geometry*, Conference Proceedings and Lecture Notes in Geometry and Topology 1, International Press, Cambridge, MA, 1994.
69. J. Song, G. Tian, *The Kähler-Ricci flow on surfaces of positive Kodaira dimension*, Invent. Math. **170** (2007), 609–653.
70. G. Tian, *Smoothness of the universal deformation space of Calabi-Yau manifolds and its Petersson-Weil metric*, Mathematical aspects of string theory (San Diego, CA, 1986), 629–646, Adv. Ser. Math. Phys. 1, World Sci. Publishing, Singapore, 1987.
71. G. Tian, *On Calabi's conjecture for complex surfaces with positive first Chern class*, Invent. Math. **101** (1990), 101–172.
72. G. Tian, *Aspects of metric geometry of four manifolds*, Inspired by S. S. Chern, 381–397, Nankai Tracts Math. 11, World Scientific Publishing, Hackensack, NJ, 2006.
73. G. Tian, S.-T. Yau, *Complete Kähler manifolds with zero Ricci curvature*, I, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **3** (1990), 579–609.
74. G. Tian, S.-T. Yau, *Complete Kähler manifolds with zero Ricci curvature*, II, Invent. Math. **106** (1991), 27–60.

75. A. Todorov, *The Weil-Petersson geometry of the moduli space of $SU(n \geq 3)$ (Calabi-Yau) manifolds*, I, Comm. Math. Phys. **126** (1989), 325–346.
76. V. Tosatti, *Adiabatic limits of Ricci-flat Kähler metrics*, J. Differential Geom. **84** (2010), 427–453.
77. C. van Coevering, *A construction of complete Ricci-flat Kähler manifolds*, arXiv:0803.0112.
78. C. van Coevering, *Ricci-flat Kähler metrics on crepant resolutions of Kähler cones*, arXiv:0806.3728.
79. C. van Coevering, *Regularity of asymptotically conical Ricci-flat Kähler metrics*, arXiv:0912.3946.
80. S.-T. Yau, *Harmonic functions on complete Riemannian manifolds*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **28** (1975), 201–228.
81. S.-T. Yau, *On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampère equation*, I, Comm. Pure Appl. Math **31** (1978), 339–411.

Department of Mathematics, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544
E-mail address: `hhein@math.princeton.edu`